NOTE

This Microfiche meets the quality requirements of the American National Standard for Microfiche of Documents (ANSI PH5.9-1975). However, because of imperfections in the document from which it was made, it contains images that are illegible or difficult to read. The source document for this microfiche is the best copy that could be obtained prior to filming.



Congressional Information Service, Inc
Washington, D.C.

Calendar No. 380

100TH CONGRESS
1st Session

SENATE

REPORT
100-200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 1988

OCTOBER 16, 1987 —Ordered to be printed

Mr. SASSER, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2906]

The Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the bill (H.R. 2906) making appropriations for military construction for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1988, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with various amendments, and presents herewith information relative to the changes made:

Amount of bill passed by House	$8,300,000,000
Amount of increase by Senate over the House	192,398,000
Total of bill as reported to Senate	8,492,398,000
Amount of 1988 budget estimate	10,072,957,000
Amount of 1987 appropriations (adjusted)	8,155,774,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Under the budget estimate, 1988	1,580,559,000
Above appropriations for fiscal year 1987	336,624,000

S183-12

CONTENTS

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3
Summary of the bill	8
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	8
Committee recommendations	8
Items of special interest	9
Conformance with authorization bill	9
Basis for Committee recommendation	9
Defense burden sharing	11
NATO Infrastructure Program	14
Persian Gulf	15
Construction in the Pacific	16
Caribbean basin	17
Exercise construction	18
Major initiatives	18
Other construction issues	19
Financial management	21
Construction criteria	23
Non-Milcon activities	24
Minor construction	25
Budget submissions	26
Foreign currency fluctuation	27
Planning and design	27
Packaging of contracts	28
Military construction, Army	29
Military construction, Navy	34
Military construction, Air Force	42
Military construction, Defense Agencies	50
Military construction, Guard and Reserve Components	55
Family housing, Army	64
Family housing, Navy	65
Family housing, Air Force	67
Family housing, Defense Agencies	68
Homeowners Assistance Fund	69
General provisions	70
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	76
Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	77
Project listing by location	82

BACKGROUND

Purpose of the Bill

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation and maintenance of all military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance are provided, as well as assistance to members who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realinements. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of NATO infrastructure construction costs.

Comparative Statement

The fiscal year 1988 budget requests appropriations totaling $10,072,957,000. The following table displays this request, and compares it with the current fiscal year, the House amount, and the Committee recommendation:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	1987 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (-) compared with—		
					1987 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Military construction, Army	1,260,110	1,191,700	908,160	974,630	- 285,480	- 217,070	+ 66,470
Rescission	- 36,400		- 34,800	- 34,800	+ 1,600	- 34,800	
Subtotal, Army	1,223,710	1,191,700	873,360	939,830	- 283,880	- 251,870	+ 66,470
Prior year advance appropriation for 1988		221,000	221,000	221,000	+ 221,000		
Total, Army	1,223,710	1,412,700	1,094,360	1,160,830	- 62,880	- 251,870	+ 66,470
Military construction, Navy	1,376,715	1,844,072	1,380,855	1,505,072	+ 128,357	- 339,000	+ 124,217
Rescission	- 25,800		- 26,200	- 26,200	- 400	- 26,200	
Total, Navy	1,350,915	1,844,072	1,354,655	1,478,872	+ 127,957	- 365,200	+ 124,217
Military construction, Air Force	1,242,530	1,500,900	1,115,950	1,179,014	- 63,516	- 321,886	+ 63,064
Rescission	- 24,700		- 24,800	- 24,800	- 100	- 24,800	
Total, Air Force	1,217,830	1,500,900	1,091,150	1,154,214	- 63,616	- 346,686	+ 63,064
Military construction, Defense Agencies	534,170	859,200	564,886	597,865	+ 63,695	- 261,335	+ 32,979
Rescission			- 7,200	- 7,200	- 7,200	- 7,200	
Total, Defence Agencies	534,170	859,200	557,686	590,665	+ 56,495	- 268,535	+ 32,979
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure	232,000	396,000	376,000	386,000	+ 154,000	- 10,000	+ 10,000

Rescission			-8,000	-8,000	-8,000	-8,000	
Total, NATO Infrastructure	232,000	396,000	368,000	378,000	+146,000	-18,000	+10,000
Military construction, Army National Guard	140,879	170,400	158,052	194,925	+54,046	+24,525	+36,873
Rescission			-2,500	-2,500	-2,500	-2,500	
Total, Army National Guard	140,879	170,400	155,552	192,425	+51,546	+22,025	+36,873
Military construction, Air National Guard	148,925	160,800	126,475	165,716	+16,791	+4,916	+39,241
Rescission			-3,500	-3,500	-3,500	-3,500	
Total, Air National Guard	148,925	160,800	122,975	162,216	+13,291	+1,416	+39,241
Military construction, Army Reserve	86,700	95,100	95,100	95,100	+8,400		
Rescission			-1,800	-1,800	-1,800	-1,800	
Total, Army Reserve	86,700	95,100	93,300	93,300	+6,600	-1,800	
Military construction, Naval Reserve	44,500	73,737	67,637	73,737	+29,237		+6,100
Rescission			-1,200	-1,200	-1,200	-1,200	
Total, Navy Reserve	44,500	73,737	66,437	72,537	+28,037	-1,200	+6,100
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	58,900	79,300	69,620	79,300	+20,400		+9,680
Rescission			-2,000	-2,000	-2,000	-2,000	
Total, Air Force Reserve	58,900	79,300	67,620	77,300	+18,400	-2,000	+9,680
Total, military construction (net)	5,038,529	6,592,209	4,971,735	5,360,359	+321,830	-1,231,850	+388,624
Appropriations, 1988	(5,125,429)	(6,371,209)	(4,862,735)	(5,251,359)	(+125,930)	(-1,119,850)	(+388,624)
Rescission	(-86,900)		(-112,000)	(-112,000)	(-25,100)	(-112,000)	
Prior year advance appropriations for 1988		(221,000)	(221,000)	(221,000)	(+221,000)		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	1987 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (-) compared with—		
					1987 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Family housing, Army	1,597,657	1,738,659	1,586,273	1,606,373	+8,716	-132,286	+20,100
Rescission			-20,300	-20,300	-20,300	-20,300	
Net	1,597,657	1,738,659	1,565,973	1,586,073	-11,584	-152,586	+20,100
Portion applied to debt reduction	-7,646	-2,746	-2,746	-2,746	+4,900		
Subtotal, family housing, Army	1,590,011	1,735,913	1,563,227	1,583,327	-6,684	-152,586	+20,100
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	708,693	799,036	781,159	723,093	+14,400	-75,943	-58,066
Rescission			-9,200	-9,200	-9,200	-9,200	
Net	708,693	799,036	771,959	713,893	+5,200	-85,143	-58,066
Portion applied to debt reduction	-8,613	-1,801	-1,801	-1,801	+6,812		
Subtotal, family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	700,080	797,235	770,158	712,092	+12,012	-85,143	-58,066
Family housing, Air Force	815,420	926,533	862,513	830,253	+14,833	-96,280	-32,260
Rescission			-14,700	-14,700	-14,700	-14,700	
Net	815,420	926,533	847,813	815,553	+133	-110,980	-32,260
Portion applied to debt reduction	-6,909	-1,433	-1,433	-1,433	+5,476		
Subtotal, family housing, Air Force	808,511	925,100	846,380	814,120	+5,609	-110,980	-32,260

Family housing, Defense Agencies	16,643	19,700	20,700	19,700	+ 3,057		- 1,000
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	2,000	2,800	2,800	2,800	+ 800		
Total family housing (budget authority)	3,140,413	3,486,728	3,253,445	3,182,219	+ 41,806	- 304,509	- 71,226
Total rescissions			- 44,200	- 44,200	- 44,200	- 44,200	
Total portion applied to debt reduction	- 23,168	- 5,980	- 5,980	- 5,980	+ 17,188		
Total, family housing	3,117,245	3,480,748	3,203,265	3,132,039	+ 14,794	- 348,709	- 71,226
Foreign currency fluctuations, construction, Defense			125,000				- 125,000
RECAPITULATION							
Total, new budget (obligational) authority (net)	8,155,774	9,851,957	8,079,000	8,271,398	+ 115,624	- 1,580,559	+ 192,398
Appropriations, 1988	(8,242,674)	(9,851,957)	(8,235,200)	(8,427,598)	(+ 184,924)	(- 1,424,359)	(+ 192,398)
Rescissions, 1985 and 1986	(- 86,900)		(- 156,200)	(- 156,200)	(- 69,300)	(- 156,200)	
Prior year advance appropriations for 1988		(221,000)	(221,000)	(221,000)	(+ 221,000)		
Grand total (including advance appropriations)	8,155,774	10,072,957	8,300,000	8,492,398	+ 336,624	- 1,580,559	+ 192,398

Summary of the Bill

The Committee recommends a total of $8,492,398,000 in new budget authority/appropriations for fiscal year 1988 programs under its jurisdiction. Significant comparisons are noted below:

Fiscal year 1988 budget request: Budget authority	$10,072,957,000
Committee recommendation for fiscal year 1988: Budget authority	8,492,398,000
Which is—	
Over new budget authority granted in fiscal year 1987 by	336,624,000
Under the budget request by	1,580,559,000
Over the House bill by	192,398,000

Compliance With Section 308 of the Budget Control Act

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the First Concurrent Resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED BY THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount in bill	Committee allocation	Amount in bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with the Committee allocation to its subcommittees of amounts in the First Concurrent Resolution for 1988: Subcommittee on Military Construction	8,790	8,492	7,870	[1]7,869
Projections of outlays associated with budget authority recommended in the bill:				
1988				[2]2,282
1989				3,249
1990				1,686
1991				598
1992 and future year				456
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 1988 in the bill		85		5
	Direct loans		Loan guarantees	
Credit authority estimates, fiscal year 1988				

[1] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.
[2] Excludes outlays from prior year budget authority

Committee Recommendation

As previously noted, the Committee is recommending new fiscal year 1988 appropriations of $8,492,398,000. This is $1,580,559,000 under the budget request and $192,398,000 above House allowances. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Special Interest Items," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Committee strongly supports the authorization-appropriation process. The Committee has made every effort to withhold taking action until the authorization process has been completed. However, the Congress has not completed consideration of the National Defense Authorization Act of 1988. Since the authorization process is incomplete none of the projects in this bill are authorized. However, the Committee has approved most of the actions recommended in the Senate version of the authorization bill. In addition, the Committee has approved five projects which were not included in either the Senate authorization bill or the House-passed military construction appropriations bill. The projects are as follows:

Army: Aircraft maintenance hanger, Wiesbaden, Germany	$30,000,000
Navy:	
Naval shipyard, Philadelphia, PA: Water distribution system	14,100,000
Naval support activity, Naples, Italy: Command, control, communication, intelligence couplex (Phase 1)	24,900,000
Supervisor of shipbuilding, Pascagoula, MS, bachelor enlisted quarters	4,000,000
Army National Guard: Madison, WI: 100-person armory	2,709,000

The Committee has also approved four projects which were included in the House-passed Military Construction appropriation bill but were not included in the Senate authorization. The projects are as follows:

Army:	
Schofield Barracks, HA: Child development center	$4,950,000
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD: Research laboratory addition	5,700,000
Air Force:	
Pope AFB, NC: Base supply complex	11,000,000
Blytheville AFB, AR: Mission operations facility	5,900,000

Additional detail on these projects are included at the appropriate place in the report.

BASIS FOR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The appropriations bill for military construction and family housing supports one of the most vital aspects of the defense budget and represents important investments which increase force readiness and improve personnel retention. Without adequate facilities, expensive equipment cannot be properly maintained, troops cannot be trained to perform at peak efficiency, and the quality of life of our service members is less than promised when they were recruited. All of these factors affect the performance, particularly in time of conflict, of our forces.

The Committee has strongly supported DOD's military construction and family housing activities and has been very generous in recent years. Since fiscal year 1980, the Committee has approved a total of $36,504,000,000 for construction activities and another $15,538,000,000 for family housing operations. The military construction appropriation has grown 115 percent in the last 7 years. For fiscal year 1988, the Department of Defense requested funding totaling $10,072,000,000, an increase of 21 percent over the amounts approved by Congress for fiscal year 1987. Such increases cannot be sustained during this era of tight budgets and large deficits, without the strong and consistent support from the highest levels of the Department of Defense.

In the view of the Committee, senior Department officials have failed to adequately support requested military construction and family housing budgets. Instead, senior officials of the Department continue to place budgetary priority on expensive research and procurement items. Sustained growth in military construction accounts will continue to be jeopardized unless the Department of Defense is willing to fully support the budgets which are submitted.

Military construction and family housing have no effective constituency as other portions of the defense budget. Once budget targets are set by virtue of the Congressional Budget Resolution, the Department must be willing to adjust its priorities within the allocations permitted. Unless senior officials of the Department are willing to endorse reductions in budget authority devoted to procurement and research to protect military construction requests, future military construction and family housing activities will continue to be reduced as the more glamorous defense activities crowd out available funding.

The Committee appreciates the problems encountered by the services in preparing estimates, prioritizing requirements, and presenting the budget request to the Congress. Each service and defense agency is commended for the high caliber of the fiscal year 1988 program. Following are areas of interest in the Committee, however, that should be considered in future budget requests:

The demographics of the services are changing; there is an increase in the number of married service members and, consequently, an increased responsibility to provide facilities for their families. The Committee anticipates future requests will emphasize facilities that enhance the quality of life of service members and their families such as child development centers, religious education facilities, community centers, libraries, and family housing construction and improvements. These facilities are vital to retaining high-quality, experienced service personnel

In addition, much of the existing physical plant at installations is aging and becoming obsolete. There is a need to initiate a sustained renewal program that replaces or modernizes obsolete facilities. With an anticipated building life expectancy of 50 years, a renewal rate of 2 percent of the facilities annually is not unreasonable. The Committee encourages the services to strive to reach a realistic renewal goal in future budget requests.

The Committee strongly supports an increase in the size and mission of National Guard and Reserve component organizations. Such growth would require new, or expanded, facilities which should be included in future budget requests.

Full support by the leadership of the Department is required in order to realize each of these objectives. The military construction budget must have this support if future budget requests are to be fully funded.

The Committee believes the unified commanders [CINC's] should play an increased role in the planning and budgeting of military construction projects. The CINC's have the responsibility of fighting a war from the existing infrastructure. It is only logical, therefore, that the unified commanders should play a larger role in prioritizing projects in their area of responsibility.

DEFENSE BURDEN SHARING

The Committee strongly supports House language that stresses the fact that our allies should assume a greater role in the defense of Europe and should assume a larger share of the financial cost of that defense. The United States also makes considerable investment in other areas of the world such as the Pacific and Southwest Asia, in an effort to promote peace and stability. The latest Department burden-sharing analysis indicates the United States provides 70 percent of total allied defense spending. Other NATO countries and Japan provide only 30 percent although they account for more than 52 percent of allied wealth as measured by gross national product. The United States cannot continue to shoulder such an unequal share of the financial investment required to maintain peace and stability around the world.

Past efforts by the Committee to encourage more sharing of the financial burden of the common defense have yielded little in the way of results. For the past several years, including this year, the Committee has made significant cuts to overseas construction projects. The rationale for these cuts has been, in part, to make the Department attentive to the fact that positive steps should be taken to reduce the cost of defense overseas by (1) encouraging host nations to share the cost, and (2) reducing the base infrastructure overseas. To date, these efforts have not achieved the desired results. Instead, projects previously approved for construction overseas will now cost $700,000,000 more than originally appropriated, due to currency fluctuations; the Department is steadily increasing the overseas base infrastructure; and, most importantly, American military personnel overseas are being subjected to a continual decrease in the quality of working and living conditions. The Committee, therefore, proposes the following actions:

Alternative force structure study.—For the past several years, Congress has supported many new initiatives requested by the Department of Defense to reduce the gap between Soviet bloc and Western conventional defense capabilities.

However, fiscal realities which will be faced in future years must be recognized. The probability of slower growth in future defense budgets

indicates that our limited financial resources must be maximized to assure the Nation's defense posture is not eroded, especially our conventional posture, as nuclear weapons reductions are initiated.

Available resources will not likely permit substantial real growth in every mission activity. The Committee, therefore, believes that a reevaluation of the existing force structure should be undertaken by the Department of Defense. The Committee believes that fiscal limitations must lead to the consideration of new force structures which provide a force mix designed to maximize defense capabilities at the lowest possible cost to the taxpayer.

The Committee is aware that conventional force enhancements are often more expensive than strategic force enhancements. Thus, in an era of fiscal limits, such enhancements will be difficult to achieve, unless the United States and our allies adopt a comprehensive, coordinated and fairly shared defense strategy.

The Committee, therefore, directs the Department of Defense to provide the Committee with a detailed report by June 15, 1988, which outlines alternative force structures, which will achieve net reductions in defense spending. The Committee directs the study include alternative structures such as reducing the number of active duty units and replacing them with National Guard and Reserve units as allies assume more Active Force military missions abroad.

The Committee believes that alternative force structures will substantially impact the programming, planning and budgeting of military construction projects, particularly overseas and in Guard and Reserve accounts. Therefore, in the formulation of future appropriations bills, the Committee will carefully consider the recommendations made in such a report.

Base closures overseas.—The Committee believes the Department has failed to sufficiently investigate the potential of closing bases overseas. As the Committee pointed out in the report accompanying the supplemental appropriations bill of 1987, the Department of Defense maintains more than 300 military installations, activities and properties in foreign countries. The Committee believes that the "Alternative Force Structure Study" will reveal that some foreign bases can be closed, with significant budgetary savings, as allies assume more military missions and U.S. force structures are changed. Specific recommendations for overseas base closures should be included in that study.

Report on burden sharing initiatives.—Last year the Committee included a general provision requiring a report from the Secretary of Defense outlining specific actions which would be taken during the fiscal year to encourage improved burden sharing by our NATO allies and Japan. That general provision became law. However, the Department failed to adequately comply with this requirement of law. The report submitted failed to identify a single new initiative which would be undertaken to improve allied burden sharing. The failure of the Department to adequately address the concerns of the Congress in this matter is one reason the Committee has substantially reduced the construction

request for Germany and Japan and why the Committee has directed an alternative force structure study.

The Committee has again included the general provision in the fiscal year 1988 bill. The House has also included this provision. The Committee expects the Department to take this requirement seriously and directs that the report be forwarded by February 15, 1988.

Family housing and community support.—U.S. military families living abroad provide a substantial economic benefit to host nations. It is very expensive, however, for the U.S. defense budget to finance the construction and operations of family housing and military community support facilities.

The Committee recognizes the political difficulty of securing host nation support to increase U.S. warfighting capabilities in some foreign countries. However, the Committee believes it is appropriate to seek host nation support for U.S. military family housing and community facility construction and operations. The Committee directs the Department to seek bilateral discussions with allied countries aimed at securing such contributions.

NATO out-of-area activities.—The Committee is especially concerned with the failure of U.S. NATO allies to provide adequate support for alliance security interests outside the NATO region. Currently, there is no formal organization within the NATO structure for developing and coordinating NATO policies outside the prescribed treaty area. The Committee urges the Department of Defense to work with the U.S. Mission to NATO to stimulate discussions within NATO on the creation of a formal consultative group on out-of-area activities.

Reporting requirement.—The Committee directs that the Department should make maximum use of alternative funding sources for projects requested in overseas locations, specifically projects which are eligible for NATO Infrastructure, the Japanese Facilities Improvement Program [JFIP] or the Korean Combined Defense Improvement Program [CDIP]. Beginning with the budget request for fiscal year 1989, the Department is required to include on the form 1391's for each project requested in Europe, Japan, and Korea, a statement indicating if the project is eligible for NATO, JFIP, or CDIP, the reason it is not eligible if it is not; if it is eligible, when it was submitted for funding; and if it was turned down for such funding, what was the stated reason.

The Committee believes such a reporting requirement will lead to better understanding of the burden sharing issue.

Master restationing plan.—United States Army units in Germany are based primarily in the same locations they were in at the end of World War II. Because many of these locations are not efficient for effectively responding to the modern Warsaw Pact threat, the Committee in 1980 endorsed a master restationing plan [MRP] which would have resulted in the relocation of critical warfighting units to better, more effective locations. Unfortunately, MRP failed to be financially supported by the West German Government. The failure of MRP is a glaring example of the lack of support for allied burden sharing measures.

MRP failed, in part, because senior U.S. Government officials did not assign a high enough priority to successfully concluding such burden-sharing arrangements. As a result, many U.S. Army units remain in malpositioned locations.

Since the failure of MRP, the Army has sought to unilaterally fund the modernization portions of the plan. A total of $51,400,000 is included in this bill for construction of facilities at Vilseck for that purpose.

The Committee continues to support the primary purpose of MRP. Some U.S. Army units still should be relocated. However, the Committee will not continue to support unilateral U.S. financing for such relocations in future years. The Committee, therefore, urges the Department to again seek bilateral discussions with the West German Government for the purpose of developing a restationing plan which could be fully supported and shared.

Wartime host nation support.—The Committee has approved $4,500,000, the full budget request for wartime host nation support [WHNS]. Funds included in the bill are for phase 2 of a four-phase burden-sharing agreement concluded with the West German Government in 1982. Under this agreement, the Federal Republic of Germany will provide 85,000 reservists to man critical combat and combat support units during crisis or war. The United States agreed to share in the cost 50–50 of constructing facilities to support WHNS operations.

The Committee believes the WHNS agreement represents an appropriate burden-sharing arrangement and urges the Department to explore other similar opportunities for common defense financing.

NATO INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM

The NATO Infrastructure Program is the only pure burden-sharing program funded in this bill. It represents the kind of cooperative effort which should become more commonplace between the free world's allies.

The Committee has provided $368,000,000 to support the infrastructure program. The Committee has made a reduction of $10,000,000 as recommended in the Senate authorization bill.

The Committee believes the U.S. mission to NATO should seek an additional expansion of the NATO infrastructure program. While the Committee is pleased with the current expanded 6-year slice group, the Committee believes the NATO allies have the economic ability to provide increased contributions over present levels. The Committee would enthusiastically support increased appropriations for the U.S. share of such an expanded program.

The Committee agrees with the House that the U.S. mission to NATO should press for an expansion of NATO funding criteria to reduce the amount of U.S. unilaterally funded construction. Much of the large reduction in U.S. construction in Europe was made because the Committee believes the NATO criteria can and should be expanded.

The Committee opposes any effort to decrease the percentage of infrastructure funds devoted to construction. Since the infrastructure program was first initiated in 1950 as a facilities program, the account has been increasingly utilized to fund what are essentially procurement items. The backlog of specific NATO-eligible construction exceeds $6,000,000,000. Until this backlog is eliminated, facilities should continue to receive priority.

The Committee has included bill language which prevents the U.S. share of NATO infrastructure from being utilized to fund nonconstruction projects whenever the share devoted to construction activities falls below 65 percent. The Committee has also deleted bill language requested by the Department which could be utilized to support expanded nonconstruction activities.

The Committee commends the U.S. Mission to NATO for substantially reducing the backlog of unrecouped prefinanced funds. The Committee continues to oppose prefinancing of NATO-eligible projects, except under the most urgent operational circumstances.

Torrejon Air Base, Spain.—The Committee has approved a general provision expressing the sense of Congress that any facility construction cost associated with moving the 401st Tactical Fighter Wing, based in Spain, to another country should be paid by NATO. This language is identical to language enacted into Public Law 100–71, the Supplemental Appropriations Act of 1987.

In addition, the Committee has approved a legislative provision providing that no funds appropriated to the Department of the Air Force can be spent for facility construction to support a relocation of the 401st.

The Committee believes the 401st Tactical Fighter Wing is important to the defense of NATO's southern flank and does not support its removal out of NATO's theater of operations unless the mission is assumed in full by our NATO allies.

PERSIAN GULF

Since 1980, the Committee has provided almost $1,200,000,000 for construction of facilities necessary to support deployment of forces which would be assigned to the United States Central Command during a Middle East-Southwest Asia crisis. In this bill the Committee is providing another $36,195,000 for construction of regional facilities in Diego Garcia and Oman. The Committee believes another $300,000,000 may be necessary to provide facilities adequate to preposition sufficient assets which would be needed to respond to regional contingencies.

The Committee is concerned that the United States continues to shoulder the primary responsibility of protecting the free world's oil supplies, notwithstanding recent allied willingness to locate minesweeping assets in the lower Persian Gulf and the Gulf of Oman. Europe and Japan have not provided any funding to assist in the development of the United States rapid deployment force or for construction of facilities to provide regional base access.

The economies of our European allies and Japan are much more dependent on Persian Gulf oil than is the economy of the United States. Therefore, our allies should be willing to pay a portion of future regional facility construction or assist financially in other ways, such as providing foreign assistance to partially offset the cost of defending oil supplies and building facilities necessary for regional base access. The Department is directed to seek the initiation of bilateral discussions with the allies for that purpose.

Recent events in the Persian Gulf demonstrate that U.S. military forces are not guaranteed access to facilities which are sufficient to support contingencies in the region. As a result, throughout the upper half of the gulf, air cover is not available to protect United States naval warships escorting reflagged Kuwaiti oil tankers.

The Committee does not support the establishment of U.S. military bases in the Middle East-Persian Gulf region. However, recent events in the gulf serve to illustrate the need for additional facility access and prepositioned supplies necessary to support a range of regional contingencies. Lack of assured access and prepositioning is an Achilles heel to meeting potential military challenges in the gulf and, therefore, reduces the deterrent value of forces assigned to support the U.S. Central Command.

The Committee believes the Department should continue to seek access and prepositioning arrangements which are consistent with the sovereign interests of host nations as well as the security interests of the United States. The Committee recognizes that regional political realities may occasionally prevent specific detailed agreements from being concluded. Such constraints should not, however, prevent the United States from pursuing informal arrangements which have a significant potential for meeting access and prepositioning requirements.

The Committee also directs the Department to begin submitting construction project wedges for Cental Command facility requirements to shorten the time required to accomplish regional construction. The Committee would support appropriations for such project wedges at unspecified locations with the understanding that funds appropriated for that purpose could not be obligated until the Department has notified the Committee of projects to be constructed in specific locations and 21 days have elapsed, providing for Committee review and consideration.

During fiscal year 1988, the Department is directed to utilize emergency construction authority, under existing procedures, to accomplish necessary construction not previously programmed in the Central Command's area of operations.

CONSTRUCTION IN THE PACIFIC

The Pacific region is increasingly important to the United States strategically and economically. The Soviet Union, in recent years, has vastly expanded its military activities in the region, and economic growth in the Pacific Rim has led to expanded United States trade activities. Clearly, the Pacific region is important to the military and economic security of the free world.

As in Europe, however, United States allies, particularly Japan, are failing to provide adequate funds for the defense of the region. Additional assistance must be provided by allies through expanded host nation support, base access or other creative financial arrangements.

Japan.—The Government of Japan continues to provide minimal funding for its own defense. The Committee does not support a rearmament of Japan but believes Japan can do substantially more to reduce the United States burden of defending Japan and Japan's oil supplies.

The Committee has eliminated most funding for military construction requested for Japan, except for security and quality of life projects for the Marines on Okinawa. The Committee believes the Department should seek new bilateral discussions with Japan for the purpose of securing additional funds to offset the cost of maintaining the United States military presence. Funding from the Japanese Facility Improvement Program [JFIP] especially should be increased.

Philippines.—The Committee continues to support our strategic bases in the Philippines. However, the Committee is concerned with the continued growth of the Communist insurgency. Recent events indicate United States interests in the Philippines are no longer immune from guerrilla activity.

The Committee believes that until future base access agreements are approved and the insurgency controlled, consideration should be given to reducing accompanied tours in the Philippines. For that reason, the Committee has eliminated funding for family housing and replacement hospital construction. The Committee has approved funding only for those projects of an urgent operational or safety nature.

Korea.—Due to budget constraints, the Committee has reduced funding in Korea by one-third. The Committee continues to oppose increases in the number of accompanied tours in Korea. Such a policy would cost billions of dollars to support. The Committee believes accompanied tours should only be considered if the Government of Korea agrees to provide the full cost of construction and operations of housing and community facilities necessary to support United States military families.

CARIBBEAN BASIN

The Department is directed to submit an updated 5-year plan for Central America and the Caribbean Basin by February 15, 1988.

Honduras.—The Committee has denied $4,150,000 requested for construction of barracks and other facilities to replace the existing Central American tropical huts at Palmarola Air Base. The Committee strongly supports the recent peace process initiated by President Arias of Costa Rica and believes the Palmarola replacement project should be delayed as a signal of United States support for political solutions to regional problems. The Committee believes the requested project is incompatible with the spirit of the Arias peace initiative and its approval at this time would only serve to complicate the peace process.

Panama.—The Committee has denied $29,450,000 of the $54,780,000 requested for construction in Panama. The Committee believes that most permanent infrastructure investments in United States military facilities in Panama should be deferred. Only those projects of an operational or safety nature have been approved. The Committee has denied $21,000,000 for construction of 400 family housing units. The Committee believes the Department should begin phasing out accompanied tours in Panama, in view of the United States military withdrawal mandated by the Panama Canal Treaty.

EXERCISE CONSTRUCTION

To assure continued oversight of construction activities undertaken during the conduct of military exercises, the Committee has approved funds specifically for that purpose. The Committee agrees with the levels specified by the House for this activity. However, the Committee has increased the services minor construction accounts to reflect these activities. The Department is requested to include funds for this purpose in specific accounts under each service in future budget submissions. The accounts should reflect the priorities of the unified commanders.

The Committee also believes that it is proper for the services to continue to utilize operations and maintenance funds for temporary structures which are completely removed at the end of the exercise for which they were constructed. Such temporary structures would include tent platforms, field latrines, dining shelters, range targets, et cetera. The Committee, however, requires that notification be provided to the Committees on Appropriations 21 days prior to such construction, costing $100,000 or more per exercise, being initiated.

Zaire.—Testimony before the Committee indicates the Department is considering a potential access agreement with Zaire. The Committee directs the Department to report to the Committee on Appropriations 21 days prior to obligating funds from any source for any construction activity in Zaire which totals $100,000 or more. In addition, the Department is directed to provide the Committee with a report prior to January 30, 1988, on all construction activities accomplished in Zaire during fiscal year 1987 and which outlines any proposed activity to be undertaken during fiscal year 1988.

MAJOR INITIATIVES

The Committee disagrees with the House that construction supporting major new initiatives should be stretched out. Therefore, the Committee has provided the total amounts recommended by the authorization committee for the Navy Strategic Homeporting Initiative, the Kings Bay Trident Base, GA, the Army's light infantry divisions at Fort Drum, NY, and Fort Wainwright, AK, and the Air Force's beddown of the advanced technology bomber at Whiteman AFB, MO.

The Committee believes that reductions and stretchouts of these major initiatives represent false economy and would result in unnecessary delays and increased overall cost.

OTHER CONSTRUCTION ISSUES

Cruise and Pershing missile construction.—The Committee has deferred, without prejudice, the $87,510,000 requested for construction of facilities associated with ground-launched cruise missiles in Europe. The Committee is encouraged with the apparent progress being made in arms control and believes an Intermediate Nuclear Forces arms agreement will negate the need for these facilities. The Committee will permit the Air Force to submit a formal reprogramming after February 28, 1988, for these projects if the Secretary of Defense certifies that an arms agreement cannot be successfully concluded. The Committee further directs that funds, previously appropriated for construction associated with the GLCM and Pershing II deployments, which have not yet been awarded, be withheld from obligation pending the outcome of the INF talks.

ASAT facilities.—The Committee has deferred, without prejudice, the $16,000,000 requested for construction of an operational antisatellite [ASAT] facility at Langley AFB, VA. The Committee will permit the Air Force to submit a formal reprogramming for these projects if Congress approves testing and deployment of the ASAT system.

TACAMO.—The Committee has denied $11,800,000 for construction of facilities to support the beddown of aircraft to replace TACAMO. A report by the General Accounting Office has challenged the validity of the Navy's cost estimates relating to construction at Tinker AFB, OK. During hearings the Committee requested additional justification for the proposed projects. The Navy failed to respond to the request for weeks. The Committee cannot support construction at Tinker until cost estimates and savings projections can be verified. Therefore, the Committee has asked the General Accounting Office to review the additional information which has now been provided by the Navy. The Committee views the TACAMO aircraft replacement to be of significant national security interest and criticizes the Navy for its failure to provide the Committee with the requested information promptly.

Pentagon Annex.—The Committee has approved bill language which prohibits the use of design funds for the initiation of a proposed expansion of the Pentagon. Testimony before the Committee revealed that total cost of Pentagon complex improvements are estimated to be $515,775,000. The Committee is not unmindful of specific space limitations at the Pentagon but finds the expenditure of over $500,000,000 to provide additional administrative space near the existing Pentagon complex to be of a lower priority than operational, training, and housing facilities. Because of budget constraints, the Committee has reduced the overall fiscal year 1988 military construction and family housing request by more than $1,600,000,000. The Committee believes it inconsistent to support design or construction of an expensive administrative annex when budget resources are insufficient to take care of the existing backlog of infrastructure requirements at operational military bases worldwide.

The Committee further believes that if space needs at the Pentagon are so critical, consideration should be given to relocating specific functions to other States and localities. In this day of computers, fiber optics, and instantaneous communication, it is not necessary, nor is it wise from a security standpoint, to concentrate DOD's administrative headquarters exclusively in the Washington, DC, area.

DOD facilities in West Virginia.—The Committee understands the need to accelerate the military construction program contemplated for West Virginia which has been raised in hearings before the Senate Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations. The matter was the subject of discussion on the Senate floor. This need to accelerate the program has been the subject of correspondence with high-level military officials as well as discussions with the Secretaries of the Army and Air Force. The thrust of these discussions has been that early construction of these various projects will help provide much needed jobs; avoid the costs of inflation associated with delayed construction; and provide modern, updated facilities for use by military personnel in West Virginia. The Committee supports these arguments and directs the Department of Defense to redouble its efforts to accelerate the design of the various projects, including the Civil Engineering Training Center in Morgantown, and to incorporate them into the fiscal year 1989 President's budget so that they may receive early consideration by the appropriate committees of Congress.

Chemical weapons disposal.—No budget request has been submitted for fiscal year 1988 for construction of demilitarization facilities for disposal of the existing stockpile of chemical weapons. The Committee insists that construction funds be requested and funded from the military construction accounts, not from procurement accounts. The Committee directs that future military construction requests for this activity be submitted under "Defense agencies," as a national program. A final environmental impact statement will not be concluded and a decision made on the methods of disposal and the location of facilities until later in fiscal year 1988. The Committee continues to oppose construction funding with the exception of test facilities for promising alternatives until site selections are made and methods of disposal chosen and approved by Congress.

Premobilization construction.—The Department has requested authorization of a new program which would permit the Secretary to authorize up to $100,000,000 in construction to support a mobilization. The Senate authorization bill, S. 1174, includes the requested provision as section 2805. Under the premobilization authority, the Secretary, following a determination by the President, can utilize unobligated funds previously appropriated for military construction without the prior notification of Congress.

The Committee does not oppose such authority but has included a general provision which requires the Secretary to report to the Committee within 24 hours of invoking the authority. The report shall include a copy of the President's determination, the amount and source of funds to be utilized, and the location and purpose of the construction.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

The Committee agrees with the House position that the Department has made significant progress toward improving execution of construction projects. The Committee feels that this improvement is a result of both a concerted effort by the Department and financial management policies adopted in fiscal year 1986. There are proven benefits in maintaining consistency of such policies over the long term; however, some fine tuning is necessary in certain instances. The Committee, therefore, directs continued compliance with existing financial management policies except for the following revisions which are being made to further encourage good project management and relieve the Department of some unnecessary burdens:

Reprogramming.—The Committee supports House language that increases the reprogramming threshold from 20 percent or $1,000,000, whichever is less, to 20 percent or $1,500,000, whichever is less. This change affects projects appropriated in fiscal year 1988 and subsequent years.

The Committee also directs that cost increases that exceed current or past reprogramming thresholds due solely to final settlement of contractor claims do not require a reprogramming. Negotiated settlements and adjudicated claims must be paid and delays due to reprogramming submissions only add to the cost.

Finally, the Committee directs that any project being completed in its entirety with funds that are in expired or lapsed status will not be subject to reprogramming thresholds. Project increases, in this case, are only allowable for valid upward price adjustments which exclude any work not in the scope of the original contract. Prior approval reprogramming of increases due to valid upward price adjustments only serves to delay completion of projects and unnecessarily adds to the cost.

Use of expired funds.—The Committee endorses, in principle, the House language and general provision permitting expired or lapsed funds be used to pay the cost of supervision, inspection, and overhead [SIOH], engineering and design, and claims for any project that is being completed in its entirety with expired or lapsed funds. The Comptroller General opinion at 59 C.G. 563 (1980) requires that current funds be used to pay for supervision, inspection, and overhead to complete military construction or family housing projects. A project, which was contracted with current funds, continues until completion with payments to the contractor from the original funding source after those funds have expired for the purpose of new obligation; that is, unexpended obligations existing at date of funds expiration continue to be paid from the originally cited funds. It is logical that the supervision, inspection, and overhead of that same work should be funded from the same source as should engineering and design of associated obligation adjustments and payments for associated contractor claims. Those continuing costs for supervision, inspection, and overhead should, therefore, be treated as obligation adjustments versus as new obligations. Engineering and design of obligation adjustments and contractor claims should also be treated as obligation adjustments.

Split funding.—The Committee has been asked by the Navy to consider reinstatement of the practice of split-funding of projects (application of more than 1 fiscal year's funds to a project). On balance, the Committee believes elimination of this practice has enhanced completion of construction projects. In addition, the Committee has supported specific reforms which should reduce the need for split-funding authority. These reforms include the following: Establishment of a foreign currency fluctuation account; clarification and expansion of the use of expired and lapsed funds; clarification of reprogramming rules for projects in expired or lapsed status; and continued ability to exercise cross-funding. However, the Committee believes the request of the Navy deserves additional study and consideration. Therefore, the Committee is requesting the General Accounting Office to review the proposal to reinitiate split funding and report a recommendation to the Committee prior to markup of the fiscal year 1989 appropriation bill.

Audit trail documents.—The Committee finds the audit trail documents (DOD Exhibit MC-2), submitted semiannually, to be of great benefit toward following the progress of completion of previously appropriated projects. The Committee understands the amount of effort required to prepare, maintain, and submit these documents. In fact, when the requirement to transmit the audit trail documents was established in fiscal year 1986, it was the intent of the Committee that this document would replace similar documents formally prepared within the Department to preclude a redundancy of workload. The Department is directed, therefore, to immediately eliminate the requirement for preparation, maintenance, and use of any similar document—namely, DOD Exhibit MC-1—for fiscal year 1986 and subsequent years in an effort to reduce unnecessary workloads.

Budget execution.—This Committee, together with the House, has endeavored to assist the Department in improving the ability to award and complete appropriated projects as quickly and economically as possible. Changes have been made in the use of expired funds, foreign currency fluctuation, payment of claims, and reprogramming thresholds so construction may proceed with less delay. Further, language restrictions on obligation of funds and scope of project reductions which cap projects have been reduced significantly by the Committees during the past 2 years. It is, therefore, dismaying to learn that, after the appropriation of projects by Congress, the Office of Management and Budget [OMB] further restricts the obligation of individual line items (projects) during the apportionment process. Although the Committee recognizes the legal and fiscal management responsibilities of OMB to apportion funds in a manner that prevents premature borrowing by the Treasury, the practice of prohibiting obligation of the hundreds of individual line items not otherwise restricted by law is unnecessary micromanagement of the military construction and family housing programs. The Committee urges OMB to discontinue the practice of line-item apportionment and, instead, confine apportionment of military construction and family housing to the more reasonable budget activity level—for example, major construction, minor construction, planning, et cetera.

Program, project, and activity.—The Committee agrees with the House that the term "program, project, and activity" be defined as the appropriation accounts for fiscal year 1988. The Committee disagrees that this definition should be retroactive to fiscal year 1986 projects. The fiscal year 1986 program is nearing the end of its second year of execution and great effort has been taken by the Department to adjust project records and reduce costs as necessary to comply with Public Law 99–177. It is counterproductive at this point to again adjust the revised reprogramming base for each of those projects merely to allow an additional 4.9-percent increase in reprogramming flexibility.

General reductions and rescissions.—The Committee has not taken the general reductions recommended by the House. The primary reason, of course, is a higher budget allocation than the House but, further, the Committee believes general reductions reduce flexibility needed to reprogram projects for currency fluctuation and to compensate for lack of split-funding authority. The Committee has agreed with the rescissions recommended by the House. The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1987, Public Law 99–661, section 1305, contains a limitation on obligation of fiscal years 1985 and 1986 military construction and family housing funds. Public Law 99–500, making appropriations for fiscal year 1987, rescinded a portion of this limitation. The remaining funds available, subject to this limitation, are recommended for rescission as follows:

	Fiscal year—	
	1985 (P.L. 99–173)	1986 (P.L. 98–473)
Military Construction, Army	$6,800,000	$28,000,000
Military Construction, Navy	6,800,000	19,400,000
Military Construction, Air Force	6,300,000	18,500,000
Military Construction, Defense Agencies	1,900,000	5,300,000
Military Construction, Army National Guard		2,500,000
Military Construction, Air National Guard	200,000	3,300,000
Military Construction, Army Reserve		1,800,000
Military Construction, Naval Reserve		1,200,000
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	200,000	1,800,000
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure		8,000,000
Family Housing, Army	900,000	19,400,000
Family Housing, Navy	400,000	8,800,000
Family Housing, Air Force	2,400,000	12,300,000
Subtotal	25,900,000	130,300,000
Grand total	156,200,000	

CONSTRUCTION CRITERIA

In February, the Department of Defense withdrew the existing 380-page "Construction Criteria Manual" which provided base commanders specific criteria for planning military construction projects. The replacement manual was an eight-page booklet which gave commanders broad latitude in planning, designing, and programming projects. No criteria or size standards were included in the replacement manual.

As a result of concerns expressed within the Department of Defense

and by the Congress, a supplement has been issued which provides base commanders with more specific guidance for project planning. However, the guidance provided merely represents examples of facility sizes. The examples are discretionary and can be ignored by base commanders.

The Committee supports giving base commanders reasonable latitude and flexibility in the planning of military construction projects. However, certain criteria are necessary to assure that quality facilities are provided. Without specific construction criteria, standards will inevitably vary from base to base, with uncertain effect on the morale of service members and their families.

Therefore, the Committee directs that beginning with submission of the fiscal year 1989 budget, the standard form 1391, the military construction justification sheets, be revised to include a statement certifying whether or not projects are within the criteria prescribed in the Construction Manual 4270.1–M which was in effect as of January 1, 1987. For any project which exceeds the published criteria, a statement of justification must be included.

In addition, the Committee directs that similar statements and justification be included in letters of notification of intent to initiate design of military construction projects, beginning immediately.

NON-MILCON CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

The budget requests submitted for military construction and family housing do not include all the construction activities undertaken by the Department of Defense. Construction is also accomplished in the research and development budget and the operating and maintenance budget, as well as other accounts.

Beginning with the fiscal year 1989 budget request, the Committee requires the Department to include in the military construction budget justification, as an information exhibit, any separate construction project to be accomplished with funds other than family housing and military construction. Budget justifications shall also include, by base, a listing of each operation and maintenance minor construction and maintenance and repairs project over $100,000 accomplished in the previous fiscal year. In addition, the justification shall include the maintenance and repair request, including justification material, by service and agency for fiscal year 1989.

Lincoln Laboratory improvement project.—The Department of the Air Force, in partnership with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology [MIT], has proposed to modernize and expand the Lincoln Laboratory complex at Hanscom AFB, MA, through the borrowing of funds from, and subsequent long-term repayment of funds to, MIT.

This initiative was not presented in the fiscal year 1988 budget submission and, therefore, did not pass review by the President, the Office of Management and Budget, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense. This initiative violates the intent of 10 U.S.C. 2353(a) which specifically does not authorize the expenditure of research and devel-

opment funds for new construction or improvements having general utility. The research conducted in the Lincoln Laboratory does not concentrate on a specific research and development effort but rather on a multitude of research efforts which come and go on a periodic basis. Space provided in this complex can best be described as having general research utility and, as such, should be constructed or altered with military construction funds.

Further, review by the Office of Management and Budget would have required advance approval for such a change in the method of financing and would have ensured that the proposal meets the test of providing full funding for the entire cost, which it does not.

Over the years, the Department of Defense has increased the amount of military construction funded with procurement, research and development, and operations and maintenance appropriations and with industrial and revolving funds. This diversion has enabled the Department to avoid the scrutiny of the committees most qualified to review construction and housing initiatives.

The Committee recognizes the need to replace and improve the deteriorating physical plant of the Department, but that revitalization should be accomplished in the appropriate arena—military construction. The Lincoln Lab project is no exception.

Instead of circumventing the established process, the Department should have submitted a military construction request in fiscal year 1989 and subsequent budgets for a phased construction and alteration effort. In the future, the Committee expects the Department to closely review the budgets before submission to ensure that all bona fide construction is eliminated from all appropriations except military construction and military family housing and that the Department increase its military construction and family housing budgets to the extent necessary to compensate for corresponding reductions in other appropriations due to the realignment of construction requests.

MINOR CONSTRUCTION

The Committee endorses House language to continue notification procedures established in fiscal year 1986 on unspecified minor construction projects. The Committee also agrees to an increase in the maximum amount for an unspecified minor construction project from $1,000,000 to $2,000,000 subject to the following: (1) the maximum amount for an unspecified minor construction project accomplished with operations and maintenance funds may not be increased beyond the present $200,000 level; and (2) future budget submissions for unspecified minor construction may not request more than the amount appropriated for unspecified minor construction in the previous year plus anticipated inflation for the ensuing budget year.

BUDGET SUBMISSIONS

Biennial budget.—The Committee directs that the fiscal year 1989 military construction budget be resubmitted. The Committee agrees with the House position that budget submissions and subsequent appropriations for military construction continue on an annual basis. The content of the military construction program changes significantly each year and it is impossible to determine, 2 years in advance, the projects required and associated costing with any degree of accuracy. Additionally, since projects requested in the budget must be physically 35 percent designed, a large amount of planning and design funds would be wasted on many second-year projects that are invariably deferred, canceled, or are subjected to change in requirements. It is also likely that biennial budgeting would actually increase the deficit by causing submittal of supplementals for unforeseen emergencies during the second year that could be considered in a more responsible manner in an annual budget.

Line item submission.—The Committee is concerned that budget requests still contain lump-sum requests for several military construction projects at individual locations. In fact, the Committee was forced to request a listing of individual projects during hearings for three major beddowns. The Department is directed to ensure that future budget submissions do not contain any lump-sum requests for new construction without supporting form 1391's for each separate construction project.

Numbered base locations.—The budget, each year, contains several projects listed under numbered locations instead of the actual location title. The only reason appears to be due to the fact that project-related public announcements have not been made prior to submission of the budget. Submission of lump-sum budget requests and projects identified at numbered locations cause extra, unnecessary administrative work after submission of the budget. Therefore, the Department is directed to take appropriate action to ensure that future budget requests contain undisclosed project locations only when such locations would be truly classified and the disclosure thereof would be detrimental to national security.

Thirty-five-percent design criteria.—The Committee reiterates and emphasizes the requirement that all projects included in the annual budget requests be 35-percent designed. Cost of the projects as reflected in the construction annex must be based upon the estimated cost at the actual 35 percent or higher design level and not based solely on a computer simulation estimate. The 35-percent criteria was established not only to ensure a good price estimate but to ensure that design has progressed sufficiently to enable award soon after appropriation. Cost estimates based only on computer simulation could increase the total cost of design and slow execution of approved programs. Costing by computer simulation in conjunction with an actual design cost estimate may contribute to a slightly better project cost estimate in the budget. Regardless of the accuracy of the cost estimate, the Government will still have to pay the contracted cost of the construction. Also, the Com-

mittees have long been aware that the appropriated amount of a project rarely equals the final cost and have established reprogramming thresholds to accommodate variances. The Committee does not object to the use of computer simulation to assist in fine-tuning project costs so long as projects in the budget submission are still actually 35-percent designed and so long as none of the funds appropriated for military construction or family housing are used, directly or indirectly, to pay for the cost of the computer simulation effort.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION

The Committee is aware of the adverse impact to construction and housing programs caused by the declining value of the dollar. Because of currency fluctuation, projects previously appropriated for construction overseas will cost $700,000,000 more than the appropriated amount. Last year, a new foreign currency fluctuation account was established by Congress to alleviate the bookkeeping burden of fluctuation and provide a means to properly account for the actual quantitative impact, but no appropriated funds were provided. It is obvious at this point that the magnitude of the fluctuation impact is far greater than suspected and, in fairness to execution of projects as appropriated, that impact should be separately addressed in the budget. The Committee also understands the cyclical nature of currency fluctuations relative to international economics which dictates that, at some future time, the dollar should strengthen to the point where currency gains will be recorded. The Committee, therefore, directs that the fiscal year 1989 budget include a request under "Defense Agencies" for foreign currency fluctuation that contains the estimated requirement during fiscal year 1989 of each service, Defense agency, and NATO infrastructure, by appropriation, for the currency fluctuation impact.

In this bill for fiscal year 1988, the Committee has provided additional funding totaling $115,000,000 to the Army, Air Force and Defense Agencies military construction accounts for the purpose of transferring into the foreign currency fluctuation [FCF] account to help alleviate the immediate currency problem. The Committee recognizes the amounts provided will not be sufficient to cover the anticipated shortfall. Additional funds for FCF must be transferred from project savings. The services are directed, however, not to delay, defer, cancel, or reduce in scope any project previously appropriated or included in this bill for construction inside the United States to provide savings for transfer to the FCF account. If routine savings are insufficient to meet foreign currency demands, projects funded for construction overseas should be canceled to make up the shortfall.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

Included in the bill is $377,000,000 for military construction and family housing planning and design activities. Notification procedures for initiating design of individual projects remain in effect. Beginning with individual design activities initiated during fiscal year 1988, the

Committee requires a statement on letters of intent to initiate design detailing the year the project is programmed for construction and, when available, a draft copy of form 1391.

The Committee has reduced the military construction planning and design accounts to reflect the Committee's view that United States unilateral construction in Europe and Japan should be reduced and efforts made to increase host country support.

PACKAGING OF CONTRACTS

The Committee strongly discourages the packaging or consolidation of multiple military construction projects, appropriated for construction within the United States, into single contracts which deny American small business the maximum practicable opportunity to participate in military construction projects. The Committee directs the Department to notify the Committee 21 days prior to advertising in the Commerce Business Daily for the design or construction of military construction projects which the Department seeks to package or consolidate. The Department shall include in the notification a statement from the Small Business Administration which certifies that project award is in the best interest of small business.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$1,223,710,000
Fiscal year 1988 request (including advance appropriation)	1,412,700,000
House allowance (including advance appropriation and rescission)	1,094,360,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	974,630,000
Rescission	−34,800,000
Prior year advance appropriation	221,000,000
Net	1,160,830,000

The Committee is recommending $1,160,830,000 for the Army for fiscal year 1988, including an advance appropriation and rescissions. This amount reflects a decrease of $251,870,000 to the budget request and an increase to the House proposal in the amount of $66,470,000.

Specific program changes in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

AUTHORIZATION DELETIONS

The following projects were requested as part of the budget but were not approved in the reported Senate authorization bill and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

Alabama: Fort Rucker: Servicemember support complex	$5,700,000
Georgia: Fort Benning: Security upgrade	1,400,000
Massachusetts: Fort Devens: Natick research and development center	45,000,000
Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood: Library	5,400,000
New Jersey: Fort Dix: Range modernization	6,100,000
New York: U.S. Military Academy: Barracks modernization	14,800,000
Texas: Fort Bliss: Physical fitness training center	6,300,000
Conus Various: Historic facilities	6,000,000
Germany:	
Hohenfels: Utilities support	3,750,000
Stuttgart: Child development center	1,800,000
Korea:	
Camp Henry: Barracks	11,800,000
Camp Humphreys: Barracks	6,700,000
Panama: Corozal: Imagery facility	6,000,000

DEFERRED PROJECTS

The Committee is deferring a number of projects due to severe constraints on the budget. The projects deferred are as follows:

Indiana: Fort Benjamin Harrison: Barracks complex	$6,900,000
Germany:	
Bad Kreuznach: Facility modernization	10,200,000
Baumholder: Facility modernization; phase IV	10,800,000
Eisiedlerhof: Training exercise facility	5,900,000
Grafenwoehr: Upgrade Tank gunnery range	3,900,000
Hanau: Hardstand	1,300,000
Mainz: Organizational vehicle parking	1,100,000
Stuttgart:	
Operations building modifications	5,400,000
POL storage facility upgrade	7,000,000
Vilseck:	
Facilities engineer complex	13,400,000
General purpose warehouse	1,950,000
Hardstand/tactical equipment shop	15,000,000
Wiesbaden:	
Aircraft maintenance hanger	8,500,000
Hardstand	2,550,000
Tactical equipment shop	2,500,000
Tactical equipment shop	4,400,000
Tactical equipment shop	2,250,000
Wildflecken:	
Hardstand	11,400,000
Vehicle wash facility	4,700,000
Zweibruecken: Chapel and religious education facility	1,900,000
Honduras: Troop support facility upgrade	4,150,000
Japan: Various locations:	
Ammunition surveillance facility	1,500,000
Relocate field offices	1,750,000
Korea:	
Camp Castle: Barracks	3,200,000
Camp Kyle: Barracks	2,750,000
Camp Market	2,200,000

HOUSE DEFERRALS

The House deferred funding for the projects listed below due to lack of House authorization which the Committee believes are fully justified and, therefore, has recommended appropriations as noted:

Alaska: Fort J.M. Wainwright:	
Battalion headquarters	$2,200,000
Maintenance complex	42,000,000
Military clothing sales store	670,000
Arizona: Fort Huachuca: Academic instruction facility addition	920,000
District of Columbia: Walter Reed Army Medical Center	2,500,000
Georgia: Fort Stewart/Hunter AAF: Chapel	1,000,000
Hawaii: Schofield Barracks: Multipurpose training range	20,000,000
Nevada: Hawthorne AAP: Ammunition surveillance facility	6,500,000
Virginia: Fort Belvoir: Electrical distribution system modernization	5,500,000
Germany: Rheinberg: Facilities engineer shop modernization	6,400,000
Panama: Fort Kobbe: Aircraft parking apron extension	6,700,000

HOUSE REDUCTIONS

The House made additional reductions for projects considered to be of low operational utility or because of budgetary constraints. The Committee has reviewed the projects and believes several are valid requirements. The projects restored are as follows:

Alaska: Fort J.M. Wainwright: Communications facility	$2,250,000
California: Presidio of San Francisco: Standby generator	1,550,000
Utah: Dugway Proving Ground: Battery shop	1,050,000
Germany: Vilseck:	
Operations facility	4,050,000
POL storage facility	5,100,000
Vehicle wash facility	3,750,000
Korea: Camp Nimble: Barracks	2,200,000

AUTHORIZATION ADDITIONS

The following projects were not requested in the budget but were approved in the Senate authorization bill, and the Committee supports the projects at the levels noted:

Georgia: Fort Benning: School of Americas, phase I	$700,000
Massachusetts: Watertown Laboratory: Lab modernization	15,000,000
North Carolina: Fort Bragg: Air operations facility	3,280,000
Texas: Red River Army Depot: Sitework phase I	6,500,000

UNBUDGETED/UNAUTHORIZED

The following projects were included by the House but were not requested in the budget and not included in the Senate authorization bill, and therefore, have not been considered by the Committee:

Illinois: Savanna Army Depot: Transportability test center	$2,550,000
Missouri: Lake City Army Ammunitions Plant: Wastewater treatment facility	21,000,000
Texas:	
Fort Bliss: Modernize barracks	5,500,000
Fort Hood: Maintenance facility	20,000,000

AUTHORIZATION REDUCTIONS

The Committee has approved the following project reductions included in the Senate authorization bill:

North Carolina: Fort Bragg: Company operations	−$10,000,000
Germany:	
Giessen: Hardstand/facility upgrade	−7,500,000
Various sites: Range modernization	−15,000,000
Vilseck: Undistributed reduction	−9,000,000
Japan: Various locations: Ammunition storage complexes	−12,000,000

COMMITTEE REDUCTIONS

The Committee made the following reductions to projects included in the budget and the Senate authorization bill:

Germany:	
Mannheim: Hardstand/facility upgrade	−$9,400,000
Schweinfurt: Hardstand/facility upgrade	−2,800,000

COMMITTEE INCREASE

The Committee has provided $14,800,000 for a special operations academic instruction facility at Fort Bragg, NC, an increase of $2,000,000 over the Senate authorization bill. The Committee's increase reflects the most recent cost estimate for this priority project.

COMMITTEE ADDITIONS

The following projects, which were not included in the Senate authorization bill, were approved by the Committee:

Hawaii: Schofield Barracks: Child development center	$4,950,000
Maryland: Arberdeen Proving Ground: Research labratory addition	5,700,000
Germany: Wiesbaden: Aircraft maintenance hangar	30,000,000

Schofield Barracks, Hawaii, child development center.—The Committee has approved $4,950,000 to replace dilapidated 45-year-old buildings which do not meet minimum Army standards for child care.

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, research lab addition.—The Committee has included $5,700,000 for a ballistic research laboratory necessary to conduct studies of Army aircraft vulnerability.

Wiesbaden, Germany, aircraft maintenance hangar.—The Committee has approved $30,000,000 for an aircraft maintenance hanger to support the beddown of a new Apache helicopter unit at Wiesbaden.

OTHER CHANGES

The Committee has reduced the amount requested for planning and design, denied the general reduction approved by the House, included an additional amount for transfer to the "Foreign currency fluctuation" account, provided the full budget request for wartime host nation support, and increased the budget request for unspecified minor construction to reflect exercise construction activities. These changes have been discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest" and are reflected in the tables at the end of the report.

Fort Drum Light Infantry Division, NY.—Last year the Committee approved $610,000,000, including advanced appropriations for fiscal years 1988 and 1989, for the light infantry division in New York. The Committee provided the amounts requested, including the advanced appropriations, on the basis of testimony by the Army that such a funding procedure would permit expeditious construction and substantial project savings. However, when the Army awarded the construction contract earlier this year, a number of facilities, previously justified to the Congress, were deferred. The Army estimates an additional $157,000,000 will be necessary to complete the remaining Fort Drum projects.

The Committee fails to understand how the Army could have made an error of this magnitude. Fort Drum will cost 25 percent more than the Army estimates which were based on lump-sum, multiyear appropriations. This indicates that such appropriations procedures do not yield the desired results. The services are not encouraged to consider this approach for future construction initiatives.

With regard to Fort Drum, the Committee agrees with the House that the deferred projects must compete with all other military construction in future years. These projects will receive no special priority such as a lump-sum or an advanced appropriation.

The Committee is also requesting the General Accounting Office to review the Fort Drum situation to assertain the reasons for the planning

errors and provide the Committee with the lessons learned from this particular lump-sum, advanced appropriation experience.

Various locations, overseas construction.—As previously discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest" the Committee has made substantial reductions in the amounts requested for construction overseas, particularly in Germany and Japan. These reductions are due to budget constraint and the Committee's belief that additional efforts must be made by the Department to secure increased defense contributions from U.S. allies.

Fort Huachuca, AZ, replace destroyed facilities.—Twenty-three buildings at Fort Huachuca, AZ, were destroyed in one of the worst fires ever to occur at an Army base. The Department is directed to request a reprogramming to replace these facilities under the authority to construct facilities destroyed by national disasters, 10 U.S.C. 2854.

Special Forces facilities, Fort Campbell, KY.—The Department is directed to accelerate construction of facilities necessary to support the Special Forces group being deployed at Fort Campbell, KY, and provide the Committee with a report by January 15, 1988, which outlines specific milestones for completing the activation of the Special Forces group.

New Cumberland Army Depot, PA, access road.—The Committee has retained language included in the House bill to waive the certification requirements and expedite design of a new interchange on the Pennsylvania Turnpike to provide more efficient and safer access to the New Cumberland Army Depot. This road will provide direct access to the depot from the turnpike. The Committee supports the House position and directs the Army to include a request for funding of this project in the fiscal year 1989 budget as amended.

Tobyhanna Army Depot, PA, access road.—The Committee has retained language included in the House bill to waive the certification requirements and expedite design of road work necessary for safer and more efficient access to the Tobyhanna Army Depot. This project will allow for an additional lane from southbound I-380 to extend into the installation entrance. The Committee supports the House position and directs the Army to include a request for funding of this project in the fiscal year 1989 budget as amended.

Fort Jackson, SC, consolidation of facilities.—The existing major maintenance activities at the Fort Jackson recruit and training facility are located in widely dispersed and aging buildings requiring significant renovation. The Army has revealed plans for a six-phased project to consolidate these maintenance facilities but has not completed the required design work to start the project. The Committee supports the Army's goal of facility consolidation. However, it is imperative that the requisite design work of 35 percent be accomplished prior to the authorization and appropriation of funds for the project.

The Secretary of the Army is directed to initiate architectural and engineering design work during fiscal year 1988 for the maintenance facility consolidation project at Fort Jackson.

78-198 0 - 87 -- 2

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$1,350,915,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	1,844,072,000
House allowance (including rescission)	1,354,655,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	1,505,072,000
Rescission	−26,200,000
Net	1,478,872,000

The Committee is recommending $1,478,872,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction projects in fiscal year 1988 including rescissions. This amount reflects an increase of $124,217,000 to the House approved amount and a decrease of $365,200,000 from the budget request.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

AUTHORIZATION REDUCTIONS

The following projects were requested as part of the budget but were not approved in the Senate authorization bill and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

California:	
Marine Corps Air Station, Camp Pendleton: Station operations facility	$1,870,000
Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton: Tactical vehicle maintenance facility	9,700,000
Naval Legal Service Office, Long Beach: Naval legal service office	1,020,000
Navy Public Works Center, San Francisco: Transportation maintenance shops	11,700,000
Naval Training Center, San Diego:	
Firefighting trainer facility	7,800,000
Recruit support center	1,180,000
Connecticut: Naval Submarine Base, New London: Weapons storage improvements	3,300,000
District of Columbia: Commandant Naval District Washington:	
Administrative office space modernization	5,220,000
Transportation maintenance facility	5,300,000
Florida: Naval Training Center, Orlando: Public works shop	2,560,000
Hawaii: Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe Bay: Integrated signals intelligence system facility	2,360,000
North Carolina: Marine Corps Base, Camp LeJeune: Combat vehicle maintenance shops	8,070,000
Rhode Island: Naval Education and Training Center, Newport: Water distribution system improvements	3,840,000
Texas: Naval Air Station, Kingsville: Aircraft operational facilities	9,150,000
Washington: Trident Refit Facility, Bangor: Electrical distribution system improvements	1,080,000
Italy: Navy Support Office, La Maddalena: Cold-iron utilities support	7,480,000
Japan: Marine Corps Air Station, Futenma Okinawa: Flight line security improvements	3,790,000

Marine Corps Base, Camp LeJeune, NC., combat vehicle maintenance shops.—The Committee has not provided funding for this project without prejudice. Although this project was not included in the Senate authorization bill, the Committee believes it represents a valid requirement.

DEFERRED PROJECTS

The Committee is deferring a number of projects due to severe constraints on the budget. The projects deferred are as follows:

California:	
Fleet Antisubmarine Warfare Training Center Pacific, San Diego: Electrical distribution system improvements	$5,020,000
Fleet Training Center, San Diego: Weapons training building	4,080,000
Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton:	
Academic instruction building	7,700,000
Field maintenance shop facilities	11,500,000
Naval Air Rework Facility, Alameda: Plating shop	16,000,000
Naval Construction Battalion, Port Hueneme: Sealift support storage facilities	6,700,000
Naval Submarine Base, San Diego: Small craft berthing pier	4,040,000
Navy Public Works Center, San Diego: Public works shop	4,820,000
Marine Corps Logistics Base, Barstow: Rail spur area improvement	3,000,000
Naval Air Station, LeMoore: Flight line security improvements	2,560,000
Naval Air Station, North Island: Fire protection system upgrade	5,610,000
Naval Training Center, San Diego: Bridge	3,150,000
Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach: Missile assembly and test facility	7,970,000
Submarine Training Facility, San Diego: Submarine training facility	4,630,000
Connecticut: Naval Submarine Base, New London: Utilities improvements	2,900,000
District of Columbia:	
Commandant Naval District, Washington: Administrative office modernization	15,700,000
Naval Research Laboratory, Washington: Laboratory modernization	4,000,000
Florida: Naval Training Center, Orlando:	
Advanced underwater weapons training facility	3,050,000
Barracks	9,350,000
Indiana: Naval Weapons Support Center: Crane weapons development and test facility	1,570,000
Massachusetts: Navy Clothing and Textile Research Facility: Natick: Clothing laboratory addition	1,870,000
Oklahoma: Naval Air Detachment: Tinker Air Force Base: Aircraft support facilities	11,800,000
Rhode Island: Naval Education and Training Center, Newport:	
Municipal sewer connection	3,640,000
Water distribution system improvements	3,840,000
Texas: Naval Technical Training Center Detachment, Lackland AFB:	
Bachelor enlisted quarters	10,800,000
Security training center	5,500,000
Virginia:	
Naval Air Station, Norfolk: Ordinance handling area	1,770,000
Naval Air Station, Oceana: Air training facility	5,000,000
Washington:	
Naval Submarine Base, Bangor: Bachelor enlisted quarters	5,070,000
Naval Undersea Warfare Engineer Station, Keyport: Undersea warfare engineering center	10,000,000
Bermuda: Naval Air Station, Bermuda: Bachelor officer quarters	3,150,000
Canada: Naval Facility, Argentia, Newfoundland: Heating plant	400,000
Iceland: Naval Air Station, Keflavik: Fuel facilities	12,300,000
Philippines: Navy Air Station, Cubi Point: Maintenance hangar	12,800,000
United Kingdom: Naval activities, London: Automotive vehicle maintenance shop	600,000
Worldwide various: Historic properties	6,800,000

HOUSE DEFERRALS

The House deferred funding for the projects listed below due to lack of House authorization which the Committee believes are fully justified and, therefore, has recommended appropriations as noted:

Arizona: Marine Corps Air Station, Yuma: Maintenance hangars additions	$2,260,000
California:	
Marine Corps Air-Ground Combat Center, Twenty-nine Palms: Electronics and communications maintenance and storage facilities	7,480,000
Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton: Battalion headquarters	3,300,000
Naval School, Civil Engr. Corps, Port Hueneme: Procurement and management training building	7,200,000
Naval Station, Long Beach: Pier utilities upgrade	5,120,000
Naval Station, Treasure Island, San Francisco:	
Mess hall	1,570,000
Wharf utilities	20,800,000
Naval Weapons Center, China Lake: Construction management facility	850,000
Pacific Missile Test Center, Point Mugu: Construction management facility	650,000
Surface Warefare Off Sch Cmd Det, Coronado: Surface warefare insstruction building	4,130,000
District of Columbia: Commandant Naval District, Washington: White House support complex	27,000,000
Florida:	
Naval Air Station, Jacksonville: Hydrofoil berthing wharf	9,000,000
Naval Supply Center, Jacksonville: Bulk storage warehouse	4,720,000
Georgia: Naval Submarine Base, Kings Bay:	
Chapel/religious education facility	2,680,000
Community facilities	3,345,000
Defense ordinance support facilities	4,825,000
Hobby shop—auto (addition)	875,000
Library	1,670,000
Public works facilities	2,215,000
Hawaii: Naval Forces Central Command, Pearl Harbor: Administrative facility	2,260,000
Louisiana: Naval Station, Lake Charles:	
Berthing wharf	7,280,000
Port operations facilities	250,000
Mississippi: Naval Oceanography Command Bay, St. Louis: Administrative facility	1,600,000
New Jersey: Naval Weapons Station, Earle: Dredging	18,600,000
New York: Naval Station, New York:	
Land acquisition	4,609,000
Physical fitness center	2,060,000
Supply warehouse	6,980,000
Texas:	
Naval Station, Galveston: Headquarters support	2,080,000
Shore intermediate maintenance activity	6,620,000
Virginia:	
Naval Supply Center, Norfolk: Warehouses renovation and addition	5,900,000
Naval Weapons Station, Yorktown: Missile magazines	7,970,000
Antigua: Naval support facility: Naval support facility upgrade	3,250,000
Guam: Naval supply depot: Supply maintenance facility	5,700,000
Iceland: Naval Air Station, Keflavik: Combined operations center support facility	3,050,000

HOUSE REDUCTIONS

The House made additional reductions for projects considered to be of low operational utility or because of budgetary constraints. The Committee has reviewed the projects and believes many are valid requirements. The projects restored are as follows:

Florida: Naval Technical Training Center, Pensacola:	
Computer programming facility	$6,000,000
Crypto training building	2,170,000
Mississippi: Naval Station, Pascagoula: Berthing pier	8,870,000
Texas: Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi: Boiler plant modifications	1,180,000
Virginia:	
Naval Comm Area Master Station, Atlantic, Norfolk: Communications buildings additions	8,400,000
Naval Eastern Oceanography Center, Norfolk: Oceanographic building addition	600,000
Naval Weapons Station, Yorktown: Pier modernization	6,500,000
Guam: Naval facility: Public works facility	650,000

AUTHORIZATION ADDITIONS

The following projects were not requested in the budget but were approved in the Senate authorization bill, and the Committee supports the projects at the levels noted:

Illinois: Naval Training Center, Great Lakes: Chapel	$4,600,000
Mississippi: Naval Construction Battalion Center, Gulfport: Prepositioned war readiness material warehouse	7,500,000
Panama: Naval security group activity, Galeta Island: Operations building addition	1,000,000
Scotland: Naval security group activity, Edzell: Operations facility addition	4,200,000

UNBUDGETED/UNAUTHORIZED

The following project was included by the House but was not requested in the budget and not included in the Senate authorization bill, and, therefore, has not been considered by the Committee:

Connecticut: Naval Submarine Base, New London: Road improvements	$2,300,000

AUTHORIZATION REDUCTIONS

The Committee has approved the following project reductions included in the Senate authorization bill:

Georgia: Naval Submarine Base, Kings Bay: Unspecified reduction (excluding community impact)	−$7,000,000
North Carolina:	
Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point: Bachelor enlisted quarters	−4,400,000
Marine Corps Base, Camp LeJeune: Bachelor enlisted quarters	−4,725,000
Washington: Naval Station, Everett: Carrier support complex (increment III)	−34,000,000
Japan: Marine Corps Base, Camp Butler, Okinawa: Bachelor enlisted quarters improvements	−5,000,000

COMMITTEE REDUCTIONS

The Committee has approved the following additional project reductions:

California:	
Marine Corps Air-Ground Combat Center, Twenty-nine Palms: Bachelor enlisted quarters	−$6,800,000
Marine Corps Base: Camp Pendleton: Bachelor enlisted quarters	5,650,000

COMMITTEE ADDITIONS

The following projects, which were not included in the Senate authorization bill, were approved by the Committee:

Mississippi: Supervisor of shipbuilding, Pascagoula, bachelor enlisted quarters	$4,000,000
Pennsylvania: Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia: Water distribution system	14,000,000
Italy: Naval support activity, Naples: Command, control, communications, and intelligence complex (phase I)	24,900,000

Naval Shipyard/Naval Base, Philadelphia, PA, water distribution system.—The Committee recommends $14,000,000 for a major renovation/rehabilitation of the water distribution system at the Philadelphia Naval Base and Shipyard. This vitally important project was deferred by the Senate Armed Services Committee because of concern that the project could not be obligated during fiscal year 1988. The Committee has reviewed the justification for the project and sought the advice of the Navy on this project. The Committee believes that the initial phase of construction can begin during fiscal year 1988 and has thus approved funding for the project pending the conference on the DOD authorization bill.

The Committee is concerned that a project so vital to the safety of personnel and equipment has been deferred within the Navy for a number of years. The water distribution system at the Philadelphia Naval Base/Shipyard is so deteriorated that special water pumps are necessary to provide water to the ships undergoing repair. In addition, any emergency situations can cause ruptures to the existing system. The Committee directs the Navy to immediately review the construction requirements for the Navy-owned shipyards and give these requirements the same priority as other major modernization projects instead of having shipyard projects compete with new construction such as the strategic home porting facilities. The Committee directs the Navy to report to the Committees on Appropriations the 5-year plan for construction at the Navy-owned shipyards.

Naval support activity, Naples, Italy, command, control, communication, and intelligence [C^3I] complex.—The Committee has approved funding the first increment of a new C^3I complex for support of the 6th Fleet. The Committee is satisfied that the Navy has justified the proposal to move the C^3I complex 25 miles inland to Capua. Security problems and communication deficiencies at the existing site can only be satisfied by relocating the existing C^3I complex to Capua. The Committee has funded the project although it was not recommended in

the reported Senate authorization bill. The Committee has not funded the hospital replacement project requested in the "Defense Agencies" account, in accordance with the authorization bill.

While the Committee has approved the C^3I complex as the most appropriate solution to command and control deficiencies, it does not endorse the Navy's master plan to relocate all the Naples facilities to Capua. The General Accounting Office has reported the total cost of relocating all Naples facilities to Capua at more than $420,000,000 over 5 years. The Committee is concerned with the high total cost of the proposed relocation in view of the large backlog of other equally important military construction projects of all the services.

The Committee will not support any additional project, other than the C^3I complex, which is approved for security and operational reasons, until the Navy can fully justify the economics and the lack of viable alternatives.

Supervisor of shipbuilding, Pascagoula, MS, bachelor enlisted quarters.—The Committee has recommended funding $4,000,000 for a bachelor enlisted quarters improvements project at supervisor of shipbuilding, Pascagoula, MS. This project will complete the renovation of berthing, messing, and other support facilities at the Lakeside Manor Complex as originally planned in the acquisition project approved in fiscal year 1987. This work is necessary to provide adequate support for crews of ships undergoing overhaul or precommissioning work which precludes use of quarters aboard ship.

OTHER CHANGES

The Committee has reduced the amount requested for planning and design, denied the general reduction approved by the House, and increased the budget request for unspecified minor construction to reflect exercise construction activities. These changes have been discussed earlier in the report under "Items of special interest" and are reflected in the tables at the end of the report.

Strategic homeporting, various locations.—The Committee has included the full amount approved in the Senate authorization bill for the strategic homeporting initiative as follows:

Location	Budget request	House recommendation	Committee recommendation
Staten Island, NY	$37,400,000	$23,900,000	$37,400,000
Everett, WA	85,700,000	51,000,000	51,000,000
San Francisco, CA (Hunters Pt)	22,400,000		22,400,000
Long Beach, CA	5,100,000		5,100,000
Gulf locations:			
Pensacola, FL	16,200,000	16,200,000	16,200,000
Mobile, AL	10,700,000	10,700,000	10,700,000
Pascagoula, MS	17,100,000	8,200,000	21,900,000
Lake Charles, LA	10,300,000	2,800,000	10,300,000

Location	Budget request	House recommendation	Committee recommendation
Gulf locations—Continued			
Galveston, TX	$29,000,000	$20,300,000	$29,000,000
Ingleside, TX (Corpus Christi)	38,800,000	38,800,000	38,800,000
Subtotal (Gulf)	122,100,000	97,000,000	126,900,000
Total	272,700,000	171,900,000	242,800,000

The Committee takes note of the benefits bestowed on general navigation by the dredging and construction required for the establishment and maintenance of the various homeports. Construction at Everett, WA, and in the east waterway will improve security of the harbor. Construction of the wharf at Naval Station, Galveston, TX, will stabilize the shoreline along the intersection of the Galveston and Houston ship channels, and the construction of the wharf, pier, and shoreline bulkhead at Naval Station, Ingleside, TX, will stabilize the shoreline along the Corpus Christi ship channel. Fixed navigational points will be established by construction at Hunter's Point Annex, Naval Station, Treasure Island, CA, and on the Singing River by construction of Naval Station, Pascagoula, MS. Additionally, construction and dredging at the above sites and homeports at Naval Station, Staten Island, NY; Naval Air Station, Pensacola, FL; Naval Station, Mobile, AL; and Naval Station, Lake Charles, LA, will permit mooring of larger ships and enhance the maneuverability for both public and privately owned vessels.

The Committee has recommended an additional $4,800,000 for additional utilities and site improvements in the ship support complex (increment I) fiscal year 1988 project at Naval Station, Pascagoula, MS. This will fund all such work in fiscal year 1988, vice split funding, and will result in a corresponding decrease in the fiscal year 1989 (increment II) project. The increased fiscal year 1988 project total of $21,900,000 will allow the Navy to realize savings through properly phased early completion of site improvements and utility systems. Vertical construction programmed for fiscal year 1989 can then proceed on this constrained site with a minimum amount of interference between contractors.

Electrical distribution system upgrade, naval electronics system engineering activity, St. Inigoes, MD.—The Committee supports phase II improvements of the electrical distribution system servicing NESEA. The Committee directs the Navy to proceed with this project and request a reprogramming for $2,600,000 during fiscal year 1988.

Naval Hospital, Philadelphia, PA.—The Committee is aware of the Navy's plan with the support of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs to close the existing Naval Hospital in Philadelphia. The Committee urges the Navy and the Office of the Secretary of Defense to continue with the ongoing studies on how to provide for the medical care of personnel now receiving care at the hospital. In addition, the Committee directs the Navy to report to the Committee not later than January 15, 1988, on the status of design for the pro-

posed new medical clinic to be constructed at the Naval Base/Shipyard in Philadelphia. This new clinic will provide for the unique requirements of the shipyard and its medical needs and is necessary notwithstanding the future of the hospital. The clinic will replace an existing facility.

Memphis Naval Facilities, Millington, TN.—The Committee has provided the full budget request for projects at the Naval facility in Millington, TN. The Committee commends the Navy for canceling a proposed reorganization of the Chief of Naval Education and Training [CNET] which would have eliminated 70 percent of the headquarters staff assigned to the Millington technical training command. The Department is directed to provide the Committee with a copy of the 1984 inspector general's report concerning the organization of CNET. The Department is also directed to provide the Committee by March 28, 1988, a long-range plan outlining new missions which could be located at the Memphis Naval Facilities. The plan should include construction associated with the new missions.

Naval Air Detachment, Tinker AFB, OK, aircraft support facilities.—The Committee has deleted the request for facilities to support the replacement for TACAMO aircraft. This issue has been discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest."

Patuxent River Naval Air Test Center, MD, runway improvements.—The Committee understands that the runway at Patuxent River Naval Air Test Center is badly deteriorated and in need of repair. The Committee strongly urges the Navy to proceed with necessary repairs to the runway to reduce safety hazards.

Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, desalinization plant.—The Committee has been informed of a proposal to upgrade the desalinization plant at Guantanamo Bay Naval Base, Cuba. The Committee directs that this project be advertised in a manner that would permit competition between all potential technologies and not be limited to the existing technology currently utilized at the base.

Kings Bay Naval Base, GA, dredging.—The Committee directs that release of fiscal year 1988 funding for dredging, Kings Bay Naval Submarine Base, shall be contingent upon the mutual agreement of the Department of the Navy and the Department of the Interior on a memorandum of understanding which shall establish a long-term environmental monitoring program to examine the impact of the Navy dredging on the Cumberland Island National Seashore and the waters of the Cumberland Sound and the St. Mary's River. This memorandum of understanding shall, at a minimum, specify the different components of the monitoring program; which agency shall be responsible for executing each component; the funding level for each component; and how any necessary interagency transfer of funding will be accomplished.

The monitoring program should include an evaluation of changes in the shoreline and marsh areas of Cumberland Island; changes in ground water hydrology and in water quality on Cumberland and in the St. Mary's River and Cumberland Sound; the impact on fisheries in

affected waterways; and the impact on native plants and animals and endangered species on Cumberland Island and in Cumberland Sound.

Naval Weapons Station, Earle, NJ, dredging.—The Committee has approved funding for the dredging project at the Naval Weapons Station Earle, NJ, at the requested amount of $18,600,000 and directs the Navy to allocate planning funds of $250,000 or more for and alternate disposal plan for the fiscal year 1989 dredging project for the placement of beach quality dredged material on the beaches of Sea Bright/Monmouth Beach in Monmouth County, NJ. The Committee understands that any beach quality materials available under this alternate disposal plan would contribute to the first Federal construction increment of the Ocean Township to Sandy Hook reach of the Sandy Hook to Barnegat Inlet New Jersey project authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1958 and amended by section 854 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, Public Law 99–662. The Navy is, therefore, directed to ensure that the disposal plan for the fiscal year 1989 dredged materials is compatible with the Sandy Hook to Barnegat Inlet project and to coordinate with the Army Corps of Engineers to that end.

Furthermore, the Corps is directed to provide technical assistance to the Navy in contract management that is related to such disposal plan including monitoring and inspection of work. Non-Federal interests are to provide funding for all costs associated with such disposal plan that are in excess of the costs associated with the disposal alternative that the Navy would have otherwise used. Upon implementation of the Sea Bright and Monmouth Beach increment of the Sandy Hook to Barnegat Inlet project by the Corps of Engineers, the project's non-Federal sponsor shall be eligible for reimbursement from civil works funds (or they shall receive credit against their share of implementation costs, as the case may be) equal to one-half of the excess costs for the disposal plan required here consistent with the principles in section 145 of Public Law 94–587 (33 U.S.C. 426j), as amended.

Military Construction, Air Force

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$1,217,830,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	1,500,900,000
House allowance (including rescission)	1,091,150,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	1,179,014,000
Rescission	—24,800,000
Net	1,154,214,000

The Committee has recommended $1,154,214,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 1988 including a rescission. The recommendation amounts to a decrease of $346,686,000 from the budget request and an increase to the House recommendation of $63,064,000.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

AUTHORIZATION REDUCTIONS

The following projects were requested as part of the budget but were not approved in the Senate authorization bill and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

Alabama:	
Gunter Air Force Base: Extension Course Institute	$8,800,000
Maxwell Air Force Base:	
Base supply complex	7,400,000
Security police operations facility	1,700,000
Alaska: King Salmon Air Force Base: Communications facility	3,350,000
Arizona:	
Davis-Monthan Air Force Base: Traffic management complex	3,650,000
Luke Air Force Base: Base operations mobil trans alert facility	1,750,000
Arkansas: Little Rock Air Force Base: Addition to family support center	370,000
California:	
Mather Air Force Base: Base flight operations facility	2,300,000
McClellan Air Force Base: Alter electrical distribution system	3,600,000
Norton Air Force Base: Visiting airmen quarters	6,000,000
Vandenberg Air Force Base: Missile crew training facilities	6,200,000
Colorado:	
Peterson Air Force Base: Base supply warehouse phase I	4,100,000
U.S. Air Force Academy: Add-alter cadet activities center	6,100,000
Deleware: Dover Air Force Base: Addition to taxiway	1,250,000
District of Columbia: Library	1,750,000
Florida:	
Avon Park: Electron warfare OPS vehicle maintenance facility	3,700,000
Homestead Air Force Base: Visiting officers quarters	2,700,000
Tyndall Air Force Base: Land acquisition	700,000
Hawaii: Hickam Air Force Base: Fire protection—various facilities	1,550,000
Louisiana: Barksdale Air Force Base: JP-7 fuel storage/dispens facilities	2,900,000
Michigan: Wurtsmith Air Force Base: B-52 organizational maintenance shop	3,050,000
Montana: Malmstrom Air Force Base: KC-135R vehicle operations heated parking	2,150,000
New Jersey: McGuire Air Force Base: Alter unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	8,900,000
New York:	
Griffiss Air Force Base: Alter electrical distribution system	8,480,000
Plattsburgh Air Force Base: Add-alter vehicle maintenance shop	2,900,000
North Dakota: Minot Air Force Base:	
Security police operations facility	4,900,000
T-9 noise suppressor support facility	740,000
Ohio: Wright-Patterson Air Force Base: Add-alter aircraft modification center	2,050,000
Oklahoma: Tinker Air Force Base: Advanced composite repair facility	9,200,000
South Dakota: Ellsworth Air Force Base: STC alter consolidated aircraft maintenance facility	2,150,000
Texas:	
Bergstrom Air Force Base: NCO professional education center	3,350,000
Brooks Air Force Base: Base civil engineer complex	4,100,000
Lackland Air Force Base: Student officer housing	12,000,000
Randolph Air Force Base: Administration facility (AFMEA)	2,300,000
Reese Air Force Base: Survival equipment shop	1,050,000
Sheppard Air Force Base: Student office housing	4,700,000
Utah: Hill Air Force Base:	
Gapfiller radar facility	1,500,000
Missile maintenance shop	2,200,000
Tactical control squadron spec OPS facility	3,750,000

Virginia: Langley Air Force Base: Tactical control flight facility	$2,000,000
Wyoming: F.E. Warren Air Force Base: Data processing facility	1,800,000
Conus various: Air Force unspecified command: Historic facilities support	2,800,000
Germany:	
Hahn Air Base: Add to vehicle maintenance facility	1,900,000
Ramstein Air Base:	
Add-alter NCO academy (KAPUN)	1,750,000
Combat ammunitions control center	1,250,000
Vehicle maintenance shop	7,200,000
Warehouse	2,150,000
Sembach Air Base: UPG water storage and distribution system	2,250,000
Zweibrucken Air Base: Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	1,850,000
Guam: Andersen Air Force Base: Add-alter physical fitness center	3,600,000
Japan:	
Kadena Air Base:	
Alter unaccompanied personnel housing	2,850,000
Fire protection—various facilities	1,850,000
Jet fuel storage phase V	5,500,000
Yokota Air Base:	
Add-alter corrosion control facility	3,150,000
Alter command center	2,600,000
Korea:	
Kunsan Air Base:	
Alter aircraft shelter	3,000,000
UPG electrical distribution system phase III	2,250,000
Osan Air Base:	
Add to numbered Air Force headquarters	1,750,000
Security police operations facility	2,200,000
Panama: Howard Air Force Base: Munitions facilities	2,450,000
Philippines: Clark Air Force Base:	
Aeromed evac airlift squadron facility	1,300,000
Flow through shelters phase I	8,600,000
United Kingdom:	
RAF Bentwaters: Security police operations facility	1,310,000
RAF Upper Heyford: Add to/alter vehicle maintenance shop	2,400,000
RAF Woodbridge: Supply warehouse	1,650,000

DEFERRED PROJECTS

The Committee is deferring a number of projects due to severe constraints on the budget. The projects deferred are as follows:

California:	
Beale Air Force Base: Unaccompnaied enlisted personnel housing	$5,000,000
Edwards Air Force Base: F-15E add-alter engineering test support	3,700,000
Vandenberg Air Force Base: Launch complex shop facility	1,300,000
Colorado: Peterson Air Force Base: Space operations training facility	10,500,000
Florida: Cape Canaveral Air Force Station: Launch operation support facility	910,000
Maryland: Andrews Air Force Base: Alter unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	2,650,000
Montana: Malmstrom Air Force Base: KC135R aircraft maintenance shops	7,300,000
Texas:	
Brooks Air Force Base: Vehicle maintenance shop	2,750,000
Kelly Air Force Base: Fuel systems accessories test facility	10,900,000
Laughlin Air Force Base: Add to vehicle maintenance shop	2,200,000
Wyoming: F.E. Warren Air Force Base: Alter unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	2,100,000

Virginia: Langley Air Force Base:	
ASAT—Administrative building	$520,000
ASAT—Control center	430,000
ASAT—Hazardous materials storage	310,000
ASAT—Integrated maintenance facility	9,500,000
ASAT—Missile and motor storage facility	1,950,000
ASAT—Security control	290,000
ASAT—Utilities/pavements and site work	3,000,000
Conus classified, classified project	1,500,000
Belgium: Florennes:	
Alter munitions storage facilities	410,000
CW protect—Central security control	1,700,000
CW protect—Wing command post	1,700,000
GLCM—Chem warfare prototype—HF telecommunications facility	1,100,000
GLCM—Communications squadron facility	510,000
GLCM—Fire station	330,000
GLCM—Security police operations facility	800,000
GLCM—TRP subsistence warehouse/BS cold storage	1,100,000
GLCM—Vehicle maintenance complex	1,500,000
GLCM—Youth center	800,000
Germany:	
Bitburg Air Base: Vehicle maintenance facility	1,400,000
Rhein-Main Air Base: Add-alter air passenger terminal	9,900,000
Wueschheim:	
Alter munitions storage facilities	450,000
GLCM—Comm squadron facility	800,000
GLCM—CW protect HF telecomm facility	990,000
GLCM—CW protect—Central security control	1,650,000
GLCM—CW protect—Wing command post	1,750,000
Italy: Comiso Air Base:	
GLCM—Add-alter base cold storage	760,000
GLCM—Communications squadron facility	480,000
GLCM—CW protect HF telecommunications facility	1,100,000
GLCM—Library	230,000
GLCM—MWR central storage facility	380,000
GLCM—Security police operations facility	610,000
GLCM—Vehicle maintenance complex	2,250,000
Korea:	
Camp Humphreys: Operation maintenance and storage facility	5,550,000
Kunsan Air Base: Vehicle operation/maintenance complex	5,000,000
Kwang-Ju Air Base: WRM vehicle parking	900,000
Osan Air Base: Aircraft maintenance facility	3,000,000
Suwon: Semihardened command post	3,650,000
Netherlands:	
Camp New Amsterdam: Add to base supply complex	2,600,000
Woensdrecht Air Base:	
Alter munitions storage facilities	400,000
GLCM—Administrative support facility	2,750,000
GLCM—Athletic fields and courts	550,000
GLCM—Base theater	900,000
GLCM—Chapel center	1,650,000
GLCM—Child development center	600,000
GLCM—Community recreation center	1,600,000
GLCM—Consolidated hobby shop	830,000
GLCM—Data processing facility	3,500,000
GLCM—Forms and publications warehouse	280,000
GLCM—Group headquarters facility	4,950,000
GLCM—Physical fitness facility	2,500,000

Netherlands—Continued	
Woensdrecht Air Base—Continued	
GLCM—Recreation library	$520,000
GLCM—Services supply warehouse	700,000
GLCM—Traffic management facility	1,450,000
GLCM—Vehicle maintenance complex	1,600,000
GLCM—Visiting airmen quarters	1,350,000
GLCM—Visiting officer quarters	1,400,000
GLCM—Youth Center	500,000
Philippines: Clark Air Force Base:	
Add-alter fuel system maintenance dock	1,650,000
Equipment maintenance facility	800,000
Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	6,400,000
Water supply/storage/distribution system	3,000,000
United Kingdom:	
Molesworth: GLCM—Communications squadron facility	450,000
RAF Fairford:	
Add-alter physical fitness center	1,700,000
Digital European backbone facility	1,250,000
RAF Greenham Common:	
GLCM—Arts and crafts shop	550,000
GLCM—Auto hobby shop	600,000
GLCM—Youth center	990,000
RAF Upper Heyford: Consolidated support center	5,600,000

Peterson AFB, CO.—The Committee has denied the $10,500,000 requested for a space operations training facility at Peterson. Justification for this project is essentially identical to that of two previously appropriated test, development, and training center projects (fiscal year 1984 and fiscal year 1986) which provided 87,100 square feet for the purpose of system testing, software development, and personnel training. The earlier projects were strongly supported by this Committee in the interest of precluding false attack warnings and vacating leased space—factors that previous justification stated would be resolved without mention of a subsequent requirement for an additional 87,000 square feet of space. The Committee feels that adequate space has been built for this purpose and any further requirement should be satisfied by utilization of vacant space in the 530,000 square foot Consolidated Space Operations Center at Falcon Air Force Station.

Various overseas locations, ground launched cruise missile facilities.—The Committee has deleted funds, without prejudice, to provide facilities for ground launched cruise missiles deployed at various locations in Europe. This issue has been discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest."

Antisatellite [ASAT] facilities, Langley AFB, VA.—The Committee has deleted funds, without prejudice, for construction of an operational ASAT facility. This issue has been discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest."

HOUSE DEFERRALS

The House deferred funding for the projects listed below due to lack of House authorization which the Committee believes are fully justified and, therefore, has recommended appropriations as noted:

Alaska: Eielson Air Force Base: Aircraft maintenance complex	$4,700,000
Arizona: Luke Air Force Base: F-16 academics facility	2,600,000
Colorado: Falcon Air Force Station: Space environment forecast center	2,150,000
Florida: MacDill Air Force Base: Wing operations and maintenance management facility	3,050,000
Louisiana: England Air Force Base: Consolidated base personnel office	3,400,000
Montana: Malmstrom Air Force Base: KC13SR—Alter weapons system maintenance management facility	1,250,000
Nebraska: Offutt Air Force Base: Processing correlation center	5,900,000
New Mexico: Canon Air Force Base: Small aircraft maintenance docks	6,200,000
Texas:	
Dyess Air Force Base: B-1B—Add to and alter combat crew training facility	2,300,000
Lackland Air Force Base: Academic facility—Security police	5,600,000
Utah: Hill Air Force Base:	
Add to avionics support facility	12,500,000
Peacekeeper add/alter static test stand	3,800,000
Conus various: Cent Conus OTH-B real estate acquisition	6,000,000
Panama: Howard Air Force Base:	
Add-alter reconnaissance photo lab	940,000
STOL beddown support facilities	7,000,000
Upgrade airfield facilities	10,690,000
Philippines: Clark Air Force Base: Fire protection—Various facilities	2,150,000
Turkey: Incirlik Air Base: Rapid runway repair equipment storage facility	780,000
United Kingdom:	
RAF Alconbury: Add to water storage	890,000
RAF Bentwaters: CW protect—Squadron OPS facility	1,600,000
RAF Fairford:	
Jet fuel storage/hydrant fuel system	7,800,000
T-9 noise suppressor support facility	800,000

HOUSE REDUCTIONS

The House made additional reductions for projects considered to be of low operational utility or because of budgetary constraints. The Committee has reviewed the projects and believes many are valid requirements. The projects restored are as follows:

Arizona: Holbrook: Strategic training range—Composite support facility	$1,350,000
California: Beale Air Force Base: Aircraft shelters	1,000,000
Illinois: Scott Air Force Base: Telecommunications facility	2,550,000
Missouri: Whiteman Air Force Base:	
ATB—Base civil engineer support facility	1,800,000
ATB—Corrosion control facility	4,500,000
Texas: Kelly Air Force Base: Add-alter cryptologic support center complex	17,000,000

AUTHORIZATION ADDITIONS

The following projects were not requested in the budget but were approved in the Senate authorization bill, and the Committee supports the projects at the levels noted:

Colorado: Lowry Air Force Base:	
Academic classrooms addition/alteration	$3,750,000
Transportation complex	3,300,000
Georgia: Robins Air Force Base: Hangar	15,500,000
Maine: Loring Air Force Base: Ramp refuel	13,100,000
Michigan: K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base: Child development center addition/alteration	1,500,000
Oklahoma: Vance Air Force Base: Waste treatment correction	2,200,000

UNBUDGETED/UNAUTHORIZED

The following projects were included by the House, but were not requested in the budget and not included in the Senate authorization bill, and, therefore, have not been approved by the Committee:

Arkansas: Little Rock Air Force Base: Explosive ordinance facility	$400,000
California: Castle Air Force Base: Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing	9,450,000
Kansas: McConnell Air Force Base: Visiting officer quarters	2,200,000
Mississippi: Columbus Air Force Base: Civil engineering facility	4,400,000
Washington, Fairchild Air Force Base: Asbestos removal/steamplant	2,050,000

AUTHORIZATION REDUCTION

The Committee has approved the following project reduction included in the Senate authorization bill:

Korea: Kunsan Air Base: Alter aircraft shelter	$7,000,000

COMMITTEE REDUCTIONS

The Committee made the following reductions to projects included in the budget request and the Senate authorization bill:

New Mexico: Kirtland Air Force Base: Munitions storage complex	−$8,000,000
Portugal: Lajes Field: Ramp lighting	−2,300,000

Lajes Field, Portugal, ramp lighting.—The Committee has reduced this project by 50 percent. The remaining amount should be sought through NATO infrastructure.

AUTHORIZATION INCREASES

The Committee has approved the following project increases which were included in the Senate authorization bill:

Nevada: Nellis Air Force Base:	
Add-alter jet engine interm maintenance shop	$1,450,000
Control tower cab	1,450,000

COMMITTEE ADDITION

The following projects were not included in the Senate authorization bill, has been approved by the Committee:

Arkansas: Blytheville Air Force Base: Mission operations facility	$5,900,000
North Carolina: Pope Air Force Base, supply complex	11,000,000

Blytheville, AFB, AR, mission operations facility.—The Comittee has approved this project, which was also approved by the House. The Committee believes a new consolidated mission operations facility is needed to correct existing dificiencies which seriously detract from mission effectiveness at Blytheville.

Pope AFB, NC, base supply complex.—The Committee agrees with the House that this is a high-priority project for the airlift wing supporting the 82d Airborne Division.

OTHER CHANGES

The Committee has reduced the amount requested for planning and design, denied the general reduction approved by the House, included an additional amount for transfer to the "Foreign currency fluctuation" account, and increased the budget request for unspecified minor construction to reflect exercise construction activities. These changes have been discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest" and are reflected in the tables at the end of the report.

Nellis AFB, NV.—The Committee has included a general provision which would prohibit the expenditure of military construction funds to support, directly or indirectly, the proposed deactivation of the 474th Tactical Fighter Wing at Nellis AFB, NV.

Minot AFB, ND.—The Committee has included a general provision which would prohibit the expenditure of military construction funds to support, directly or indirectly, the proposed deactivation of the 5th Fighter Interceptor Squadron, at Minot AFB, ND.

Williams AFB, AZ.—The Committee has reviewed the need for a consolidated support facility at Williams AFB, AZ, and believes the project has merit. The Department is directed to include this project in the fiscal year 1989 budget request.

Arnold Engineering Development Center, Tullahoma, TN, various projects.—The Department is directed to resubmit the request for phased funding beginning in fiscal year 1989 of a large rocket test facility (J–6) at AEDC, TN. The Air Force is further directed to provide the Committee with a report by February 15, 1988, which outlines the applicability of the J–6 facility to conduct altitude tests on specific systems including, but not limited to, the heavy lift vehicle, the small ICBM, Trident II D–5, and MX.

The Committee commends the Air Force for its efforts to reach a mutually acceptable agreement with the Elk Regional Resource Authority [TERRA] for the construction of a regional thermal transfer facility to be located at the Arnold Engineering Development Center [AEDC], Tullahoma, TN. However, due to the fact that the Coffee County landfill, which is leased from AEDC, will soon reach capacity, it is vital that negotiations for the construction of the TERRA facility be brought to a successful conclusion at the earliest possible date. The Committee urges that an agreement be reached prior to December 15, 1987.

Arnold Air Force Station, TN, support for Reserve components.—It has come to the Committee's attention that only 7,000 acres of the 43,000-acre Arnold Air Force Station, TN, is being utilized by the Air Force and another 3,000 by the Army National Guard. The Army National Guard has a requirement for approximately 30,000 additional acres. The Committee believes utilization of the additional land by the Reserve components will be more cost effective than transporting the units long

distances to complete required training. The Committee also finds that no suitable alternative training space is available to accommodate the training requirements of the Tennessee National Guard.

The Committee believes this problem needs immediate attention. The Air Force is directed to survey the Arnold Air Force Station to determine if all or part of the Federal property, except the 7,000 acres utilized by the Arnold Engineering Development Center, can be licensed to the Tennessee Army National Guard for the training of Reserve components for wartime missions. The Committee should be provided a report on this survey along with a plan to accomplish such an arrangement by March 28, 1988.

Keesler AFB, MS, defense access road.—The Committee directs the Department of the Air Force to reprogram funds from the defense access road account to complete defense access road, phase IV, at Keesler Air Force Base, including West Howard Avenue, Meadows Drive, Forest Avenue, Division Street, and Rodenberg Avenue.

Old Stone Barracks, Plattsburg AFB, NY.—The Committee is aware of the existing building 625 at Plattsburg AFB, NY. This historic structure was built in 1838, and history relates that President Grant spent some time in the building. On the National Register for Historic Buildings, the Old Stone Barracks has been unusable since the late 1950's and sits vacant. The Air Force is directed to prepare a report on the future use of this structure including cost estimates to renovate it and when funding will be requested to make this a useful facility. The report from the Air Force should be provided to the Committee not later than January 15, 1988.

Various locations, overseas construction.—As previously discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest" the Committee has made substantial reductions in the amounts requested for construction overseas, particularly in Germany and Japan. These reductions are due to budget constraint and the Committee's belief that additional efforts must be made by the Department to secure increased defense contributions from U.S. allies.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE AGENCIES

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$534,170,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	859,200,000
House allowance (including rescission)	557,686,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	597,865,000
Rescission	−7,200,000
Net	590,665,000

The Committee has recommended $590,665,000 for projects considered within the "Defense agencies" account. The amount recommended reflects an increase of $32,979,000 to the House figure and a decrease of $268,535,000 to the budget request.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

AUTHORIZATION DELETIONS

The following projects were requested as part of the budget but were not approved in the Senate authorization bill and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

Utah: Defense Depot Ogden: Administrative facility	$10,000,000
Virginia:	
Arlington Service Center: DCA HQS new office building	13,565,000
Langley Air Force Base: Life safety upgrade	1,500,000
Washington:	
Defense Fuel Support Point Mukilteo: Fuel pier	7,290,000
Whidbey Island Naval Air Station: Hospital clinic addition	16,500,000
Conus various: Historic facilities	1,500,000
Germany: Spangdalen Air Base: High school	7,300,000
Italy: Naples: Hospital replacement	30,000,000
United Kingdom:	
Bicester: Elementary school	5,650,000
Upwood: Elementary school addition	3,900,000

DEFERRED PROJECTS

The Committee is deferring a number of projects due to severe constraints on the budget. The projects deferred are as follows:

District of Columbia: Anacostia Naval Station: WHCA support complex	$30,170,000
Luxembourg: Echternach: Medical contingency complex	15,500,000
Netherlands: Woensdrecht:	
Clinic/dental clinic	6,800,000
Medical warehouse	360,000
Philippines:	
Clark AFB: Composite medical facility add/alter	40,000,000
Subic Bay: Hospital replacement phase I	3,500,000

Anacostia Naval Station, White House Communication Agency support complex.—The Committee deleted funds for this new facility because of budget constraints. However, the project was deferred without prejudice and the Department is directed to resubmit the project in the fiscal year 1989 budget request.

Echternach, Luxembourg, Medical contingency complex.—The Committee has deleted funds for construction of a medical contingency complex to support wartime casualties in Europe. The Committee supports upgrading medical contingency facilities in the European theater but believes such projects should be financed through the commonly funded NATO infrastructure program.

Woensdrecht, The Netherlands, dental clinic and medical warehouse.—These funds are deleted, without prejudice, under the terms set out earlier in the report for cruise missile facilities under "Items of Special Interest."

Clark Air Force Base and Subic Bay Navy Base, the Philippines, medical facilities.—The Committee has deleted funding for these projects. Discussion of this issue is included earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest."

HOUSE DEFERRALS

The House deferred funding for the projects listed below due to lack of House authorization which the Committee believes are fully justified and, therefore, has recommended appropriations as noted:

Colorado: Falcon Air Station: National test facility	$70,000,000
District of Columbia: Pentagon: Power source	679,000
Virginia: Defense General Supply Center, Richmond: Connector warehouse	18,500,000
Turkey: Incirlik Air Base: Second echelon medical logistics storage	860,000
Worldwide various: Conforming storage facilities	11,400,000

Falcon Air Station, CO, Strategic defense initiative national test facility.—The Committee has provided $70,000,000 for construction of a national test facility for the SDI program. The Committee does not intend delays of construction of the national test facility. However, the Committee is concerned that the goals for the national test facility [NTF] and the entire National Test Bed [NTB] Program are vague and not fully defined at this point. The Committee believes that the type of national test facility and related national test bed components that will be developed depends in large part on the type of system architectures the test bed will be testing and evaluating. Therefore, section 129 of the bill directs that no funds may be spent on NTB components of the NTF until the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization [SDIO] has begun to produce more refined architectures detailing ballistic missile defense systems for a phase one deployment and follow-on deployment and the Committee has had an opportunity to conduct a preliminary review of these architectures. The Strategic Defense Initiative Organization is not expected to develop these more refined architectures until it has begun the phase one system support effort and the follow-on architecture study.

The Committee believes that the goal of the NTF and the other components of the NTB should be to simulate, evaluate, and demonstrate architectures and technologies that satisfy the statutory and administrative criteria of technical feasibility, cost effectiveness at the margin, and survivability. The Committee strongly supports an NTF and NTB that will pursue this goal and directs SDI to report in detail on how they will achieve it. The Committee expects that the above reports will be submitted before the end of fiscal year 1988.

The NTF and the other components of the NTB should be test facilities to test and evaluate SDI architectures and technologies. The Committee, however, does not support the NTF or other components of the NTB being used or configured as operational facilities to provide operational battle management or command control and communications capabilities for a near-term deployment of SDI. In addition, the Committee believes that the NTF and the other components of the NTB should support the President's objective for SDI of a long-term research and development program. The Committee does not believe that the NTF and the other components of the NTB should be dedicated to the development of an early deployment of ballistic missile defenses.

The Committee further notes that the fiscal year 1988 DOD justification sheets for SDI state that the national test bed will be used to create operationally significant ABM Treaty-compliant integrated system experiments. The Committee concurs and, therefore, expects that the funds provided for the NTF in this act will not be used for any integrated system experiments that are not ABM Treaty-compliant.

HOUSE REDUCTIONS

The House made additional reductions for projects considered to be of low operational utility or because of budgetary constraints. The Committee has reviewed the projects and believes many are valid requirements. The projects restored are as follows:

Maryland: Fort Meade: Security structures	$7,000,000
Virginia: Defense General Supply Center, Richmond: Administrative space	2,000,000
Greece: Iraklion Air Station: Second Echelon medical logistics storage	340,000

AUTHORIZATION ADDITIONS

The following projects were approved in the Senate authorization bill, and the Committee supports the projects at the levels noted:

Arkansas: Little Rock AFB: Hospital alterations	$610,000
Texas: Fort Sam Houston: Access road [BAMC]	8,600,000

COMMITTEE REDUCTION

The Committee has made the following reduction to a project included in the budget and the Senate authorization bill:

District of Columbia: Fort McNair: Academic/library facility	−$28,000,000

The Committee has provided funds sufficient to initiate site work on a new academic facility at National Defense University. The Committee has denied $28,000,000 for building construction due to budget constraints and directs that these funds be requested in fiscal year 1989.

OTHER CHANGES

The Committee restored the House reduction for unspecified minor construction and has included the level of the budget request. Other changes, previously discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest" include a reduction in the amount requested for planning and design and provision of an additional amount for transfer to the "Foreign currency fluctuation" account.

Nellis AFB, NV, joint Air Force/VA composite medical facility.—The Committee applauds the cooperation between the Air Force and the Veterans Administration in the planned construction of the first joint VA-Air Force hospital, located at Nellis AFB, NV, and encourages continued cooperation in this regard. The Committee directs the Department to use fiscal year 1988 planning and design funds to expedite the design of this facility and to include this facility in the fiscal year 1990 budget.

Blytheville AFB, AR, composite medical facility.—The Committee supports construction of a new composite medical facility at Blytheville

AFB to replace the existing aging, cramped, and inefficient facility. The Committee agrees with the House and directs that $2,100,000 be utilized from planning and design funds to initiate project design.

National Security Agency, Maryland, access road and bridge.—The Committee agrees with the House that the Route 32 bridge is dangerous and inadequate to accommodate traffic in the area. The Committee directs the Department to utilize $2,000,000 to initiate project design and that a replacement bridge project be included in a request for the Defense Access Road Fund Program in fiscal year 1989.

Naval Air Station, Adak, AK, middle school.—The Supplemental Appropriations Act included specific authority for the Navy to proceed with design of the Adak, AK, middle school. Due to the absence of civilian community support to meet military dependent education needs at Adak, the Senate authorization bill endorsed the Navy's plan to budget for construction of the school in fiscal year 1989.

In contrast to this position, the House contends that the responsibility for the Adak school construction rests with the Department of Education, despite statutory authority granted to the Defense Department in such unique cases.

Therefore, the Office of Management and Budget shall review the Adak project and instruct such funds as necessary be reflected in the fiscal year 1989 budget request within the appropriate account.

Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, TX.—Although no funds have been requested for fiscal year 1988 for construction of a replacement facility at the Brooke Army Medical Center, the Committee notes the support of Congress last year for design of a 450-bed hospital with initial construction of a 200-bed facility. The Committee expects that the replacement facility will meet the specifications outlined by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, particularly with regard to the levels of treatment and training. The Committee notes that current estimates for construction of a replacement hospital are $241,000,000 for a 200-bed facility and $286,000,000 for a 450-bed facility. The Committee directs the Department, in conjunction with its fiscal year 1989 budget request, to submit a report comparing the 200- and 450-bed facilities in terms of cost, levels of inpatient and outpatient treatment, graduate medical education positions, staffing requirements, and overall quantity and quality of care. Such report should also contain an estimate of the cost to expand the 200-bed facility to 450 beds at some later date.

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, hospital addition.—The Committee believes justification exists for upgrading the clinic at Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, and urges the Department to initiate design of a 40-bed hospital addition.

Defense access roads, Pennsylvania.—The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense or his designee (Military Traffic Management Command) to study the requirements and report to the Committee on defense access roads at the following locations: Philadelphia Naval Base, 26th and Penrose intersection; Mechanicsburg Naval Supply Depot,

Harrisburg Expressway connector; and Air Force Reserve units at Greater Pittsburgh International Airport, Southern Expressway. Each of these locations involve major military installations which place great demand on already overcrowded highways. This report should be made available to the Committee not later than February 15, 1988.

NATO Infrastructure

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$232,000,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	396,000,000
House allowance (including rescission)	368,000,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	386,000,000
Rescission	−8,000,000
Net	378,000,000

The Committee recommendation for the "NATO infrastructure" account is $378,000,000 including a rescission. This appropriation provides the U.S. share of commonly funded NATO infrastructure in Europe. The Committee recommendation reflects a decrease of $18,000,000 from the budget request as recommended in the reported Senate authorization bill and an increase in the House allowance of $10,000,000. The detail on the Committee's recommendation for this account is discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest."

Military Construction, Guard and Reserve Components

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$479,904,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	579,337,000
House allowance (including rescission)	505,884,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	608,778,000
Rescission	−11,000,000
Net	597,778,000

The Committee recommends $597,778,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is $18,441,000 above the budget request and $91,894,000 above the House recommendation.

Individual component recommendations, including rescissions, are as follows:

Component	1987 adjusted	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard	$140,879,000	$170,400,000	$155,552,000	$192,425,000
Air National Guard	148,925,000	160,800,000	122,975,000	162,216,000
Army Reserve	86,700,000	95,100,000	93,300,000	93,300,000
Naval Reserve	44,500,000	73,737,000	66,437,000	72,537,000
Air Force Reserve	58,900,000	79,300,000	67,620,000	77,300,000

SUPPORT FOR GUARD AND RESERVE

The Committee reiterates statements earlier in this report that more emphasis should be placed on the Guard and Reserve components. The Committee believes that budgetary constraints will necessitate a reevaluation of the current active force structure. The Guard and Reserve components must, therefore, prepare to take up the slack which could be left in America's military capability as a result of a potential reduction of expensive Active Force units.

The Committee has increased the amount requested for the Guard and Reserve to reflect the priority the Committee places on the citizen-soldier component of the total force. The Committee also directs the Department to devote substantial portions of the "Alternative Force Structure Report" to detailing those missions which the Guard and Reserve could assume as the U.S. active force structure is altered and as U.S. allies take more responsibility for the defense burden overseas.

The Committee has followed the success of Guard and Reserve units which perform tactical and strategic airlift missions. In particular, the Committee believes the Air National Guard and the Air Force Reserve are uniquely qualified to take over much of the strategic airlift mission of the Air Force Military Airlift Command. The Committee believes the military construction investment which would be necessary to support C–5 and C–141 aircraft assigned to Air Guard units would quickly amortize as substantial savings would accrue through personnel savings and by extending the usable life on the airframes of the aircraft.

The Committee directs the Department of Defense, with the cooperation of the Air Force, the Air National Guard, and the Air Force Reserve, to prepare a master plan of facility construction which would be necessary to accommodate the acceleration of a policy to increase the strategic airlift mission assigned to the Guard and Reserve components. The master plan shall be submitted to the Committee by March 28, 1988.

PROGRAM CHANGES

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

HOUSE DEFERRALS

The House deferred funding for the projects listed below due to lack of House authorization which the Committee believes are fully justified and, therefore, has recommended appropriations as noted:

Army National Guard: Virginia: Arlington Hall Station: National Guard center	$27,983,000
Air National Guard:	
Alaska: Eielson AFB: Composite maintenance and site preparation complex	15,400,000
Tennessee: McGhee-Tyson Airport: Professional military education center	5,200,000
Navy Reserve: Georgia: NAS Atlanta: Aircraft maintenance hangar (C-9)	6,100,000
Air Force Reserve:	
Florida: Homestead AFB:	
Add to/alter facilities for conversion	1,040,000
Engine inspection and repair facility	1,750,000
Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB:	
Add to/alter maintenance facilities	690,000
Composite training facility	6,200,000

Army National Guard, Arlington Hall Station, VA, National Guard Center.—The Committee has carefully reviewed the proposal to construct a consolidated headquarters for the Army National Guard and has determined it is fully justified. The Committee directs the Army to proceed with design and construction of the Army National Guard Center at Arlington Hall Station, VA, but is concerned about the potential economic impact consolidation could have on the Edgewood/Aberdeen, MD, area. Therefore, the Army is directed to make every effort to replace civilian personnel spaces at the Edgewood/Aberdeen complex prior to consolidating NGB Edgewood/Aberdeen functions to the Military District of Washington. The Committee has included a general provision which would prevent such a transfer of personnel assigned to Edgewood during fiscal year 1988.

Air National Guard, McGhee Tyson Airport, TN, professional military education center [PMEC].—The Committee has restored funding deleted by the House for the PMEC. The Committee believes this project is vital to the expansion and modernization of facilities in support of leadership and management training for Air National Guard [ANG] personnel. During recent years an overall personnel strength increase of 22 percent has resulted in a corresponding increase of 150 percent in student population at the PMEC. Facilities are not available to support this growth. Lack of classrooms and student living quarters will result in inadequate training in leadership and management for ANG personnel, and will impact negatively on the operation, maintenance, and support of the complex modern weapon system assigned to the ANG. The Committee believes this project should be executed without delay.

Army Reserve, Bluefield Army Reserve Center, WV.—Within the funds provided for Army Reserve, the Committee directs that $55,000 shall be made available for the expansion of the military vehicle parking lot and the security fence at the Bluefield Army Reserve Center.

AUTHORIZATION ADDITIONS

The following projects were approved in the Senate-reported authorization bill, and the Committee supports the projects at the levels noted:

Army National Guard:	
Mississippi:	
Camp McCain: Vehicle wash facility	$2,000,000
Camp Shelby:	
200-person armory	1,946,000
Tank trail	1,249,000
Drew: 60-person armory	700,000
Greenwood: 60-person armory	700,000
Picayune: 100-person armory	700,000
Pontotoc: 60-person armory	600,000
Tupelo: Armory addition/alteration	2,900,000
New Mexico:	
Las Cruces: 400-person armory	1,984,000
Roswell: 200-person armory	1,637,000
Ohio:	
Columbus: Army aviation support facility	4,816,000
Greensburg: Organizational maintenance shop	722,000
South Carolina: McEntire: Apache maintenance facility	6,300,000
Wisconsin: Abbotsford: 60-person armory	775,000
Air National Guard:	
Mississippi: Jackson: Support facilities addition/alteration	416,000
Wisconsin: Mitchell Field: Support facilities addition/alteration	4,500,000

UNAUTHORIZED/UNBUDGETED

The following projects were approved by the House but were not requested as part of the budget and not included in the Senate authorization bill. Due to lack of authorization, the Committee has not approved these projects, without prejudice, but believes many of them represent valid requirements:

Army National Guard:	
Arkansas: Camp Robinson:	
Automatic firing range	$670,000
Modifications to organizational maintenance shop	99,000
Runway extension	900,000
Water distribution system improvement	353,000
Mississippi:	
Bonneville: 60-person armory	716,000
Canton: 60-person armory	678,000
Louisville: 100-person armory	821,000
New Mexico:	
Belen: 100-person armory	1,942,000
Farmington: 100-person armory	1,942,000
Las Vegas: Regional training center	5,000,000
North Carolina: Marion: 60-person armory	602,000
Wisconsin:	
Fond du Lac: 60-person armory	625,000
Madison: Add/alter armory	563,000
Waupaca: 60-person armory	695,000
Air National Guard:	
Arkansas: Little Rock AFB:	
Aerial port facility	1,600,000
Alter wash rack	95,000
Illinois: Springfield:	
Avionics shop	1,300,000
Engine repair facility	1,100,000
Fuel storage	2,100,000

Air National Guard—Continued	
Mississippi: Key Field: Squadron operations facility	$1,370,000
Wisconsin: Mitchell Field: Alert aircraft parking complex	5,000,000

COMMITTEE ADDITIONS

The following project was approved by the Committee although it was not included in the Senate authorization bill. The project recommended is as follows:

Army National Guard: Wisconsin: Madison: 100-person armory	$2,709,000

The Committee has reviewed the justification for proceeding with a new armory to support the Army National Guard in Madison, WI. The Committee finds the project is fully justified and is qualified for construction in fiscal year 1988. Therefore, funds for a 100-person armory have been included in this bill. The Committee has deleted $563,000 for alterations to the existing Madison armory which are no longer necessary.

OTHER CHANGES

Major construction projects costing $400,000 and under.—The Committee is concerned with the delays encountered by the Guard and Reserve components in executing projects costing $400,000 and under where cost variations are experienced that exceed service's approval authority. To expedite execution, such projects may be altered by the components to increase or decrease authorized costs within the established upper limit of $400,000, 21 days after the appropriate committees receive notification and do not object with the intended changes.

Various locations, Tennessee.—The Committee directed the Air Force earlier in the report to provide a plan for licensing unused portions of Arnold Air Force Station to the Tennessee National Guard for the purpose of satisfying Guard training requirements. The National Guard Bureau is directed to submit a budget request in fiscal year 1989 for construction of a tank table VIII on the property made available by the Air Force. The Bureau is also directed to submit budget requests in fiscal year 1989 for armory construction at Jacksboro, Winchester, Selmer, Smithville, and Clinton and requests for facilities sufficient to accommodate a C–141 strategic airlift mission being assigned to the Memphis Air Guard unit and C–130H's for the Nashville Air National Guard unit.

Walker AFB, Roswell, NM, training site study.—The Committee understands the increased flight training requirements of the Air National Guard under the total force policy. In response, the National Guard Bureau has considered establishing an additional permanent field training site [PFTS] for the Air National Guard in the Southwest. One of the sites which has been surveyed is the old Walker Air Force Base in Roswell, NM.

The Committee is also aware of a potential active Air Force requirement for replicas within the United States of overseas colocated operating bases which Active Forces could deploy for realistic training exercises.

A PFTS provides a bare base location for total force units to deploy for training, operational readiness inspections, and exercises. these field training sites offer the total force an ideal environment for joint operations training without overseas deployment. Decreasing budgets and increasing mission tasking for the total force (but especially for the National Guard) makes the PFTS an outstanding investment.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to study the need for a training installation to meet the requirements of his total force and report the results to the Committee by June 30, 1988. The study should incorporate and expand on the Air National Guard surveys referred to above of existing or former military sites in the Southwest.

Fort Indiantown Gap, PA, armory design.—The Committee supports the language included in the House report which directs the Army National Guard to begin design of a 1,000 person armory at Fort Indiantown Gap and to include construction funds for the project in the fiscal year 1989 budget as amended.

Various locations, indoor rifle ranges.—The Committee agrees with House report language concerning the need to survey and repair indoor rifle ranges.

National Guard Military Academy, Oklahoma City, OK.—The Committee agrees with House language directing the purchase of land in Oklahoma City for the relocation of the military academy of the Oklahoma National Guard.

Anchorage, AK, armory.—For fiscal year 1988, the State of Alaska has appropriated $2,800,000 for design of the Anchorage, AK, armory and organizational maintenance shop in accordance with State/Federal financing agreements for such projects. The Committee expects that the fiscal year 1989 budget request will be adjusted to reflect $15,000,000 for Federal financing for construction costs.

Family Housing

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$3,117,245,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	3,480,748,000
House allowance	3,203,265,000
Committee recommendation	3,132,039,000

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee feels strongly that adequate housing is an important quality of life consideration needed to keep highly trained, highly motivated people in the services, and supports the recent efforts on the part of the services to pursue private sector intiatives. Military members expect and are entitled to standards of housing currently found in middle America. The Committee applauds the Department for the way it managed the domestic build/lease program and encourages it to provide further oversight of the separate service programs. The same rigorous analyses used to justify the domestic build/lease in economic terms is needed in other alternative methods of providing housing now under consideration. The revival of a Capehart-type program is of particular

interest to the Committee. The Department is directed to undertake a study to be submitted by July 15, 1988, on the feasibility of reviving that or a similar program. Private sector financing, construction, and operation and other efforts at privatization hold promise for family housing. The study should consider developing cost models for private financing, building, and operating family housing and compare those findings with the current Family Housing Program.

Family housing surveys.—A family housing survey is conducted by the services and Defense agencies to determine family housing requirements. The Committee has learned that these surveys are subject to distortions which occasionally tend to overstate valid family housing requirements. Specific weaknesses in the conduct of family housing surveys appear to be the following:

Long-term personnel strength.—The planned troop strength at an installation 5 years after the survey is performed. If this factor is overstated, the housing requirement will be overstated. This was the case at three of the four installations visited.

Marriage-factor computations.—The percentage of troop strength that can be expected to be married at an installation derived from past experience and from the last 3 years the survey was conducted. If this factor is too large, the housing requirement will be overstated.

Voluntarily separated personnel.—Married service members who have chosen not to have their families accompany them to their duty station. Computing too few voluntarily separated personnel means the housing requirement will be overstated.

Noncommand sponsored families.—Families of service members who have not been authorized to have their families accompany them on their tour of duty. These families have accompanied the service member at their own expense and are not entitled to family housing at the installations. If counted in the survey as a housing requirement, the need for housing will be overstated.

Suitable housing assets.—Rental housing located in the community surrounding the installations. Services are required to rely on such housing to the maximum extent possible. Installations which fail to identify as many of these assets as possible, overstate its housing needs.

The services are directed to review the family housing surveys at each military base to verify that each of the factors described above accurately reflect the family housing deficits.

Programming factors.—DOD and service regulations state that military family housing construction should be programmed at 80 percent of the identified requirement. However, these regulations have been waived on numerous occasions, particularly in Europe. The 80-percent programming factor assures that family housing construction does not exceed requirements. The Committee is aware of at least one location where programming at 100 percent of requirement has led to families being moved out of acceptable housing on the local economy in Ger-

many to fill new empty family housing units. The Committee urges that programming in excess of 80 percent be terminated. The Committee has commissioned a thorough review of family housing operations and construction by the General Accounting Office which will review this issue, among others.

General and flag officer quarters.—The Committee reiterates the guidance submitted to the Department by letter in March 1987. Out-of-cycle work on general and flag officer quarters may at times be necessary, but is discouraged and should be submitted and approved only on an exception basis. The requirement that these requests be signed by the service Secretary will, it is hoped, ensure that only the most urgent requests will be submitted. Effective management implies long-range planning. Information on extensive repair and improvement on general officer quarters, or for that matter any family quarters, ought to be developed in advance and submitted as part of the budget. The Committee states again that $25,000 represents a reasonable cap on maintenance and repair improvements for general officer quarters. Expenditures in excess of that amount should be submitted over the signature of the service Secretary in accordance with reporting requirements in effect. The Committee expects the Department to oversee these expenditures and to consider the establishment of DOD-wide criteria and policy for the upgrade of all senior officer quarters.

Improvements.—Modernization of older units is an important part of the Family Housing Program. It protects our existing capital investment and extends the useful life years into the future. With an ever-aging inventory, the need for improvements will continue to grow. The Committee supports the concept of doing all upgrade work at one time but feels that doing more basic improvements to a larger number of units, as opposed to doing extensive improvements to fewer units, is a more effective use of improvement dollars and results in visible improvements to more units in a given year. For this reason, the Committee continues to support the concept of a per-unit improvement/ repair limit. The Committee, however, agrees with the House in raising the limit on overseas units to $35,000. The requirement to report semiannually to the Committees on Appropriations remains in effect. To be included as part of that report is the number of units improved by location, and in parenthesis, the average per-unit cost.

Contract cleaning.—The Committee supports the efforts of the Department to streamline and economize the end-of-tour cleaning and clearing procedures. It is the understanding of the Committee that money saved in temporary lodging payments are often equal to and greater than the cost to the family housing account for contract cleaning. The assumption is that if the tenant is excused from change of occupancy clearing, he doesn't have to stay in the area in temporary quarters. The Committee supports the long-standing policy of housecleaning as a tenant responsibility with the exception of those cases where savings equal or greater are demonstrated.

New construction.—The House direction to use manufactured/factory-built housing on no fewer than two domestic housing projects could prove costly and should not be adopted. As stated last year, the Committee is satisfied that the Department has done all it can to remove any bias against manufactured/factory-built housing. If manufactured/factory-built housing is a cost-effective alternative to the traditional stick-built method, it should demonstrate that fact through the normal bidding process.

Junior enlisted housing.—The services are increasingly programming family housing for junior enlisted personnel. In some instances, more than one-third of the programmed family housing deficit is for E–1's to E–3's. The construction of family housing to support junior enlisted personnel is very costly. The Committee recognizes that, increasingly, junior enlisted personnel are married. However, budget constraints dictate prioritization of family housing construction funds. Therefore, the provision of family housing should continue to be for those service members who demonstrate intent to make military service their career. Until budget resources available for family housing are increased substantially, the Committee objects to the use of any funds from the family housing appropriation being used for the construction of new Government-owned housing units for service members in the grades of E–1 through E–3.

Transfer thresholds—operation and maintenance.—The Committee agrees with the revision of the limitation on transfer between the primary accounts and subaccounts as recommended by the House; that is the elimination of the dollar limitation and establishing a limitation of 10 percent to all primary accounts and subaccounts. Such transfers are to be reported to the Committee within 30 days of such action.

Leasing.—In view of inflation and foreign currency fluctuation, the Committee agrees with requirements for reporting both domestic and foreign leases as revised by the House. That is, for domestic leases, the Department is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new domestic leases entered into during the previous quarters which exceed $10,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease; this report is not necessary for previously approved leases being renewed unless renewal costs exceed the limit. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: Perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/construct agreements where more than 25 units are involved; report the details of any lease exceeding $20,000 per unit per year, 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; these reports are not necessary for previously approved leases being renewed unless renewal costs exceed the limit; and base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

Burden sharing.—The Committee has included a discussion of family housing under Defense Burden Sharing discussed earlier in the report.

Section 801 construction and maintenance contracts.—The Committee continues to support the Department's policy to award housing lease contracts inclusive of construction and maintenance costs. This approach

assures that such housing will be constructed to the Department's standards while enhancing maintenance cost efficiency. Deviation from this policy will yield higher long term maintenance costs and questionable near term construction cost savings.

Therefore, the Department is instructed to seek the prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations before implementing any change to the existing policy of lease contracts inclusive of construction and maintenance costs.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$1,597,657,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	1,738,659,000
House allowance (including rescission)	1,565,973,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	1,606,373,000
Rescission	−20,300,000
Net	1,586,073,000

The Committee recommends $1,586,073,000 for family housing, Army in fiscal year 1988 which is $152,586,000 below the budget request and $20,100,000 above the House recommendation.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved funding for new construction totaling $355,190,000 as listed below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
New construction:		
Alabama: Fort Rucker: 7 sites	$110,000	$110,000
Alaska: Fort Wainwright: 150 units	29,000,000	29,000,000
California:		
Fort Irwin: 225 units	54,000,000	24,000,000
Fort Ord: 211 units	19,000,000	19,000,000
Hawaii:		
Helemand: 200 units	21,000,000	21,000,000
Pearl City area: 60 units	6,700,000	6,700,000
Schofield barracks: 100 units	11,200,000	11,200,000
Louisiana: Fort Polk: 350 units	27,000,000	27,000,000
New York: Fort Drum: 100 units	10,000,000	0
Texas: Fort Hood: 150 units	9,400,000	0
Virginia: Fort Eustis: 32 sites	480,000	480,000
Germany:		
Bamberg: 106 units	11,200,000	11,200,000
Baumholder: 152 units	12,600,000	12,600,000
Vilseck: 188 units	17,000,000	17,000,000
Kwajalein: 208 units	41,000,000	34,000,000
Panama: Fort Clayton: 400 units	21,000,000	0
Construction improvements	144,886,000	120,000,000
Planning and design	21,900,000	21,900,000
Total, construction	457,476,000	355,190,000

PROGRAM CHANGES

Fort Hood, TX.—The Committee endorses the policy of the Department of Defense that the private sector will be relied upon to provide family housing for military personnel where economically feasible. In the case of the Army's request to construct 150 new units at Fort Hood, TX, there is the possibility that the private sector may be able to provide adequate rental units at an affordable price. The Committee defers the $9,400,000 requested by the Army, without prejudice, pending the outcome of potential private sector participation.

Fort Clayton, Panama.—The Committee has denied funds for this project as previously discussed under "Items of Special Interest."

Kwajalein.—The Committee believes new family housing is necessary to provide adequate living quarters for assigned personnel and their families. The Committee has taken a reduction of $7,000,000 from the budget request and reduced the number of units to be constructed.

Authorization deletion.—The Committee has denied a project at Fort Drum, NY, which failed authorization.

Authorization reduction.—The Committee has reduced the amount requested for Fort Irwin, CA, to reflect the action of the reported Senate authorization bill.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee has recommended $120,000,000 for this account. The Committee reduced the request because of budget constraints.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

The Committee recommends $21,900,000 for this account as requested in the budget.

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND LEASING

The Committee has provided $1,248,277,000 for operating expenses leasing and maintenance of real property, a reduction of $30,000,000 from the budget request. The Committee has approved an unspecified reduction for operating expenses and the reduction to maintenance of real property. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$700,080,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	799,036,000
House allowance (including rescission)	770,158,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	723,093,000
Rescission	−9,200,000
Net	713,893,000

The Committee recommends $713,893,000 for family housing, Navy in fiscal year 1988 which is $85,143,000 below the budget request and $58,066,000 below the House allowance.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved funding for new construction totaling $194,281,000 as listed below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
New construction:		
California:		
Marcor Air-Ground Comb Center, Twentynine Palms: 100 units	$11,530,000	$11,530,000
Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro: 100 units	8,660,000	8,660,000
Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton: 200 units	25,760,000	17,760,000
Public Works Center, San Diego: 300 units	52,840,000	27,840,000
Public Works Center, San Francisco: 444 units	38,380,000	38,380,000
Missouri: Marcor Finance Center, Kansas City: 8 sites	120,000	120,000
New York:		
Naval Station, New York: 125 units	25,490,000	13,490,000
Nuclear Power Training Unit, Ballston Spa: 100 units	15,810,000	8,810,000
South Carolina:		
Marcor Air Station, Beaufort: 37 units	540,000	540,000
Marcor Recruit Depot, Paris Island: 25 sites	370,000	370,000
Virginia: Marcor Dev Educ Com, Quantico: 10 sites	166,000	166,000
Iceland: Naval Station, Keflavik: 250 units (to complete)	20,367,000	20,367,000
Construction improvements	48,943,000	40,000,000
Planning and design	6,248,000	6,248,000
Total, construction	255,224,000	194,281,000

PROGRAM CHANGES

Authorization reductions.—The Committee has reduced the amount requested for Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, CA, Public Works Center, San Diego, CA, Naval Station, New York, NY, and Nuclear Power Training Unit, Ballston Spa, NY, to reflect the action of the Senate authorization bill.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee recommends $40,000,000 for this account. The Committee reduced the request because of budget constraints.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

The Committee recommends $6,248,000 for this account as requested in the budget.

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND LEASING

The Committee has provided $526,790,000 for operating expenses, maintenance, and leasing, a reduction of $15,000,000 from the budget request. The Committee approved an unspecified reduction for operating expenses and the reduction to maintenance of real property. Specific details are included in the table at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$808,511,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	925,100,000
House allowance (including rescission)	846,380,000
Committee recommendation:	
Appropriation	830,253,000
Rescission	−14,200,000
Net	815,553,000

The Committee recommends $815,553,000 for family housing, Air Force in fiscal year 1988 which is $110,980,000 below the budget request and $32,260,000 below the House recommendation.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved funding new construction totaling $139,860,000 of family housing units for the Air Force as follows:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
New construction:		
Arizona: Holbrook: 34 units	$2,530,000	$2,530,000
Netherlands: Woensdrecht AB: 400 units	35,500,000	
Philippines: Clark AB: 300 units	23,260,000	
United Kingdom: RAF Bentwaters: Housing office	330,000	330,000
Construction improvements	154,520,000	130,000,000
Planning and design	7,000,000	7,000,000
Total, construction	223,140,000	139,860,000

PROGRAM CHANGES

Committee reductions.—The Committee has denied funding for projects at Woensdrecht, The Netherlands and Clark Air Force Base, the Philippines as previously discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest."

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee has recommended $130,000,000 for this account. The Committee has reduced the request because of budget constraints.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

The Committee recommends $7,000,000 for this account as requested in the budget.

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND LEASING

The Committee has provided $688,809,000 for operating expenses, maintenance, and leasing, a reduction of $13,000,000 from the budget request. The Committee approved an unspecified reduction for operating expenses and the reduction to maintenance of real property. Specific details are included in the table at the end of the report.

Pease AFB, NH, military family housing.—The Committee recognizes the deteriorated state of the Capehart military family housing units at Pease Air Force Base. At the same time, and in light of the age of these

same units, the base is to be commended for the reasonably effective maintenance performed in retaining modest levels of habitability. In devising the most cost-effective strategy for the long-term renovation of military family housing units in a fiscally constrained environment, however, the Air Force is strongly advised to develop a more realistic architectural plan consistent with current housing requirements. Specifically, and beyond annual requests for incremental funding increases for necessary refurbishments to existing units, the Committee believes that serious consideration should be given to assessing the potential feasibility of contracting with third party builders to develop units consisting of, for example, apartments and town houses. Such an option would seem to offer considerable promise of providing new construction of modern, redesigned units in an affordable and expeditious manner.

Hill AFB, Ogden, UT, officers quarters.—The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct an assessment of the requirement for waiving the space limitation for senior officer's quarters at Hill Air Force Base. This report should be submitted to the Committee by January 31, 1988.

Family Housing, Defense Agencies

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation (adjusted)	$16,643,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	19,700,000
House allowance	20,700,000
Committee recommendation	19,700,000

The Committee recommends $19,700,000 for family housing, Defense Agencies in fiscal year 1988 the same as the budget request and $1,000,000 below the House recommendation.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved $1,000,000 for the construction of new housing at a classified location for the National Security Agency. The Committee has also approved $186,000 for construction improvements, the same as the budget request. The Committee did not fund the unbudgeted housing pilot project recommended by the House due to lack of authorization.

OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND LEASING

The Committee has provided $18,514,000 for these accounts, the same as the budget request. Specific details are included in the table at the end of the report.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

Fiscal year 1987 appropriation	$2,000,000
Fiscal year 1988 request	2,800,000
House allowance	2,800,000
Committee recommendation	2,800,000

The Committee recommends $2,800,000 to be appropriated for the Homeowners Assistance Fund, the same as the budget request.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Committee has made no changes to sections 101–120 and 123. Other changes are as follows:

Section 121. The Committee agrees with the House provision in principle, that supervision, inspection, overhead, and engineering and design on projects being completed with expired or lapsed funds should primarily be paid from those same expired or lapsed funds. However, specific circumstances may sometimes require flexibility in this matter. Therefore, the Committee has made a minor change to this provision that will allow payment of these charges from expired, lapsed, or current funds if the charges are related to projects that are still eligible for split-funding and if the current funds used are still eligible for split-funding. When the fiscal year 1985 program expires, however, it will be necessary to expire additional unobligated funds to allow for payment of these charges since split-funding may no longer be allowed. The provision now reads as follows:

> SEC. 121. For military construction or family housing projects that are being completed with funds otherwise expired or lapsed for obligation, expired or lapsed funds [shall] *may* be used to pay the cost of associated supervision, inspection, overhead, engineering and design on those projects and on subsequent claims, if any.

Section 122. This provision prohibits the Air Force from changing the current manner legislative liaison service is provided to the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Military Construction.

The Committee strongly agrees with the House in that legislative liaison to the Subcommittees on Military Construction should continue to be conducted by personnel in the Office of the Secretary comptroller function. The Committees on Appropriations have had a longstanding, satisfactory relationship with liaisons from the comptroller community. Comptroller personnel who actively participate in the programming, budgeting, and execution of Military Construction and Military Family Housing Programs serve as the best liaisons with regard to knowledge and objectivity. Liaisons from the program community have an inherent parochial interest that, after time, would tend to weaken the credibility of budgets submitted by the Department.

The Committee has inserted language in this provision that prohibits the Department from transferring military construction and military family housing budgetary and fiscal functions (including financial management) to any office or entity outside the comptroller function of the Secretary concerned. The Committee believes that any attempt to effect

such a transfer violates the intent of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986, Public Law 99–433. Further, fiscal control, to include year-end funds certification, is a function that must be performed by comptroller personnel representing the Secretary concerned. The Committee directs each Secretary concerned to certify to the Committees by December 31, 1987, that all military construction and military family housing budgetary and fiscal functions are being performed within the comptroller function under the Secretary concerned and that no other office or entity is conducting budgetary and fiscal functions in a duplicative manner. The provision now reads as follows:

> SEC. 122. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretaries of Defense, Army, Navy and Air Force are required to maintain legislative liaison to the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Military Construction *and budgetary and fiscal management of the Military Construction and Military Family Housing appropriations* in a manner identical to the method employed as of September 30, 1986*: Provided, That nothing in this section shall prevent the Secretaries of the Army and Navy from realigning legislative liaison and financial management to correspond with the method employed by the Air Force on September 30, 1986.*

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROVISIONS

Section 124. The Committee has included this provision to deny the use of funds in this act from being used to remove or relocate the 474th Tactical Fighter Wing from Nellis Air Force Base, NV. The text of the provision is as follows:

> *SEC. 124. None of the funds appropriated in this Act for use by the Department of Defense in fiscal year 1988 may be used for the purpose of the design or construction of any facilities relating, directly or indirectly, to the deactivation, relocation or transfer of any part of the 474th Tactical Fighter Wing at Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada.*

Section 125. The Committee has included this provision to deny the use of funds in this act from being used to remove or relocate the 5th Fighter Intercepter Squadron from Minot Air Force Base, ND. The text of the provision is as follows:

> *SEC. 125. None of the funds appropriated in this Act for use by the Department of Defense in fiscal year 1988 may be used for the purpose of the design or construction of any facilities relating, directly or indirectly, to the deactivation, relocation or transfer of any part of the 5th Fighter Intercepter Squadron, stationed at Minot Air Force Base, North Dakota.*

Section 126. The Committee has included this provision which is identical to a provision in the Supplemental Appropriations Act of

1987. This provision expresses the view of Congress that NATO should provide construction funds if the Government of Spain insists that the Tactical Fighter Wing based at Torrejon Air Base be moved to another location. The text of the provision is as follows:

> *SEC. 126. It is the sense of the Congress that all facility construction costs associated with the relocation of the Tactical Fighter Wing at Torrejon Air Base, Spain, to another location, should be the responsibility of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.*

Section 127. The Committee has included this provision which prevents the initiation of design on the proposed Pentagon II complex. The text of the provision is as follows:

> *SEC. 127. None of the funds appropriated in this Act for planning and design activities may be used to initiate design of the Pentagon Annex.*

Section 128. The Committee has included this provision which requires notification of the Committees on Appropriations whenever premobilization construction authority is utilized. The text of the provision is as follows:

> *SEC. 128. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense shall notify the Committees on Appropriations within twenty-four hours after a determination by the President or the Secretary to utilize premobilization construction authority.*

Section 129. The Committee has included this provision which places restrictions of the expenditure of funds for construction of the National Test Facility at Falcon Air Station, Colorado. The text of the provision is as follows:

> *SEC. 129 None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended for the National Test Bed Components of the National Test Facility at Falcon Air Station, Colorado, until the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization (SDIO) has begun the development of the Phase One Strategic Defense System (SDS) Architecture and the Follow-on Strategic Defense System Architecture and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives have thereafter received an interim report from SDIO on the Phase One system architecture and follow-on architecture that the National Test Facility will be testing and evaluating, and until SDIO has provided a detailed report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the capability of the National Test Facility and the other components of the National Test Bed to produce the simulation, evaluation, and demonstration data needed to determine whether a proposed ballistic missile defense system satisfies the criteria of technical feasibility, cost-effective-*

ness at the margin, and survivability: Provided, That none of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act for the National Test Facility or any other components of the National Test Bed may be used to provide any operational battle management, command, control or communications capabilities for an early deployment of a ballistic missile defense system; Provided further, That the goal of the National Test Facility and other components of the National Test Bed shall be to simulate, evaluate, and demonstrate architectures and technologies that are technically feasible, cost effective at the margin, and survivable.

Section 130. The Committee has included this provision which prevents funds in this act from being utilized to transfer functions from Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland. The text of the provision is as follows:

SEC. 130. None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be obligated or expended for the purpose of transferring any equipment, operation, or personnel from the Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland, to any other facility during fiscal year 1988.

Section 131. The Committee recommends a general provision which permits the use of a property account in the U.S. Treasury for acquisition of Defense Department property. The account was established under the terms of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of 1976 for excess property handled by the General Services Administration. The recommended provision extends use of the account to DOD as well as GSA managed property transactions. The text of the provision is as follows:

SEC. 131. In addition to the purposes for which it is now available, the property account established by section 12(b) of the Act of January 2, 1976, as amended (43 United States Code 1611 note) shall be available hereafter for purposes involving any public sale of property by any agency of the United States, including the Department of Defense, or any element thereof.

Section 132. The Committee has included the following general provision which permits the construction, at no cost to the Government, of a Mormon Chapel at Dugway Proving Ground, Utah. The text of the provision is as follows:

SEC. 132. (a) The Secretary of the Army shall permit the construction of a chapel on land under his jurisdiction at Dugway Proving Ground, Utah, by the Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter-Day Saints.

(b) The Secretary shall make available such land at the Dugway Proving Ground as the Secretary determines adequate for the construction of the chapel referred to in subsection (a).

(c) The chapel shall be constructed at no cost to the United States and shall be operated and maintained by the Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter-Day Saints at no expense to the United States.

(d) Notwithstanding any regulation, order, or directive to the contrary, the operations of the chapel shall not be subject to the supervision or control of the post chaplain.

(e) The Secretary of the Army may impose such terms and conditions on the construction, operations, and maintenance of the chapel as the Secretary determines appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

Sections 133 and 134. The Committee is including two new sections, 133 and 134, which relate to the family housing lease/build and rental guarantee programs—commonly referred to as section 801/802 housing. These general provisions are included because of uncertainty over the final outcome of the fiscal year 1988 Defense authorization bill. The provisions recommended by the Committee are similar to the provisions on this subject included in H.R. 1748, the House DOD Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1988–89; and S. 1174, the Senate-reported DOD Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1988–89.

SEC. 133. Subsection 2828(g) of title 10, United States Code, is amended:

(a) in paragraph (1), by deleting "Secretary of a military department" and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary concerned", and by inserting after the word "constructed" the phrase "or rehabilitated to residential use";

(b) by adding the following new paragraph after paragraph (8)(B):

"(8)(C) In addition to the contracts authorized by paragraph (7) and subparagraphs (A) and (B), the Secretary of the Army may enter into one or more contracts under this subsection for not more than a total of 3,500 family housing units, the Secretary of the Navy may enter into one or more contracts under this subsection for not more than a total of 2,000 family housing units, the Secretary of the Air Force may enter into one or more contracts under this subsection for not more than a total of 2,100 family housing units, and the Secretary of Transportation, for the Coast Guard, may enter into one or more contracts under this subsection for not more than a total of 300 family housing units.", and

(c) in paragraph (9), by deleting "September 30, 1988" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1989".

SEC. 134. SEC. 802 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1984 (10 U.S.C. § 2821 note), is amended:

(a) in subparagraph (a), by (1) inserting after the word "constructed", the phrase "or rehabilitated to residential use", and (2) by deleting "Secretary of a military department," and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary of a military department, Secretary of Transportation with regard to the Coast Guard, or Director of a Defense Agency,".

(b) in subsection (b)(3), by deleting the word "not".

(c) in subsection (b)(6), by adding at the end of the phrase "unless the project is located on government owned land, in which case the renewal period may not exceed the original contract term",

(d) in subsection (b)(11), by deleting "military department" and inserting in lieu thereof "military department, the Secretary of Transportation with regard to the Coast Guard, or the Director of the Defense Agency", and

(e) by (1) deleting subsection "(f)" and "(g)", and (2) by relettering subsection "(h)" as subsection "(f)".

Section 135. The Committee recommends inclusion of a general provision contained in both the Senate and House authorization bills to permit lease of property at the Oakland, CA, Naval Supply Center. The provision is recommended due to the uncertainty of the outcome of the Fiscal Year 1988 Defense Authorization Act. The text of the provision is as follows:

SEC. 135. (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsections (b) through (g), the Secretary of the Navy may lease, at fair market rental value, to the Port of Oakland, California, not more than 195 acres of real property, together with improvements thereon, at the Naval Supply Center, Oakland, California.

(b) TERM OF LEASE.—The lease entered into under subsection (a) may be for such term as the Secretary determines appropriate, with an initial term not to exceed 25 years and an option to extend for a term not to exceed 25 years.

(c) REPLACEMENT AND RELOCATION PAYMENTS.—The Secretary may, under the terms of the lease, require the Port of Oakland to pay the Secretary—

(1) a negotiated amount for the structures on the leased property requiring replacement; and

(2) a negotiated amount for expenses to be incurred by the Navy with respect to vacating the leased property and relocating to other facilities.

(d) USE OF FUNDS.—(1) Funds received by the Secretary under subsection (c) may be used by the Secretary to pay for relocation expenses and constructing new facilities or making modifications to existing facilities which are necessary to replace facilities on the leased premises.

(2)(A) Funds received by the Secretary for the fair market rental value of the real property may be used to pay for relocation and replacement costs incurred by the Navy in excess of the amount received by the Secretary under subsection (c).

(B) Funds received by the Secretary for such fair market rental value in excess of the amount used under subparagraph (A) shall be deposited into the miscellaneous receipts of the Treasury.

(e) AUTHORITY TO DEMOLISH AND CONSTRUCT FACILITIES.—The Secretary may, under the terms of the lease, authorize the Port of Oakland to demolish existing facilities on the leased land and to provide for construction of new facilities on such land for the use of the Port of Oakland.

(f) REPORT.—The Secretary may not enter into a lease under this section until—

(1) the Secretary has transmitted to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives a report containing an explanation of the terms of the lease, especially with respect to the amount the Secretary is to receive under subsection (c) and the amount that is expected to be used under subsection (d)(2); and

(2) a period of 21 days has expired after the date on which such report was received by such Committees.

(g) ADDITIONAL TERMS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the lease authorized by this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

Section 136. The Committee recommends the following provision to provide for community planning assistance to areas impacted by the establishment of Army Light Infantry Divisions and Navy homeporting initiatives. It is anticipated that community services will be strained in the areas affected by these military expansion initiatives. To the extent that planning assistance can mitigate the impact, the Defense Secretary's Office of Economic Adjustment should use the grant authority provided by this section. The text of the provision is as follows:

SEC. 136. Of the amounts appropriated by this Act, where local planning resources are not sufficient, the Secretary of Defense may provide community planning assistance, not to exceed $3,000,000, in behalf of the Army Light Divisions and Navy Strategic Dispersal activities.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill "which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session."

All projects for which appropriations are provided in amendments by the Committee are unauthorized. Authorization for all but the following projects are contained in S. 1174, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1988, now pending before the House-Senate conference.

Army:	
Schofield Barracks, HA: Child development center	$4,950,000
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD: Research laboratory addition	5,700,000
Wiesbaden, Germany: Aircraft maintenance hanger	30,000,000
Navy:	
Naval shipyard, Philadelphia, PA: Water distribution system	14,100,000
Naval support activity, Naples, Italy: Command, control, communication, intelligence complex (Phase 1)	24,900,000
Supervisor of shipbuilding, Pascagoula, MS: Bachelor enlisted quarters	4,000,000
Air Force:	
Pope AFB, NC: Base supply complex	11,000,000
Blytheville AFB, AR: Mission operations facility	5,900,000
Army National Guard: Madison, WI: 100-person armory	2,709,000

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee."

In compliance with this rule, the following changes in existing law proposed to be made by the bill are shown as follows: existing law to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets; new matter is printed in italic; and existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman.

Section 133. Section 801 of the Military Construction Authorizatioin Act, 1984, as amended (Public Law 98–115; 10 U.S.C. 2828 (g)) is amended as follows:

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING BUILD-TO-LEASE PROGRAM

(g)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary [of a military department] *concerned* may enter into a contract for the lease of family housing units to be constructed *or rehabilitated to residential use* on or near a military installation within the United States under the Secretary's jurisdiction at which there is a validated deficit in family housing. Housing units leased under this subsection shall be assigned, without rental charge, as family housing to members of the armed forces who are eligible for assignment to military family housing. A contract under this section shall include a provision that the obligation of the United States to make payments under the contract in any fiscal year is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose.

(2) Each contract under paragraph (1) shall be awarded through the use of publicly advertised, competitively bid or competitively negotiated contracting procedures. Such a con-

tract may provide for the contractor of the housing facilities to operate and maintain such housing facilities during the term of the lease.

(3) Each contract under this subsection shall require that housing units constructed pursuant to the contract shall be constructed to Department of Defense specifications.

(4) A contract under this subsection may be for any period not in excess of 20 years (excluding the period required for construction of the housing facilities).

(5) A contract under this subsection shall provide that, upon the termination of the lease period, the United States shall have the right of first refusal to acquire all right, title, and interest to the housing facilities constructed and leased under the contract.

(6) A contract may not be entered into for the lease of housing facilities under this subsection until—

(A) the Secretary of Defense submits to the appropriate committees of Congress, in writing, an economic analysis (based upon accepted life cycle costing procedures) which demonstrates that the proposed contract is cost effective when compared with alternative means of furnishing the same housing facilities; and

(B) a period of 21 calendar days has expired following the date on which the economic analysis is received by those committees.

(7) This subsection may be implemented only by a pilot program. In carrying out such pilot program—

(A) the Secretary of each military department may not enter into more than two contracts under this subsection; and

(B) any such contract may not be for more than 300 family housing units.

(8)(A) In addition to the contracts authorized by paragraph (7), the Secretary of the Army may enter into one contract under this subsection for not more than 600 family housing units at one location if the contract is necessary in order to provide sufficient family housing to accommodate a major restationing action by the Army. The Secretary of the Army may not enter into a contract under this paragraph and an agreement under section 802(g) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1984 (Public Law 98–115; 97 Stat. 783).

(B) In addition to the contracts authorized by paragraph (7) and subparagraph (A), the Secretary of each military department may enter into one or more contracts under this subparagraph for not more than a total of 1,600 family housing units.

(C) In addition to the contracts authorized by paragraph (7) and subparagraphs (A) and (B), the Secretary of the Army may

enter into one or more contracts under this subsection for not more than a total of 3,500 family housing units, the Secretary of the Navy may enter into one or more contracts under this subsection for not more than a total of 2,000 family housing units, the Secretary of the Air Force may enter into one or more contracts under this subsection for not more than a total of 2,100 family housing units, and the Secretary of Transportation, for the Coast Guard, may enter into one or more contracts under this subsection for not more than a total of 300 family housing units.

(9) A contract may not be entered into under this subsection after September 30, [1988] *1989*.

A contract for the lease of family housing under this subsection may include provision for the lease of a child care center, civic center building, and similar type buildings constructed for the support of family housing.

Section 134. Section 802 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1984, as amended (Public Law 98-115; 10 U.S.C. 2821 note) is amended as follows:

MILITARY HOUSING RENTAL GUARANTEE PROGRAM

SEC. 802.(a) the Secretary of a military department, *Secretary of Transportation with regard to the Coast Guard or Director of a Defense Agency*, under uniform regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, may enter into an agreement to assure the occupancy of rental housing to be constructed *or rehabilitated to residential use* by a private developer or by a State or local housing authority on private land, on land owned by a State or local goverment, or on land owned by the United States, if the housing is to be located on or near a new military installation or an existing military installation that has a shortage of housing to meet the requirements of eligible members of the Armed Forces (with or without accompanying dependents). An agreement under this section shall include a provision that the obligation of the United States to make payments under the agreement in any fiscal year is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose.

(b) An agreement under subsection (a)—

(1) may not assure the occupancy of more than 97 percent of the units constructed under the agreement;

(2) shall establish initial rental rates that are not more than rates for comparable rental dwelling units in the same general market area and may include an escalation clause;

(3) may [not] apply to existing housing;

(4) shall require that the housing units be constructed to Department of Defense specifications or at the discretion of the Secretary of the military department concerned, in compliance with the local building codes;

(5) may not be for a term in excess of 25 years;

(6) may not renewed *unless the project is located on government land, in which case the renewal period may not exceed the original contract term*;

(7) may not assure more than an amount equivalent to the shelter rent of the housing units, determined on the basis of amortizing initial construction costs;

(8) may only be entered into to the extent that there is a validated deficit in military family housing.

(9) may only be entered into if existing military-controlled housing at all installations in the commuting area (except for a new installation or an installation for which there is projected a significant increase in the number of families due to an increase in the number of authorized personnel) has exceeded 97 percent use for a period of not less than 18 consecutive months immediately preceding the date on which the agreement is entered into, excluding units temporarily inactivated for major repair or improvements;

(10) shall provide for priority of occupancy for military families;

(11) shall include a clause rendering the agreement null and void if, in the opinion of the Secretary of the military department, *the Secretary of Transportation with regard to the Coast Guard, or the Director of the Defense Agency concerned*, the owner of the housing fails to maintain a satisfactory level of operation and maintenance and,

(12) may provide in the agreement for the rental of a child care center, civic center building, and similar type buildings constructed for the support of family housing.

(c) An agreement under subsection (a) shall be made through the use of publicly advertised, competitively bid or competitively negotiated procedures.

(d) An agreement may not be entered into under subsection (a) until—

(1) the Secretary of Defense submits to the appropriate committees of Congress, in writing, an economic analysis (based upon accepted life cycle costing procedures) which demonstrates that the proposed agreement is cost effective when compared with alternative means of furnishing the same housing facilities; and

(2) a period of 21 calendar days has expired following the date on which the economic analysis is received by those committees.

(e) The Secretary concerned may require that disputes arising under an agreement entered into under subsection (a) be decided in accordance with the procedures provided for by the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

[(f) This section may be implemented only by a pilot program. In carrying out such pilot program—

(1) the Secretary of each military department may not enter into more than two agreements under this section; and

(2) the Secretary of a military department may not enter into such an agreement for more than 300 family housing units at one location.

(g)(1) In addition to the agreements authorized by subsection (f), the Secretary of the Army may enter into one agreement under this section for not more than 600 family housing units at one location if the agreement is necessary in order to provide sufficient family housing to accommodate a major restationing action by the Army. The Secretary of the Army may not enter into an agreement under this subsection and a contract under section 2828(g)(8) of title 10, United States Code (Section 2828(g)(8) of this title).

(2) In addition to the contracts authorized by subsection (f) and paragraph (1) of this subsection, the Secretary of each military department may enter into one or more agreements under this paragraph for not more than a total of 1,200 family housing units.]

[(h)]*(f)* An agreement may not be entered into under this section after September 30, 1990.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
ALABAMA							
ARMY							
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT							
SECURITY UPGRADE	2,100	---	---	2,100	2,100	---	---
FORT MCCLELLAN							
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	1,850	---	---	1,850	1,850	---	---
URBAN TERRAIN TRAINING FACILITY	2,850	---	---	2,850	2,850	---	---
REDSTONE ARSENAL							
MILLIMETER/MICROWAVE SIMULATION FACILITY	21,000	---	---	21,000	21,000	---	---
FORT RUCKER							
SERVICEMEMBER SUPPORT COMPLEX	5,700	---	-5,700	5,700	---	-5,700	-5,700
NAVY							
NAVAL STATION MOBILE							
BERTHING PIER AND WHARF	630	---	---	630	630	---	---
SITE DEVELOPMENT AND UTILITIES	10,070	---	---	10,070	10,070	---	---
AIR FORCE							
GUNTER AFB							
EXTENSION COURSE INSTITUTE	8,800	---	-8,800	8,800	---	-8,800	-8,800
MAXWELL AFB							
ADD-ALTER ACADEMIC FACILITY	1,900	*	*	1,900	1,900	---	---
BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	7,400	---	-7,400	7,400	---	-7,400	-7,400
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT COMPLEX	12,400	---	---	12,400	12,400	---	---
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,700	---	-1,700	---	---	-1,700	---

TELEPHONE EXCHANGE COMPLEX	700	---	---	700	700	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE (FL)							
RANGE, TANK PLATOON BATTLE RUN	1,290	---	---	1,290	1,290	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
DANNELLY FIELD							
AIRCRAFT ENGINE I & R SHOP	1,300	---	---	1,300	1,300	---	---
ARM/DEARM PADS	1,450	---	---	1,450	1,450	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
LIVINGSTON							
ADD/ALTER USARC W/MAINT FAC	1,398	---	---	1,398	1,398	---	---
MONTGOMERY							
ADD TO MAINT FAC	370	---	---	370	370	---	---
OPELIKA							
ADD/ALTER USARC W/MAINT FAC	1,994	---	---	1,994	1,994	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
MAXWELL AFB							
RESERVE FORCES OPERATIONAL TRAINING	1,990	---	---	1,990	1,990	---	---
TOTAL, ALABAMA	88,892	---	-23,600	87,192	65,292	-23,600	-21,900
ALASKA							
ARMY							
FORT GREELY							
TEST SUPPORT COMPLEX	6,400	---	---	6,400	6,400	---	---
FORT J M WAINWRIGHT							
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	15,000	---	---	15,000	15,000	---	---
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	2,200	-2,200	---	---	2,200	---	+2,200
COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	2,250	---	---	---	2,250	---	+2,250
DINING FACILITY	4,000	---	---	4,000	4,000	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	3,850	---	---	3,850	3,850	---	---
MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	42,000	-42,000	---	---	42,000	---	+42,000
MILITARY CLOTHING SALES STORE	670	-670	---	---	670	---	+670
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR STATION ADAK							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS ADDITION	12,000	-12,000	---	12,000	12,000	---	---
RADAR SUPPORT FACILITIES (PHASE II)	64,200	-20,000	---	44,200	64,200	---	+20,000
NAVAL HOSPITAL BRANCH ADAK							
EMERGENCY VEHICLE GARAGE	700	---	---	700	700	---	---
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY ADAK							
TRANSPORTATION BUILDING	2,860	---	---	2,860	2,860	---	---
AIR FORCE							
CLEAR							
SOLID STATE UNINTERRUPT POWER SPT	4,000	---	---	4,000	4,000	---	---
EIELSON AFB							
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	4,700	-4,700	---	---	4,700	---	+4,700
DINING HALL	5,465	---	---	5,465	5,465	---	---
ELMENDORF AFB							
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,700	-6,700	---	6,700	6,700	---	---
TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	4,300	---	---	4,300	4,300	---	---
KING SALMON AFB							
COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	3,350	-3,350	-3,350	---	---	-3,350	---

SHEMYA AFB							
ADD-ALTER MECHANICAL/ELECTRICAL SUPPLY SYS.....	3,400	---	---	3,400	3,400	---	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	15,000	---	---	15,000	15,000	---	---
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS.....	1,050	---	---	1,050	1,050	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING.....	18,900	---	---	18,900	18,900	---	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS-ALASKA							
ALASKAN OTH-B REAL ESTATE ACQ SYSTEM.....	5,800	---	---	5,800	5,800	---	---
ALASKAN OTH-B TECH SUPPORT FACILITIES.....	10,000	---	---	10,000	10,000	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
FORT WAINWRIGHT							
TROOP MEDICAL & DENTAL CLINIC.....	9,100	---	---	9,100	9,100	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
ELI							
SCOUT ARMORY.....	246	---	---	246	246	---	---
JUNEAU							
ARMY AVIATION OPERATING FACILITY.....	3,522	---	---	3,522	3,522	---	---
BOAT DOCK.....	265	---	---	265	265	---	---
NOME							
ARMY AVIATION OPERATING FACILITY.....	4,152	---	---	4,152	4,152	---	---
NUNAPITCHUK							
SCOUT ARMORY.....	246	---	---	246	246	---	---
TOGIAK							
SCOUT ARMORY.....	246	---	---	246	246	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
FIELSON AFB							
COMPOSITE MAINT AND SITE PREP COMPLEX.....	15,400	-15,400	---	---	15,400	---	+15,400
KULIS ANGB							
ALTER HANGAR/AERIAL PORT.....	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
TOTAL, ALASKA.....	272,922	-107,020	-3,350	182,352	269,572	-3,350	+87,220

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
ARIZONA							
ARMY							
FORT HUACHUCA							
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY ADDITION	920	-920	---	---	920	---	+920
MEDICAL SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	1,250	---	---	1,250	1,250	---	---
NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT							
SECURITY UPGRADE	4,000	---	---	4,000	4,000	---	---
NAVY							
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION YUMA							
AIRCRAFT WASHRACKS	870	---	---	870	870	---	---
AVIATION ARMAMENT SHOP	1,670	---	---	1,670	1,670	---	---
AVIATION SUPPLY WAREHOUSE ADDITION	3,150	-3,150	---	3,150	3,150	---	---
FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,330	---	---	1,330	1,330	---	---
MAINTENANCE HANGARS ADDITIONS	2,260	-2,260	---	---	2,260	---	+2,260
AIR FORCE							
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB							
BASE CONTRACTING FACILITY	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
COMBAT SUPPORT CENTER	7,000	-7,000	---	7,000	7,000	---	---
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	3,650	---	-3,650	3,650	---	-3,650	-3,650
HOLBROOK							
STR ADD-ALTER TECH OPERATIONS FACILITY	540	---	---	540	540	---	---
STRATEGIC TRAINING RANGE-COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILIT	1,350	---	---	---	1,350	---	+1,350

LUKE AFB							
BASE OPERATIONS MOBIL TRANS ALERT FACIL	1,750	---	-1,750	1,750	---	-1,750	-1,750
F-16 ACADEMICS FACILITY	2,600	-2,600	---	---	2,600	---	+2,600
LAND FEE PURCHASE (RANGE)	1,050	---	---	1,050	1,050	---	---
LANTIRN INTERMEDIATE COMPLEX	610	---	---	610	610	---	---
WILLIAMS AFB							
JET ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,350	---	---	3,350	3,350	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
FLORENCE							
RANGE, M60 TRANSITION	183	---	---	183	183	---	---
TUCSON							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	712	---	---	712	712	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
TUCSON IAP							
ADD TO AVIONICS/RELOCATE AGE	1,500	---	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
ALTERNATE FUEL FACILITY	225	---	---	225	225	---	---
COMPOSITE SQUADRON OPERATIONS	4,150	---	---	4,150	4,150	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB							
COMPOSITE TRAINING FACILITIES	12,400	---	---	12,400	12,400	---	---
LUKE AFB							
COMPOSITE TRAINING (WSSF/KS)	375	---	---	375	375	---	---
INFORMATION SYSTEM TRAINING FACILITY	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
MEDICAL ADMIN/TRAINING FACILITY	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR PAD	735	---	---	735	735	---	---
TOTAL, ARIZONA	59,230	-15,930	-5,400	52,100	53,830	-5,400	+1,730

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
ARKANSAS							
ARMY							
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL							
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
AIR FORCE							
BLYTHEVILLE AFB							
MISSION OPERATIONS FACILITY	---	+5,900	---	5,900	5,900	+5,900	---
T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	600	-600	---	600	600	---	---
LITTLE ROCK AFB							
ADD TO FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER	370	---	-370	---	---	-370	---
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE FACILITY	---	+400	---	400	---	---	-400
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	---	+4,500	---	---	---	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
LITTLE ROCK AFB							
HOSPITAL ALTERATIONS	---	+610	---	---	---	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BOONEVILLE							
100 PERSON ARMORY	764	---	---	764	764	---	---
CAMP ROBINSON							
AUTOMATIC FIRING RANGE	---	+670	---	670	---	---	-670
BATTLE SKILLS COURSE	240	---	---	240	240	---	---
MODIFICATIONS TO ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	---	+99	---	99	---	---	-99
RANGE, M60 MACHINEGUN	318	---	---	318	318	---	---

RUNWAY EXTENSION	---	+900	---	900	---	---	-900
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	362	---	---	362	362	---	---
TROOP UNIT MESS HALLS	380	---	---	380	380	---	---
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	360	---	---	360	360	---	---
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT	---	+353	---	353	---	---	-353
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
FT SMITH MAP							
ALTER HANGAR /MAINT CONTROL	150	---	---	150	150	---	---
SPECIAL FUELS FACILITY	225	---	---	225	225	---	---
LITTLE ROCK AFB							
AERIAL PORT FACILITY	---	+1,600	---	1,600	---	---	-1,600
ALTER WASH RACK	---	+95	---	95	---	---	-95
ARMY RESERVE							
WEST MEMPHIS							
LAND ACQUISITION	280	---	---	280	280	---	---
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	6,049	+14,527	-370	15,696	11,579	+5,530	-4,117
CALIFORNIA							
ARMY							
FORT HUNTER LIGGETT							
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
FORT IRWIN							
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	4,450	---	---	4,450	4,450	---	---
FORT ORD							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,400	---	---	6,400	6,400	---	---
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	490	---	---	490	490	---	---
PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO							
STANDBY GENERATOR	1,550	---	---	---	1,550	---	+1,550
SIERRA ARMY DEPOT							
SECURITY UPGRADE	2,600	---	---	2,600	2,600	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NAVY							
FLEET ANTI-SUB WARF TRNG CTR PAC SAN DIEGO							
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMNTS	5,020	---	---	5,020	---	-5,020	-5,020
FLEET TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO							
WEAPONS TRAINING BUILDING	4,080	---	---	4,080	---	-4,080	-4,080
MARINE CORP AIR-GRND COMB CTR TWENTYNINE PALMS							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	20,400	-6,800	---	20,400	13,600	-6,800	-6,800
ELECTRONICS & COMMS MAINT & STORAGE FACS	7,480	-7,480	---	---	7,480	---	+7,480
MULTI-PURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	2,700	---	---	2,700	2,700	---	---
POTABLE WATER SOURCE DEVELOPMENT	2,120	---	---	2,120	2,120	---	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION CAMP PENDLETON							
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	580	---	---	580	580	---	---
AVIATION SUPPLY FACILITY	4,330	-4,330	---	4,330	4,330	---	---
HAZARDOUS AND FLAMMABLE STOREHOUSE	900	---	---	900	900	---	---
STATION OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,870	---	-1,870	---	---	-1,870	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION EL TORO							
FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,310	---	---	2,310	2,310	---	---
RUNWAY EXTENSION	14,600	---	---	14,600	14,600	---	---
TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION TUSTIN							
AIRCRAFT INTERMEDIATE MAINT ACT COMPLEX	3,440	---	---	3,440	3,440	---	---
TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS	1,470	---	---	1,470	1,470	---	---

MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP PENDLETON							
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING	7,700	-7,700	---	7,700	---	-7,700	-7,700
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	22,600	-5,650	---	22,600	16,950	-5,650	-5,650
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	3,300	-3,300	---	---	3,300	---	+3,300
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT WAREHOUSE	2,760	-2,760	---	2,760	2,760	---	---
FIELD MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	5,900	---	---	5,900	5,900	---	---
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP FACILITIES	11,500	-11,500	---	11,500	---	-11,500	-11,500
MOVING TARGET RANGE	2,560	---	---	2,560	2,560	---	---
TACTICAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,700	-9,700	-9,700	---	---	-9,700	---
MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE BARSTOW							
EGRESS IMPROVEMENTS	230	---	---	230	230	---	---
RAIL SPUR AREA IMPROVEMENT	3,000	---	---	3,000	---	-3,000	-3,000
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY ALAMEDA							
PLATING SHOP	16,000	---	---	16,000	---	-16,000	-16,000
NAVAL AIR STATION ALAMEDA							
AIRCRAFT INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	11,400	---	---	11,400	11,400	---	---
NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE							
FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,560	---	---	---	---	-2,560	---
INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY	1,030	---	---	1,030	1,030	---	---
NAVAL AIR STATION MIRAMAR							
OPERATIONAL FLIGHT TRAINER FACILITY	6,500	---	---	6,500	6,500	---	---
NAVAL AIR STATION MOFFETT FIELD							
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	400	---	---	400	400	---	---
NAVAL AIR STATION NORTH ISLAND							
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM UPGRADE	5,610	---	---	5,610	---	-5,610	-5,610
MISSILE MAGAZINES	2,460	---	---	2,460	2,460	---	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	17,200	---	---	17,200	17,200	---	---
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE CORONADO							
SWIMMER DELIVERY VEHICLE FACILITY	2,760	---	---	2,760	2,760	---	---
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION STOCKTON							
FIRE PROTECTION PIPELINE	2,800	---	---	2,800	2,800	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NAVAL CONSTRUCT BATTALION CTR PORT HUENEME							
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	800	---	---	800	800	---	---
ENGINEERING SUPPORT FACILITY	3,250	---	---	3,250	3,250	---	---
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	1,670	---	---	1,670	1,670	---	---
SEALIFT SUPPORT STORAGE FACILITIES	6,700	-6,700	---	---	---	-6,700	---
WELDING AND METAL SHOP	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE OFFICE LONG BEACH							
NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE OFFICE	1,020	-1,020	-1,020	---	---	-1,020	---
NAVAL SCH CIVIL ENGR CORPS OFF PT HUENEME							
PROCUREMENT AND MANAGEMENT TRAINING BLDG	7,200	-7,200	---	---	7,200	---	+7,200
NAVAL SHIPYARD MARE ISLAND							
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	4,040	---	---	4,040	4,040	---	---
NAVAL STATION LONG BEACH							
PIER UTILITIES UPGRADE	5,120	-5,120	---	---	5,120	---	+5,120
NAVAL STATION SAN DIEGO							
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	350	---	---	350	350	---	---
ELECTRICAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1,180	---	---	1,180	1,180	---	---
REPAIR PIER	35,500	---	---	35,500	35,500	---	---
NAVAL STATION TREASURE IS SAN FRANCISCO							
BRIG	4,430	---	---	4,430	4,430	---	---
MESS HALL	1,570	-1,570	---	---	1,570	---	+1,570
UNDSE UTILITIES	20,800	-20,800	---	---	20,800	---	+20,800

NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE SAN DIEGO							
BERTHING PIER EXTENSION	2,760	---	---	2,760	2,760	---	---
SEARCH AND RECOVERY OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,360	---	---	1,360	1,360	---	---
SMALL CRAFT BERTHING PIER	4,040	-4,040	---	---	---	-4,040	---
NAVAL TECHNICAL TRNG CTR SAN FRANCISCO							
FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER FACILITY	10,100	---	---	10,100	10,100	---	---
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO							
BRIDGE	3,150	-3,150	---	3,150	---	-3,150	-3,150
FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER FACILITY	7,800	-7,800	-7,800	---	---	-7,800	---
RECRUIT SUPPORT CENTER	1,180	-1,180	-1,180	---	---	-1,180	---
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER CHINA LAKE							
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FACILITY	850	-850	---	---	850	---	+850
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION CONCORD							
MISSILE MAGAZINES	3,940	---	---	3,940	3,940	---	---
MISSILE MAINTENANCE AND TEST FACILITY	700	---	---	700	700	---	---
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION SEAL BEACH							
MISSILE ASSEMBLY AND TEST FACILITY	7,970	-7,970	---	---	---	-7,970	---
MISSILE FACILITY ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS	1,970	---	---	1,970	1,970	---	---
MISSILE MAGAZINE	14,000	---	---	14,000	14,000	---	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN DIEGO							
INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT FACILITIES	5,700	---	---	5,700	5,700	---	---
PUBLIC WORKS SHOPS	4,820	-4,820	---	4,820	---	-4,820	-4,820
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN FRANCISCO							
TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE SHOPS	11,700	---	-11,700	11,700	---	-11,700	-11,700
PACIFIC MISSILE TEST CENTER POINT MUGU							
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FACILITY	650	-650	---	---	650	---	+650
SUBMARINE TRAINING FACILITY SAN DIEGO							
SUBMARINE TRAINING FACILITY	4,630	-4,630	---	4,630	---	-4,630	-4,630
SURFACE WARFARE OFF SCOL CMD DET CORONADO							
SURFACE WARFARE INSTRUCTION BUILDING	4,130	-4,130	---	---	4,130	---	+4,130

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
AIR FORCE							
BEALE AFB							
AIRCRAFT SECURITY LIGHTING	400	---	---	400	400	---	---
AIRCRAFT SHELTERS	1,000	---	---	---	1,000	---	+1,000
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACILITY	780	---	---	780	780	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,000	-5,000	---	5,000	---	-5,000	-5,000
CASTLE AFB							
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	---	+9,450	---	9,450	---	---	-9,450
EDWARDS AFB							
ADD TO PROPELLANT LABORATORY COMPLEX	5,750	---	---	5,750	5,750	---	---
F-15E ADD-ALTER ENGINEERING TEST SUPPORT	3,700	-3,700	---	3,700	---	-3,700	-3,700
GEORGE AFB							
ALTER JET FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	210	---	---	210	210	---	---
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FACILITY	4,000	*	*	4,000	4,000	---	---
MATHER AFB							
ADD-ALTER EW FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY	1,350	---	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
BASE FLIGHT OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,300	---	-2,300	2,300	---	-2,300	-2,300
FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK	3,450	---	---	3,450	3,450	---	---
MCCLELLAN AFB							
ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	3,600	---	-3,600	3,600	---	-3,600	-3,600
DEPOT WAREHOUSE	---	+19,500	---	19,500	---	---	-19,500

NORTON AFB							
VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS	6,000	-6,000	-6,000	---	---	-6,000	---
TRAVIS AFB							
ADD-ALTER TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	1,500	---	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
VANDENBERG AFB							
LAUNCH COMPLEX SHOP FACILITY	1,300	-1,300	---	1,300	---	-1,300	-1,300
MISSILE CREW TRAINING FACILITIES	6,200	---	-6,200	6,200	---	-6,200	-6,200
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
SAN DIEGO							
HOSPITAL SUPPORT FACILITIES	7,400	*	*	7,400	7,400	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CAMP ROBERTS							
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TRAINING SITE	1,729	---	---	1,729	1,729	---	---
RIVERSIDE							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFIC	344	---	---	344	344	---	---
SAN LORENZO							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ALTERATION	220	---	---	220	220	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
FRESNO ANGB							
AIRCRAFT PARKING REVETMENT	270	---	---	270	270	---	---
POINT MUGU NAS							
ANG OPERATIONS/TRNG COMPLEX PHASE II	39,570	-19,570	---	20,000	39,570	---	+19,570
ARMY RESERVE							
BELL							
ADD/ALTER USARC W/MAINT FAC	7,072	---	---	7,072	7,072	---	---
LOS ALAMITOS							
GAS DISPENSING FACILITY	225	---	---	225	225	---	---
SACRAMENTO							
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TRAINING FAC	2,100	---	---	2,100	2,100	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NAVY RESERVE							
NMCRC LONG BEACH							
INSTALLATION OF A/C SYSTEM	550	---	---	550	550	---	---
NMCRC SAN DIEGO							
INSTALLATION OF A/C SYSTEM	550	---	---	550	550	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
NORTON AFB							
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	1,700	---	---	1,700	1,700	---	---
TRAVIS AFB							
CIVIL ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY	750	---	---	750	750	---	---
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	524,460	-156,270	-51,370	431,350	363,860	-160,600	-67,490
COLORADO							
ARMY							
FORT CARSON							
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,050	---	---	2,050	2,050	---	---
PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY							
SECURITY UPGRADE	620	---	---	620	620	---	---
AIR FORCE							
BUCKLEY ANG BASE							
ADD-ALTER AEROSPACE DATA FACILITY	15,500	---	---	15,500	15,500	---	---
AREA SECURITY LIGHTING	1,400	---	---	1,400	1,400	---	---

FALCON AFS							
SPACE ENVIRONMENT FORECAST CENTER	2,150	-2,150	---	---	2,150	---	+2,150
LOWRY AFB							
ACADEMIC CLASSROOMS ADDITION/ALTERATION	---	---	+3,750	---	3,750	+3,750	+3,750
TRANSPORTATION COMPLEX	---	---	+3,300	---	3,300	+3,300	+3,300
PETERSON AFB							
ADD TO VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	660	---	---	660	660	---	---
ADD-ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	590	*	*	590	590	---	---
BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE PHASE-I	4,100	-4,100	-4,100	---	---	-4,100	---
SPACE OPERATIONS TRAINING FACILITY	10,500	-10,500	---	---	---	-10,500	---
TROOP SUBSISTENCE STORAGE FACILITY	990	---	---	990	990	---	---
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY							
ADD-ALTER CADET ACTIVITIES CENTER	6,100	-6,100	-6,100	---	---	-6,100	---
AUXILIARY AIRFIELD	1,700	---	---	1,700	1,700	---	---
FIRE STATION	980	---	---	980	980	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
FALCON AIR STATION							
NATIONAL TEST FACILITY	100,000	-100,000	---	---	70,000	-30,000	+70,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BUCKLEY AIR GUARD BASE (AURORA)							
400 PERSON ARMORY	3,079	---	---	3,079	3,079	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
ROCKY MOUNTAIN ARSENAL							
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FAC ADD	4,469	---	---	4,469	4,469	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
PETERSON AFB							
ADD TO/ALTER BASE CLINIC	380	---	---	380	380	---	---
TOTAL, COLORADO	155,268	-122,850	-3,150	32,418	111,618	-43,650	+79,200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
CONNECTICUT							
NAVY							
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE NEW LONDON							
ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	---	+2,300	---	2,300	---	---	-2,300
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	1,250	---	---	1,250	1,250	---	---
UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	2,900	---	---	2,900	---	-2,900	-2,900
WEAPONS STORAGE IMPROVEMENTS	3,300	-3,300	-3,300	---	---	-3,300	---
NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER NEW LONDON							
SURFACE SONAR DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY	11,230	-11,230	---	11,230	11,230	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NMRC PLAINVILLE							
RESERVE CENTER ACQUISITION	470	---	---	470	470	---	---
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	19,150	-12,230	-3,300	18,150	12,950	-6,200	-5,200
DELAWARE							
AIR FORCE							
DOVER AFB							
ADD TO TAXIWAY	1,250	-1,250	-1,250	---	---	-1,250	---
ANCILLARY EXPLOSIVE COMPLEX	2,300	---	---	2,300	2,300	---	---
BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING FACILITY	2,750	-2,750	---	2,750	2,750	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BETHANY BEACH							

RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	259	---	---	259	259	---	---
SEAFORD							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	550	---	---	550	550	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
DOVER AFB							
CIVIL ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY	815	---	---	815	815	---	---
TOTAL, DELAWARE	7,924	-4,000	-1,250	6,674	6,674	-1,250	---
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA							
ARMY							
WALTER REED ARMY MED CTR							
AIR FLOW REDUCTION SYSTEM	2,500	-2,500	---	---	2,500	---	+2,500
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,300	---	---	1,300	1,300	---	---
NAVY							
COMMANDANT NAVAL DISTRICT WASHINGTON							
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE MODERNIZATION	15,700	---	---	15,700	---	-15,700	-15,700
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE SPACE MODERNIZATION	5,220	---	-5,220	5,220	---	-5,220	-5,220
TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,300	-5,300	-5,300	---	---	-5,300	---
WHITE HOUSE SUPPORT COMPLEX	27,000	-27,000	---	---	27,000	---	+27,000
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY WASHINGTON							
LABORATORY MODERNIZATION	4,000	-4,000	---	---	---	-4,000	---
NAVAL SECURITY STATION WASHINGTON							
COMMUNICATIONS & DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	3,000	---	---	3,000	3,000	---	---
AIR FORCE							
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE							
LIBRARY	1,750	-1,750	-1,750	---	---	-1,750	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
ANACOSTIA NAVAL STATION							
WHCA SUPPORT COMPLEX	30,170	-30,170	---	---	---	-30,170	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
BOLLING AFB							
DIAC ELECTRICAL FIX	805	---	---	805	805	---	---
FORT MCNAIR							
ACADEMIC/LIBRARY FACILITY	33,000	-28,000	---	---	5,000	-28,000	+5,000
PENTAGON							
NATIONAL MILITARY INTELLIGENCE CENTER - ELECTRICAL POWER SOURCE	679	-679	---	---	679	---	+679
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
FORT BELVOIR							
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	619	---	---	619	619	---	---
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	131,043	-99,399	-12,270	26,644	40,903	-90,140	+14,259
FLORIDA							
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY JACKSONVILLE							
AIRCRAFT ENGINE FUEL ACCESSORIES SHOP ADDN	5,000	---	---	5,000	5,000	---	---
NAVAL AIR STATION JACKSONVILLE							
AIRCRAFT ENGINE MAINT SHOP & SHOP ADDITION	4,630	---	---	4,630	4,630	---	---
NAVAL AIR STATION KEY WEST							
HYDROFOIL BERTHING WHARF	9,000	-9,000	---	---	9,000	---	+9,000
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	5,400	---	---	5,400	5,400	---	---
RADAR AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL CENTER	1,180	---	---	1,180	1,180	---	---

NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA							
DREDGING	16,200	---	---	16,200	16,200	---	---
NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEMS CENTER PANAMA CITY							
UNDERWATER COUNTERMEASURES FABRICATION FAC	5,400	---	---	5,400	5,400	---	---
NAVAL STATION MAYPORT							
PIER ELECTRIC POWER UPGRADE	1,480	---	---	1,480	1,480	---	---
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER JACKSONVILLE							
BULK STORAGE WAREHOUSE	4,720	-4,720	---	---	4,720	---	+4,720
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER PENSACOLA							
HAZARDOUS AND FLAMMABLE STOREHOUSE	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
NAVAL TECHNICAL TRAINING CENTER PENSACOLA							
COMPUTER PROGRAMMING FACILITY	6,000	---	---	---	6,000	---	+6,000
CRYPTO TRAINING BUILDING	2,170	---	---	---	2,170	---	+2,170
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER ORLANDO							
ADVANCED UNDERWATER WEAPONS TRAINING FAC	3,050	---	---	3,050	---	-3,050	-3,050
BARRACKS	9,350	-9,350	---	9,350	---	-9,350	-9,350
PUBLIC WORKS SHOP	2,560	-2,560	-2,560	---	---	-2,560	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PENSACOLA							
HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY	3,300	---	---	3,300	3,300	---	---
NAVY TACT INTEROPERABILITY SPT ACT MAYPORT							
TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM FACILITY	500	---	---	500	500	---	---
AIR FORCE							
AVON PARK							
ELECTRON WARFARE OPS VEHICLE MAINT FACIL	3,700	-3,700	-3,700	---	---	-3,700	---
CAPE CANAVERAL AFS							
LAUNCH OPERATION SUPPORT FACILITY	910	-910	---	910	---	-910	-910
EGLIN AFB							
GUIDED WEAPONS EVALUATION FACILITY	20,000	---	---	20,000	20,000	---	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	900	-900	---	900	900	---	---
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CENTER	2,150	---	---	2,150	2,150	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
HOMESTEAD AFB							
VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS	2,700	-2,700	-2,700	---	---	-2,700	---
MACDILL AFB							
ADD-ALTER TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	1,350	---	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
HQ U.S. CENTRAL COMMAND FACILITY	1,691	---	---	1,691	1,691	---	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	700	-700	---	700	700	---	---
WING OPS AND MAINTENANCE MGMT FACILITY	3,050	-3,050	---	---	3,050	---	+3,050
TYNDALL AFB							
ADD-ALTER ENGINE INSPECT AND REPAIR SHOP	1,300	---	---	1,300	1,300	---	---
LAND ACQUISITION	700	---	-700	700	---	-700	-700
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT KEY WEST							
FUEL TANKAGE	11,100	-1,700	---	9,400	11,100	---	+1,700
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CAMP BLANDING							
RANGE, AUTOMATED FIELD FIRE	588	---	---	588	588	---	---
RANGE, AUTOMATED RECORD FIRE	740	---	---	740	740	---	---
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	120	---	---	120	120	---	---
RANGE, CREW COMBAT FIRING	340	---	---	340	340	---	---
RANGE, M16 RECORD FIRE	166	---	---	166	166	---	---
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TRAINING SITE	1,709	---	---	1,709	1,709	---	---
PLANT CITY							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	326	---	---	326	326	---	---

QUINCY							
200 PERSON ARMORY	1,212	---	---	1,212	1,212	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NMRC WEST PALM BEACH							
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	4,062	---	---	4,062	4,062	---	---
NRC ST. PETERSBURG							
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	2,965	---	---	2,965	2,965	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
HOMESTEAD AFB							
ADD TO/ALTER FACILITIES FOR CONVERSION	1,040	-1,040	---	---	1,040	---	+1,040
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	740	---	---	740	740	---	---
ENGINE INSPECTION AND REPAIR FACILITY	1,750	-1,750	---	---	1,750	---	+1,750
TOTAL, FLORIDA	147,799	-42,080	-9,660	109,409	124,829	-22,970	+15,420
GEORGIA							
ARMY							
FORT BENNING							
HIGHWAY CROSSING - US 280	11,000	---	---	11,000	11,000	---	---
SCHOOL OF AMERICAS - PHASE I	---	---	+700	---	700	+700	+700
FORT MCPHERSON							
SECURITY UPGRADE	1,400	---	-1,400	1,400	---	-1,400	-1,400
FT STEWART/HUNTER AAF							
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	12,200	---	---	12,200	12,200	---	---
CHAPEL	1,000	-1,000	---	---	1,000	---	+1,000
LIGHTING MODIFICATION	370	---	---	370	370	---	---
NAVY							
MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE ALBANY							
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1,530	---	---	1,530	1,530	---	---
NAVAL SPACE SURVEIL FIELD STA HAWKINSVILLE							
ANTENNA ARRAY UPGRADE & LAND ACQUISITION	2,890	---	---	2,890	2,890	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE KINGS BAY							
BEQ	7,675	---	---	7,675	7,675	---	---
CHAPEL/RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	2,680	-2,680	---	---	2,680	---	+2,680
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION	1,120	---	---	1,120	1,120	---	---
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	3,345	-3,345	---	---	3,345	---	+3,345
COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSISTANCE	5,510	---	---	5,510	5,510	---	---
DEFENSE ORDNANCE SUPPORT FACILITIES	4,825	-4,825	---	---	4,825	---	+4,825
DREDGING	27,720	---	---	27,720	27,720	---	---
DRYDOCK	28,675	---	---	28,675	28,675	---	---
HOBBY SHOP - AUTO (ADDITION)	875	-875	---	---	875	---	+875
INDUSTRIAL/HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	5,210	---	---	5,210	5,210	---	---
LIBRARY	1,670	-1,670	---	---	1,670	---	+1,670
PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES	2,215	-2,215	---	---	2,215	---	+2,215
REFIT FACILITIES	7,370	---	---	7,370	7,370	---	---
SECURITY FORCE WEAPONS QUALITY RANGES	2,850	---	---	2,850	2,850	---	---
SECURITY HEADQUARTERS	2,660	---	---	2,660	2,660	---	---
STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITIES	11,540	---	---	11,540	11,540	---	---
STRATEGIC WEAPONS MAGAZINES	6,590	---	---	6,590	6,590	---	---
UNSPECIFIED REDUCTION (EXCLUDING COMMUNITY IMPACT)	---	---	-7,000	---	-7,000	-7,000	-7,000
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	8,170	---	---	8,170	8,170	---	---
AIR FORCE							
ROBINS AFB							
HANGAR	---	+15,500	+15,500	15,500	15,500	+15,500	---

DEFENSE AGENCIES							
KINGS BAY							
MED/DEN CLINICS ADD/ALTERATION	6,600	---	---	6,600	6,600	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
FORT STEWART							
TRNG SITE, COMBAT VEHICLE WASH PLATFORM	1,957	---	---	1,957	1,957	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
DOBBINS AFB							
COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX PHASE II	1,400	---	---	1,400	1,400	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NAS ATLANTA							
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (C-9)	6,100	-6,100	---	---	6,100	---	+6,100
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
DOBBINS AFB							
ADD TO/ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,950	---	---	1,950	1,950	---	---
ROBINS AFB							
ADD TO/ALTER AFRES HEADQUARTERS	1,900	---	---	1,900	1,900	---	---
TOTAL, GEORGIA	180,997	-7,210	+7,800	173,787	188,797	+7,800	+15,010
HAWAII							
ARMY							
ALIAMANU MILITARY RESERVATION							
CHAPEL AND RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	5,000	---	---	5,000	5,000	---	---
HAWAII VARIOUS							
POWER UPGRADE	8,400	---	---	8,400	8,400	---	---
SAFETY UPGRADE	3,900	---	---	3,900	3,900	---	---
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,950	---	-4,950	4,950	4,950	---	---
COLD/DRY STORAGE WAREHOUSE	8,300	-8,300	---	8,300	8,300	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	20,000	-20,000	---	---	20,000	---	+20,000
OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,600	---	---	5,600	5,600	---	---
NAVY							
COM OCEANOGRAPHIC SYS PACIFIC PEARL HARBOR							
STAND-BY GENERATOR BUILDING ADDITIONS	1,280	---	---	1,280	1,280	---	---
FLEET INTELLIGENCE CTR PAC PEARL HARBOR							
COMMAND/CONTROL/INTELLIGENCE BLDG ADDITION	6,597	---	---	6,597	6,597	---	---
COMMAND/CONTROL/INTELLLIGENCE BLG ADDITION	6,500	---	---	6,500	6,500	---	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION KANEOHE BAY							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	14,000	-4,667	---	14,000	14,000	---	---
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	6,380	-6,380	---	6,380	6,380	---	---
CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	5,470	---	---	5,470	5,470	---	---
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMNTS	9,350	---	---	9,350	9,350	---	---
INTEGRATED SIGNALS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM FAC	2,360	-2,360	-2,360	---	---	-2,360	---
NAVAL FORCES CENTRAL COMMAND PEARL HARBOR							
ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY	2,260	-2,260	---	---	2,260	---	+2,260
NAVAL MAGAZINE LUALUALEI							
MISSILE COMPONENT REWORK BUILDING	4,230	---	---	4,230	4,230	---	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,080	---	---	1,080	1,080	---	---
NAVAL STATION PEARL HARBOR							
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	430	---	---	430	430	---	---
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE PEARL HARBOR							
TORPEDO SHOP ADDITION	7,180	---	---	7,180	7,180	---	---

NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER PEARL HARBOR							
DIESEL FUEL PURIFICATION PLANT	2,950	-800	---	2,150	2,950	---	+800
WAREHOUSE FIRE PROTECTION	1,130	---	---	1,130	1,130	---	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PEARL HARBOR							
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMNTS	11,203	---	---	11,203	11,203	---	---
AIR FORCE							
BELLOWS AIR FORCE STATION							
PERIMETER FENCING	460	---	---	460	460	---	---
HICKAM AFB							
ALTER RECON PHOTO LAB	900	---	---	900	900	---	---
FIRE PROTECTION -VARIOUS FACILITIES	1,550	---	-1,550	---	---	-1,550	---
KAENA POINT							
ALTER ELECTRIC POWER PLANT	3,400	---	---	3,400	3,400	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
HANAPEPE							
100 PERSON ARMORY	1,705	---	---	1,705	1,705	---	---
UKUMEHAME							
RANGE, 600 YD KNOWN DIST/BASIC 25M FIRING	559	---	---	559	559	---	---
TOTAL, HAWAII	147,124	-44,767	-8,860	120,154	143,214	-3,910	+23,060
IDAHO							
AIR FORCE							
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB							
ADD-ALTER POL OPERATIONS FACILITY	650	---	---	650	650	---	---
EW MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,250	---	---	1,250	1,250	---	---
WILDER							
STR COMPLEX SUPPORT FACILITY	1,150	---	---	1,150	1,150	---	---
STR TECHNICAL OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
GOWEN FIELD							
RANGE, MULTI-PURPOSE COMPLEX-HEAVY	13,613	---	---	13,613	13,613	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
POCATELLO							
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER W/MAINT FAC	2,970	---	---	2,970	2,970	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NRF POCATELLO							
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---
TOTAL, IDAHO	22,033	---	---	22,033	22,033	---	---
ILLINOIS							
ARMY							
SAVANNA ARMY DEPOT							
TRANSPORTABILITY TEST CENTER	---	+2,550	---	2,550	---	---	-2,550
NAVY							
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER GREAT LAKES							
CHAPEL	---	---	+4,600	---	4,600	+4,600	+4,600
RECRUIT SUPPORT CENTER	2,310	---	---	2,310	2,310	---	---
AIR FORCE							
CHANUTE AFB							

COLD STORAGE FACILITY	3,350	---	---	3,350	3,350	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,000	---	---	5,000	5,000	---	---
SCOTT AFB							
ALTER JRSC INTERCONNECT FACILITY	730	---	---	730	730	---	---
TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	2,550	---	---	---	2,550	---	+2,550
WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT	3,800	---	---	3,800	3,800	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
MARSEILLES							
RANGE, MODIFIED RECORD FIRE	301	---	---	301	301	---	---
SPRINGFIELD							
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICER/OFFICE ADD	377	---	---	377	377	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
CHICAGO-OHARE IAP							
ANG/AFRES COMM/ELEC TRNG CENTER	650	---	---	650	650	---	---
GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT							
OPERATIONS AND TRNG COMPLEX PHASE II	13,600	---	---	13,600	13,600	---	---
SPRINGFIELD							
AVIONICS SHOP	---	+1,300	---	1,300	---	---	-1,300
ENGINE REPAIR FACILITY	---	+1,100	---	1,100	---	---	-1,100
FUEL STORAGE	---	+2,100	---	2,100	---	---	-2,100
ARMY RESERVE							
ROCKFORD							
ADD TO MAINT FAC	250	---	---	250	250	---	---
VARIOUS							
UPGRADE RIFLE RANGES	200	---	---	200	200	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
CHICAGO-OHARE IAP							
BASE/SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,250	---	---	2,250	2,250	---	---
COMMUNICATION-ELECT TRAINING (JOINT USE)	600	---	---	600	600	---	---
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	35,968	+7,050	+4,600	40,468	40,568	+4,600	+100

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDIANA							
ARMY							
FORT BENJAMIN HARRISON							
BARRACKS COMPLEX	6,900	-6,900	---	6,900	---	-6,900	-6,900
NAVY							
NAVAL WEAPONS SUPPORT CENTER CRANE							
DATA PROCESSING CENTER	4,820	---	---	4,820	4,820	---	---
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS EVALUATION LABORATORY	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
VEHICULAR BRIDGES REPLACEMENT	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT AND TEST FACILITY	1,570	-1,570	---	1,570	---	-1,570	-1,570
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CAMP ATTERBURY							
RANGE, LIGHT/HEAVY MACHINEGUN-FIELD FIRE	590	---	---	590	590	---	---
STOUT FIELD (INIDIANAPOLIS)							
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICER OFFICE	1,359	---	---	1,359	1,359	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
FT WAYNE MAP							
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
FORT BENJAMIN HARRISON							
ADD TO MAINT FAC	390	---	---	390	390	---	---

EVANSVILLE							
UPGRADE MILITARY EQUIPMENT PARKING AREA.....	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
TOTAL, INDIANA.....	18,129	-8,470	---	18,129	9,659	-8,470	-8,470
IOWA							
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CAMP DODGE							
LAND ACQUISITION.....	380	---	---	380	380	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
COUNCIL BLUFFS							
ADD/ALTER USARC W/MAINT FAC.....	1,721	---	---	1,721	1,721	---	---
TOTAL, IOWA.....	2,101	---	---	2,101	2,101	---	---
KANSAS							
ARMY							
FORT RILEY							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	2,850	---	---	2,850	2,850	---	---
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING.....	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
AIR FORCE							
MCCONNELL AFB							
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	2,550	---	---	2,550	2,550	---	---
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS.....	---	+2,200	---	2,200	---	---	-2,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
GOODLAND							
60 PERSON ARMORY.....	774	---	---	774	774	---	---
FORT LEAVENWORTH							
ARMORY & TRAINING CENTER.....	2,769	---	---	2,769	2,769	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NICKELL BARRACKS							
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FAC ACQUISITION/ALT	392	---	---	392	392	---	---
RANGE, M60 TRANSITION	230	---	---	230	230	---	---
PAOLA							
60 PERSON ARMORY	672	---	---	672	672	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
TOPEKA							
LAND ACQUISITION	375	---	---	375	375	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
MCCONNELL AFB							
FLIGHT SIMULATOR FAC-JOINT WITH ANG	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
TOTAL, KANSAS	13,612	+2,200	---	15,812	13,612	---	-2,200
KENTUCKY							
ARMY							
FORT CAMPBELL							
AIRCRAFT FUEL FACILITY	810	---	---	810	810	---	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	5,400	---	---	5,400	5,400	---	---
SPECIAL FORCES MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY	6,200	---	---	6,200	6,200	---	---
FORT KNOX							
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	3,400	-3,400	---	3,400	3,400	---	---

LEXINGTON-BLUEGRASS DEP ACT							
SECURITY UPGRADE	570	---	---	570	570	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
STANDIFORD FIELD							
COMPOSITE TRAINING FACILITY	1,580	---	---	1,580	1,580	---	---
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	17,960	-3,400	---	17,960	17,960	---	---
LOUISIANA							
ARMY							
FORT POLK							
ARMS STORAGE FACILITY	490	---	---	490	490	---	---
NAVY							
NAVAL STATION LAKE CHARLES							
BERTHING WHARF	7,280	-7,280	---	---	7,280	---	+7,280
PORT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	250	-250	---	---	250	---	+250
SITE DEVELOPMENT AND UTILITIES	2,770	---	---	2,770	2,770	---	---
AIR FORCE							
BARKSDALE AFB							
JP7 FUEL STORAGE/DISPENS FACILITIES	2,900	---	-2,900	2,900	---	-2,900	-2,900
T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	800	-800	---	800	800	---	---
ENGLAND AFB							
BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING ADMINISTRATION FAC	2,300	---	---	2,300	2,300	---	---
CONSOLIDATED BASE PERSONNEL OFFICE	3,400	-3,400	---	---	3,400	---	+3,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CAMP BEAUREGARD							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP EXPANSION	197	---	---	197	197	---	---
JACKSON BARRACKS							
TACFIRE TRAINING FACILITY	351	---	---	351	351	---	---
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICER/OFFICE ADD	746	---	---	746	746	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
JENA							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	527	---	---	527	527	---	---
VIDALIA							
MILITARY PARKING ADDITION	110	---	---	110	110	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
NEW ORLEANS NAS							
ALERT SHELTER COMPLEX AND ACFT PARK APRON	3,200	---	---	3,200	3,200	---	---
MOBILITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE	160	---	---	160	160	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NAVAL AIR STATION NEW ORLEANS							
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	1,400	---	---	1,400	1,400	---	---
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NEW ORLEANS							
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM REHAB	1,400	---	---	1,400	1,400	---	---
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	28,281	-11,730	-2,900	17,351	25,381	-2,900	+8,030
MAINE							
NAVY							
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACT WINTER HARBOR							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
CLASSIC WIZARD IMPROVEMENTS	600	---	---	600	600	---	---
AIR FORCE							
BANGOR							

PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	1,500	---	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
LORING AFB							
KC135-CPT SUPPORT FACILITY	1,150	---	---	1,150	1,150	---	---
RAMP REFUEL	---	---	+13,100	---	13,100	+13,100	+13,100
STR ADD-ALTER TECH OPERATIONS FACILITY	480	---	---	480	480	---	---
STR COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITIES	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	750	-750	---	750	750	---	---
TOTAL, MAINE	7,430	-750	+13,100	7,430	20,530	+13,100	+13,100
MARYLAND							
ARMY							
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND							
RESEARCH LAB ADDITION	---	+5,700	---	5,700	5,700	+5,700	---
WALL/CEILING INSULATION	930	---	---	930	930	---	---
WINDOW AREA REDUCTION (ECIP)	530	---	---	530	530	---	---
FORT RITCHIE							
POWER RELIABILITY ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	16,500	---	---	16,500	16,500	---	---
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR TEST CENTER PATUXENT RIVER							
STEAM AND CONDENSATE SYSTEMS	2,560	---	---	2,560	2,560	---	---
TELEMETRY DATA CENTER	6,500	---	---	6,500	6,500	---	---
NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION INDIAN HEAD							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QTRS AND MESS HALL ADDN	4,330	---	---	4,330	4,330	---	---
HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	1,530	---	---	1,530	1,530	---	---
PROPELLANT CURING BUILDING	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
AIR FORCE							
ANDREWS AFB							
AF-1 MAINT AND SUPPORT COMPLEX PHASE-II	20,000	---	---	20,000	20,000	---	---
ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HSG	2,650	-2,650	---	2,650	---	-2,650	-2,650

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
FORT MEADE							
COOLING PLANT MOD	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
OUTDOOR RANGE FACILITY	600	---	---	600	600	---	---
R&E FACILITY	15,000	---	---	15,000	15,000	---	---
SECURITY STRUCTURES	7,000	-3,500	---	---	7,000	---	+7,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
ANDREWS AFB							
SUPPORT EQUIP/NDI/POL OPERATIONS	1,700	---	---	1,700	1,700	---	---
GLENN L MARTIN AIRPORT							
UPGRADE HANGAR 1	3,500	---	---	3,500	3,500	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NRC BALTIMORE							
RESERVE CENTER REHABILITATION	3,400	---	---	3,400	3,400	---	---
TOTAL, MARYLAND	88,580	-450	---	87,280	91,630	+3,050	+4,350
MASSACHUSETTS							
ARMY							
FORT DEVENS							
AUTOMATIC WEAPONS RANGE	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---
PARKING AND STREET LIGHTING MODIFICATION	640	---	---	640	640	---	---
NATICK RESEARCH CENTER							

NATICK RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	45,000	-45,000	-45,000	---	---	-45,000	---
WATERTOWN LABORATORY							
LAB MODERNIZATION.....	---	---	+15,000	---	15,000	+15,000	+15,000
NAVY							
NAVY CLOTHING & TEXTILE RESCH FAC NATICK							
CLOTHING LABORATORY ADDITION.....	1,870	-1,870	---	1,870	---	-1,870	-1,870
AIR FORCE							
CAPE COD							
SATELLITE COMM GROUND TERMINAL.....	2,150	---	---	2,150	2,150	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
HANSCOM AFB							
ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE SCHOOL ADDITION.....	4,432	-4,432	---	4,432	4,432	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CAMP EDWARDS							
LEADERSHIP REACTION COURSE.....	152	---	---	152	152	---	---
REHABILITATE RANGES B,C,D & E.....	175	---	---	175	175	---	---
UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE MODIFICATION.....	676	---	---	676	676	---	---
FRAMINGHAM							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP EXPANSION.....	229	---	---	229	229	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
OTIS ANGB							
COMPOSITE SQUADRON OPERATIONS.....	2,850	---	---	2,850	2,850	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
WESTOVER AFB							
MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	14,507	---	---	14,507	14,507	---	---
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS.....	73,881	-51,302	-30,000	28,881	42,011	-31,870	+13,130

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
MICHIGAN							
AIR FORCE							
KI SAWYER AFB							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	---	---	+1,500	---	1,500	+1,500	+1,500
KC135-CPT SIMULATOR SUPPORT FACILITY	840	---	---	840	840	---	---
T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	690	-690	---	690	690	---	---
WURTSMITH AFB							
B52-AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	6,700	---	---	6,700	6,700	---	---
B52-BLAST FENCE AND LIGHTING	450	---	---	450	450	---	---
B52-ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,050	---	-3,050	---	---	-3,050	---
T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	570	-570	---	570	570	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED EMLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,900	-5,900	---	5,900	5,900	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
AUGUSTA							
RANGE, MILITARY OPNS IN URBAN TERRAIN-MAC	499	---	---	499	499	---	---
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TRAINING SITE	1,606	---	---	1,606	1,606	---	---
MIDLAND							
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	236	---	---	236	236	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
SELFRIDGE ANGB							
ADD/ALTER READINESS CREW FACILITY	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NAF DETROIT							

AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (C-9).....	4,400	---	---	4,400	4,400	---	---
TOTAL, MICHIGAN.....	25,241	-7,160	-1,550	22,191	23,691	-1,550	+1,500
MINNESOTA							
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BRAINERD							
100 PERSON ARMORY.....	1,513	---	---	1,513	1,513	---	---
CAMP RIPLEY							
POL STORAGE/DISPENSING FACILITY.....	781	---	---	781	781	---	---
RANGE, AUTOMATED FIELD FIRE.....	747	---	---	747	747	---	---
RANGE, AUTOMATED RECORD FIRE.....	869	---	---	869	869	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
MINN-ST PAUL IAP							
ALTER SUPPLY BUILDING (801).....	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
TOTAL, MINNESOTA.....	4,210	---	---	4,210	4,210	---	---
MISSISSIPPI							
NAVY							
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CTR GULFPORT							
HAZARDOUS & FLAMMABLE MATERIALS STOREHOUSE.....	2,950	---	---	2,950	2,950	---	---
PREPOSITIONED WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE....	---	---	+7,500	---	7,500	+7,500	+7,500
NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHY COMMAND BAY ST LOUIS							
ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY.....	1,600	-1,600	---	---	1,600	---	+1,600
SUPERVISOR OF SHIPBUILDING PASCAGOULA							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	---	---	---	4,000	+4,000	+4,000
NAVAL STATION PASCAGOULA							
BERTHING PIER.....	8,870	---	---	---	8,870	---	+8,870
SITE DEVELOPMENT AND UTILITIES.....	8,230	---	---	8,230	13,030	+4,800	+4,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
AIR FORCE							
COLUMBUS AFB							
AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	1,050	---	---	1,050	1,050	---	---
CIVIL ENGINEERING FACILITY	---	+4,400	---	4,400	---	---	-4,400
KEESLER AFB							
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/NDI FACILITY	6,300	---	---	6,300	6,300	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BOONEVILLE							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	+716	---	716	---	---	-716
CAMP MCCAIN							
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	---	---	+2,000	2,000	2,000	+2,000	---
CAMP SHELBY							
200 PERSON ARMORY	---	---	+1,946	---	1,946	+1,946	+1,946
RANGE, AUTOMATED RECORD FIRE	460	---	---	460	460	---	---
REGIONAL MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	1,128	---	---	1,128	1,128	---	---
TANK TRAIL	---	---	+1,249	---	1,249	+1,249	+1,249
CANTON							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	+678	---	678	---	---	-678
DREW							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	---	+700	---	700	+700	+700
GREENWOOD							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	---	+700	---	700	+700	+700

LOUISVILLE							
100 PERSON ARMORY	---	+821	---	821	---	---	-821
PICAYUNE							
100 PERSON ARMORY	---	---	+700	---	700	+700	+700
PONTOTOC							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	---	+600	600	600	+600	---
TUPELO							
ARMORY ADDITION/ALTERATION	---	---	+2,900	---	2,900	+2,900	+2,900
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
ALLEN C THOMPSON FIELD							
ADD/ALTER HANGAR 102	4,425	---	---	4,425	4,425	---	---
JACKSON							
SUPPORT FACILITIES ADDITION/ALTERATION	---	---	+416	---	416	+416	+416
KEY FIELD							
SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	---	+1,370	---	1,370	---	---	-1,370
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	35,013	+6,385	+18,711	35,128	62,524	+27,511	+27,396
MISSOURI							
ARMY							
FORT LEONARD WOOD							
DINING FACILITY	3,000	---	---	3,000	3,000	---	---
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	1,600	---	---	1,600	1,600	---	---
LIBRARY	5,400	---	-5,400	5,400	---	-5,400	-5,400
LAKE CITY ARMY AMMUNITIONS PLANT							
WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	---	+21,000	---	21,000	---	---	-21,000
AIR FORCE							
WHITEMAN AFB							
ATB-ADD/ALTER BASE STEAM GENERATION PLANT	3,800	---	---	3,800	3,800	---	---
ATB-ADD/ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,600	---	---	2,600	2,600	---	---
ATB-ADD/ALTER FUEL STORAGE/DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
ATB-ADD/ALTER SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	1,600	---	---	1,600	1,600	---	---
ATB-BASE CIVIL ENGINEER SUPPORT FACILITY	1,800	---	---	---	1,800	---	+1,800
ATB-BASE SUPPLY FACILITY	6,000	---	---	6,000	6,000	---	---
ATB-COMBAT CREW TRAINING SQUADRON FACILITY	14,900	---	---	14,900	14,900	---	---
ATB-CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	4,500	---	---	---	4,500	---	+4,500
ATB-FIELD TRAINING DETACHMENT FACILITY	4,000	---	---	4,000	4,000	---	---
ATB-FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,000	---	---	5,000	5,000	---	---
ATB-HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	4,600	---	---	4,600	4,600	---	---
ATB-INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY	12,500	---	---	12,500	12,500	---	---
ATB-MAINTENANCE DOCK	3,900	---	---	3,900	3,900	---	---
ATB-MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,700	---	---	2,700	2,700	---	---
ATB-MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,500	---	---	2,500	2,500	---	---
ATB-MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY	3,400	---	---	3,400	3,400	---	---
ATB-PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	600	---	---	600	600	---	---
ATB-SECURITY POLICE COMPLEX	6,000	---	---	6,000	6,000	---	---
ATB-TELEPHONE SWITCH	400	---	---	400	400	---	---
ATB-UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,500	---	---	4,500	4,500	---	---
ATB-WEAPONS STORAGE IGLOOS	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
LEBANON							
60 PERSON ARMORY	895	---	---	895	895	---	---
SPRINGFIELD							
ARMORY ADDITION/ALTERATION	977	---	---	977	977	---	---

ARMY RESERVE							
ST JOSEPH							
ADD TO ARMS VAULT/KITCHEN	200	---	---	200	200	---	---
TOTAL, MISSOURI	101,372	+21,000	-5,400	116,072	95,972	-5,400	-20,100
MONTANA							
AIR FORCE							
HAVRE							
STRATEGIC TRAINING RANGE - DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	4,100	---	---	4,100	4,100	---	---
MALMSTROM AFB							
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,500	---	---	3,500	3,500	---	---
KC135R-AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	7,300	-7,300	---	7,300	---	-7,300	-7,300
KC135R-ALT ACC COPILOT ENRICH TNG SPT FAC	770	---	---	770	770	---	---
KC135R-ALTER ACFT MAINTENANCE DOCK	2,900	---	---	2,900	2,900	---	---
KC135R-ALTER AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	4,300	---	---	4,300	4,300	---	---
KC135R-ALTER ALERT CREW READINESS FAC	1,500	---	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
KC135R-ALTER HQTS COMMAND POST	770	---	---	770	770	---	---
KC135R-ALTER WEAPONS SYS MAINT MGMT FAC	1,250	-1,250	---	---	1,250	---	+1,250
KC135R-CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	4,300	-4,300	---	4,300	4,300	---	---
KC135R-SECURITY IMPROVEMENT	720	---	---	720	720	---	---
KC135R-VEHICLE OPERATIONS HEATED PARKING	2,150	-2,150	-2,150	---	---	-2,150	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
MALMSTROM AFB							
COMPOSITE HEALTH CARE CLINIC	16,500	---	---	16,500	16,500	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
ANACONDA							
RANGE, MULTI-PURPOSE INDOOR	342	---	---	342	342	---	---
BELGRADE							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	547	---	---	547	547	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
KALISPELL							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	555	---	---	555	555	---	---
FT WM HENRY HARRISON							
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	306	---	---	306	306	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
GREAT FALLS IAP							
ALTER VARIOUS FACILITIES-AVIONICS	900	---	---	900	900	---	---
TOTAL, MONTANA	52,710	-15,000	-2,150	49,310	43,260	-9,450	-6,050
NEBRASKA							
AIR FORCE							
OFFUTT AFB							
ADD TO COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACILITY	660	#	#	660	660	---	---
ADD-ALTER UNACC ENL PERS HSG/DINING HALL	3,950	---	---	3,950	3,950	---	---
PROCESSING CORRELATION CENTER	5,900	-5,900	---	---	5,900	---	+5,900
T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	810	-810	---	810	810	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
HASTINGS							
RANGER, LIGHT ANTI-TANK WEAPON	159	---	---	159	159	---	---

ARMY RESERVE							
LINCOLN							
LAND ACQUISITION	100	---	---	100	100	---	---
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	11,579	-6,710	---	5,679	11,579	---	+5,900
NEVADA							
ARMY							
HAWTHORNE AAP							
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	6,500	-6,500	---	---	6,500	---	+6,500
AIR FORCE							
INDIAN SPRINGS							
ADDITION TO RUNWAY 08/26	4,400	---	---	4,400	4,400	---	---
NELLIS AFB							
ADD-ALTER JET ENG INTERM MAINT SHOP	2,500	-2,500	+1,450	---	3,950	+1,450	+3,950
BASE ENGINEER COMPLEX PHASE-I	7,700	---	---	7,700	7,700	---	---
CONTROL TOWER CAB	950	---	+1,450	2,400	2,400	+1,450	---
TOTAL, NEVADA	22,050	-9,000	+2,900	14,500	24,950	+2,900	+10,450
NEW HAMPSHIRE							
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CONCORD							
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,763	---	---	1,763	1,763	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
MANCHESTER							
UPGRADE KITCHEN	150	---	---	150	150	---	---
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,913	---	---	1,913	1,913	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NEW JERSEY							
ARMY							
FT DIX							
OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,650	---	---	1,650	1,650	---	---
RANGE MODERNIZATION	6,100	---	-6,100	6,100	---	-6,100	-6,100
MIL OCEAN TERM BAYONNE							
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY ADDITION	1,050	---	---	1,050	1,050	---	---
ROLL ON/ROLL OFF RAMP	960	---	---	960	960	---	---
NAVY							
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION EARLE							
AMMUNITION PIER AND TRESTLE	27,000	---	---	27,000	27,000	---	---
DREDGING	18,600	-18,600	---	---	18,600	---	+18,600
MISSILE MAGAZINE	2,660	---	---	2,660	2,660	---	---
RAILROAD TRACKAGE	880	---	---	880	880	---	---
AIR FORCE							
MCGUIRE AFB							
ADD-ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	840	---	---	840	840	---	---
ADD-ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	1,500	-1,500	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
ADD-ALTER TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	800	---	---	800	800	---	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	8,900	-8,900	-8,900	---	---	-8,900	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE							
ARMED SERVICES BLOOD PROCESS WAREHOUSE	550	---	---	550	550	---	---

FORT MONMOUTH							
PULSE POWER FACILITY	3,450	---	---	3,450	3,450	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
FT DIX							
800 PERSON ARMORY	4,256	---	---	4,256	4,256	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
ATLANTIC CITY							
ALTERNATE FUEL FACILITY	225	---	---	225	225	---	---
JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	3,000	---	---	3,000	3,000	---	---
MCGUIRE AFB							
ADD TO AIRCRAFT ENGINE I & R SHOP	200	---	---	200	200	---	---
ALTER BLDG 1931/FIBERGLASS SHOP	180	---	---	180	180	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
FORT DIX							
ARMY RESERVE CENTER W/MAINT FAC	6,982	---	---	6,982	6,982	---	---
STORAGE AND MAINT FAC	4,965	---	---	4,965	4,965	---	---
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	94,748	-29,000	-15,000	67,248	79,748	-15,000	+12,500
NEW MEXICO							
ARMY							
FORT WINGATE							
SECURITY UPGRADE	370	---	---	370	370	---	---
AIR FORCE							
CANNON AFB							
SMALL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	6,200	-6,200	---	---	6,200	---	+6,200
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	800	-800	---	800	800	---	---
HOLLOMAN AFB							
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	1,350	-1,350	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
UPGRADE UTILITIES PHASE I	6,400	---	---	6,400	6,400	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
KIRTLAND AFB							
HIGH POWER MICROWAVE LAB	7,400	-7,400	---	7,400	7,400	---	---
MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX	54,000	-8,000	---	---	46,000	-8,000	+46,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
KIRKLAND AFB							
REHAB BLDG 20676	1,127	---	---	1,127	1,127	---	---
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE							
HIGH ENERGY LASER SYSTEMS TEST FACILITY UPGRADE	3,180	---	---	3,180	3,180	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BELEN							
100 PERSON ARMORY	---	+1,942	---	---	---	---	---
FARMINGTON							
100 PERSON ARMORY	---	+1,942	---	---	---	---	---
LAS CRUCES							
400 PERSON ARMORY	---	---	+1,984	---	1,984	+1,984	+1,984
LAS VEGAS							
REGIONAL TRAINING CENTER	---	+5,000	---	---	---	---	---
ROSWELL							
200 PERSON ARMORY	---	---	+1,637	---	1,637	+1,637	+1,637
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	80,827	-14,866	+3,621	20,627	76,448	-4,379	+55,821

NEW YORK							
ARMY							
FORT DRUM							
10TH MOUNTAIN DIV FACS - PHASE II	(221,000)	*	*	(221,000)	(221,000)	---	---
FORT HAMILTON							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	960	---	---	960	960	---	---
SENECA ARMY DEPOT							
SECURITY UPGRADE	1,250	---	---	1,250	1,250	---	---
U S MILITARY ACADEMY							
ACADEMIC FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE III	11,400	-11,400	---	11,400	11,400	---	---
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	14,800	---	-14,800	14,800	---	-14,800	-14,800
NAVY							
NAVAL STATION NEW YORK							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS II	7,660	-7,660	---	7,660	7,660	---	---
LAND ACQUISITION	4,609	-4,609	---	---	4,609	---	+4,609
OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	2,060	---	---	2,060	2,060	---	---
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	2,060	-2,060	---	---	2,060	---	+2,060
SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	6,980	-6,980	---	---	6,980	---	+6,980
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS III	14,140	---	---	14,140	14,140	---	---
AIR FORCE							
GRIFFISS AFB							
ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	8,480	---	-8,480	8,480	---	-8,480	-8,480
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,100	-2,100	---	2,100	2,100	---	---
EXPANDED ALERT ACFT PARKING AREA	1,350	---	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
JET FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES	2,900	---	---	2,900	2,900	---	---
PLATTSBURGH AFB							
ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,900	---	-2,900	2,900	---	-2,900	-2,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
ROCHESTER							
800 PERSON ARMORY	5,228	---	---	5,228	5,228	---	---
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	3,577	---	---	3,577	3,577	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	833	---	---	833	833	---	---
YOUNGSTOWN							
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL.....	180	---	---	180	180	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
ROSLYN AIR GUARD STATION							
COMPOSITE BCE MAINT/COMM ELECTRONICS.....	2,800	---	---	2,800	2,800	---	---
SCHENECTADY COUNTY AIRPORT							
JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX.....	2,400	---	---	2,400	2,400	---	---
STEWART AIRPORT							
FUEL SYSTEM/VEHICLE MAINT/ACFT PARK.....	13,050	-2,500	---	10,550	13,050	---	+2,500
ARMY RESERVE							
BRONX							
UPGRADE KITCHEN.....	225	---	---	225	225	---	---
MASSENA							
MAINT FAC.....	390	---	---	390	390	---	---
ROCKY POINT							
ARMY RESERVE CENTER W/MAINT FAC.....	4,402	---	---	4,402	4,402	---	---
FT TOTTEN (FLUSHING)							
ADD/ALTER USARC W/MAINT FAC.....	3,603	---	---	3,603	3,603	---	---
VARIOUS							
OIL AND GREASE SEPARATORS.....	225	---	---	225	225	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
AFRC FLOYD BENNETT FIELD, BROOKLYN							

ELECTRICAL FEEDER IMPROVEMENT	800	---	---	800	800	---	---
STEWART AIRPORT (ANG)							
AIRCRAFT APRON PHASE II (USMCR)	8,600	---	---	8,600	8,600	---	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (USMCR)	11,420	---	---	11,420	11,420	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
NIAGARA FALLS IAP							
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COVERED STORAGE	760	---	---	760	760	---	---
SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,850	---	---	1,850	1,850	---	---
TOTAL, NEW YORK	143,992	-37,309	-26,180	127,843	117,812	-26,180	-10,031
NORTH CAROLINA							
ARMY							
FORT BRAGG							
AERIAL GUNNERY RANGE	2,600	---	---	2,600	2,600	---	---
AIR OPERATIONS FACILITY	---	---	+3,280	---	3,280	+3,280	+3,280
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	25,000	---	-10,000	25,000	15,000	-10,000	-10,000
DINING FACILITY	2,250	---	---	2,250	2,250	---	---
HARDSTAND/TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOPS	13,000	---	---	13,000	13,000	---	---
PARACHUTE RIGGING FACILITY UPGRADE	860	---	---	860	860	---	---
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FACILITY - ACADEMIC	---	---	+12,800	---	14,800	+14,800	+14,800
SUNNY POINT ARMY TERM							
LOADING DOCK IMPROVEMENTS	290	---	---	290	290	---	---
RAIL IMPROVEMENTS	1,900	---	---	1,900	1,900	---	---
NAVY							
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION CHERRY POINT							
AERIAL TARGETS SUPPORT FACILITIES	3,640	---	---	3,640	3,640	---	---
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	17,600	-4,400	-3,500	14,100	13,200	-4,400	-900
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADE	6,400	---	---	6,400	6,400	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
MAINTENANCE HANGAR ADDITION	4,180	---	---	4,180	4,180	---	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	1,080	---	---	1,080	1,080	---	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION NEW RIVER							
AVIATION SUPPORT WAREHOUSES	5,300	---	---	5,300	5,300	---	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	1,230	---	---	1,230	1,230	---	---
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJEUNE							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	18,900	-4,725	-3,500	15,400	14,175	-4,725	-1,225
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	8,070	---	-8,070	8,070	---	-8,070	-8,070
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMNTS	1,080	---	---	1,080	1,080	---	---
FIELD MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	7,580	---	---	7,580	7,580	---	---
URBAN TERRAIN MOCK-UP TRAINING COMPLEX	7,800	---	---	7,800	7,800	---	---
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY CHERRY POINT							
COMPUTER CENTER	500	---	---	500	500	---	---
AIR FORCE							
POPE AFB							
BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	11,000	---	-11,000	11,000	11,000	---	---
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB							
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	2,100	---	---	2,100	2,100	---	---
F15E-ADD-ALTER FUEL CELL DOCK	1,600	---	---	1,600	1,600	---	---
F15E-ADD-ALTER TO SQUADRON OPS FAC	1,400	---	---	1,400	1,400	---	---
F15E-ALTER ENGINE I & R SHOP	690	---	---	690	690	---	---
F15E-ALTER SML ACFT MAINT DOCK	890	---	---	890	890	---	---
F15E-CONVERT TO AVIONICS SHOP	920	---	---	920	920	---	---

F15E-VARIOUS AIRFIELD PVMTS	2,950	---	---	2,950	2,950	---	---
LANTIRN SUPPORT BUILDING	600	---	---	600	600	---	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	800	-800	---	800	800	---	---
WING READINESS CENTER	600	---	---	600	600	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
MARION							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	+602	---	602	---	---	-602
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
DOUGLAS MAP							
COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	3,400	---	---	3,400	3,400	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
LUMBERTON							
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	1,430	---	---	1,430	1,430	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NMCRC GREENSBORO							
RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	1,100	---	---	1,100	1,100	---	---
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	158,740	-9,323	-19,990	152,342	149,625	-9,115	-2,717
NORTH DAKOTA							
AIR FORCE							
CAVALIER							
ALTER FUEL STORAGE AND EMERG START SYS	3,750	---	---	3,750	3,750	---	---
GRAND FORKS AFB							
RUNWAY APPROACH LIGHTING	1,600	---	---	1,600	1,600	---	---
MINOT AFB							
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,700	---	---	3,700	3,700	---	---
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,900	---	-4,900	4,900	---	-4,900	-4,900
T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	740	-740	-740	---	---	-740	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
FARGO							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	773	---	---	773	773	---	---
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA.....	15,463	-740	-5,640	14,723	9,823	-5,640	-4,900
OHIO							
AIR FORCE							
NEWARK AFS							
ADD-ALTER SOUND/FORCE/VIBRATION LAB.....	580	---	---	580	580	---	---
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB							
ADD-ALTER AIRCRAFT MODIFICATION CENTER.....	2,050	-2,050	-2,050	---	---	-2,050	---
ADD-ALTER ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION.....	3,800	---	---	3,800	3,800	---	---
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY.....	3,450	---	---	3,450	3,450	---	---
LOGISTICS SYSTEM OPERATIONS CENTER.....	15,500	---	---	15,500	15,500	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BATAVIA							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	398	---	---	398	398	---	---
CAMP PERRY							
RANGE, RECORD FIRE.....	400	---	---	400	400	---	---
COLUMBUS							
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	+4,816	---	4,816	---	---	-4,816
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION.....	290	---	---	290	290	---	---

GREENSBURG							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	---	+722	---	722	---	---	-722
ARMY RESERVE							
KINGS MILL							
ARMY RESERVE CENTER W/MAINT FAC	4,932	---	---	4,932	4,932	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NCRC CLEVELAND							
RESERVE CENTER ACQUISITION/ALTERATION	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
RICKENBACKER ANGB							
UPGRADE TRANSIENT QUARTERS	4,400	---	---	4,400	4,400	---	---
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB							
ADD TO/ALTER MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	690	-690	---	---	690	---	+690
AVIONICS/ELECT COUNTERMEASURES FACILITY	2,250	-2,250	---	2,250	2,250	---	---
CIVIL ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY	700	---	---	700	700	---	---
COMPOSITE TRAINING FACILITY	6,200	-6,200	---	---	6,200	---	+6,200
TOTAL, OHIO	47,640	-5,652	-2,050	44,238	45,590	-2,050	+1,352
OKLAHOMA							
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR DETACHMENT TINKER AIR FORCE BASE							
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES	11,800	---	---	11,800	---	-11,800	-11,800
AIR FORCE							
ALTUS AFB							
AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGAR	5,500	---	---	5,500	5,500	---	---
APRON	9,100	---	---	9,100	9,100	---	---
TINKER AFB							
ADVANCED COMPOSITE REPAIR FACILITY	9,200	---	-9,200	9,200	---	-9,200	-9,200
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,300	---	---	2,300	2,300	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
VANCE AFB							
LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	990	---	---	990	990	---	---
WASTE TREATMENT CONNECTION	---	+2,200	+2,200	2,200	2,200	+2,200	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CAMP GRUBER							
RANGE, MOUT ASSAULT COURSE	371	---	---	371	371	---	---
DOCK	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
WATER STORAGE FACILITY	345	---	---	345	345	---	---
TULSA							
100 PERSON ARMORY	1,275	---	---	1,275	1,275	---	---
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	4,019	---	---	4,019	4,019	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
TULSA IAP							
LANA POD MAINTENANCE AREA	360	---	---	360	360	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
BARTLESVILLE							
ADD/ALTER USARC W/MAINT FAC	1,576	---	---	1,576	1,576	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
TINKER AFB							
SOUND SUPPRESSOR PAD	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	47,686	+2,200	-7,000	49,886	28,886	-18,800	-21,000

OREGON							
ARMY							
UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT							
SECURITY UPGRADE	1,050	---	---	1,050	1,050	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
SALEM							
200 PERSON ARMORY	2,147	---	---	2,147	2,147	---	---
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT	893	---	---	893	893	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
PORTLAND IAP							
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
PORTLAND IAP							
PARARESCUE FACILITY	1,350	---	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
RESERVE FORCES OPERATIONAL TRAINING	1,900	---	---	1,900	1,900	---	---
TOTAL, OREGON	7,640	---	---	7,640	7,640	---	---
PENNSYLVANIA							
ARMY							
LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT							
SECURITY UPGRADE	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
NEW CUMBERLAND AD							
EASTERN DISTRIBUTION CENTER - PHASE III	34,000	-2,000	---	34,000	34,000	---	---
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER WARMINSTER							
BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
NAVAL SHIPYARD PHILADELPHIA							
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	---	+14,000	---	---	14,000	+14,000	+14,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
FT INDIANTOWN GAP							
ADMINISTRATION, OPERATIONS AND TRNG BLDG	1,614	---	---	1,614	1,614	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP							
ACCESS ROAD	5,000	---	---	5,000	5,000	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
GENEVA							
LOCAL TRAINING AREA	1,568	---	---	1,568	1,568	---	---
PITTSBURGH							
KITCHEN	175	---	---	175	175	---	---
TOBYHANNA							
REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TRAINING FAC	2,068	---	---	2,068	2,068	---	---
UNIONTOWN							
KITCHEN	150	---	---	150	150	---	---
VARIOUS							
ENERGY CONSERVATION	150	---	---	150	150	---	---
OIL AND GREASE SEPARATORS	150	---	---	150	150	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NAS WILLOW GROVE							
CLEAR ZONE LAND AQUISITION	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	48,175	+12,000	---	48,175	62,175	+14,000	+14,000

RHODE ISLAND							
NAVY							
NAVAL EDUCATION & TRAINING CENTER NEWPORT							
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	3,640	---	---	3,640	---	-3,640	-3,640
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	3,840	---	-3,840	3,840	---	-3,840	-3,840
NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER NEWPORT							
VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEM FACILITY	750	---	---	750	750	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
COVENTRY AGS							
MOBILITY STORAGE	150	---	---	150	150	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
BRISTOL							
ADD/ALTER USARC	1,500	---	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	9,880	---	-3,840	9,880	2,400	-7,480	-7,480
SOUTH CAROLINA							
ARMY							
FT JACKSON							
SOLDIER SERVICE CENTER	9,600	#	#	9,100	9,600	---	+500
NAVY							
NAVAL SHIPYARD CHARLESTON							
SEWAGE TREATMENT CONNECTION	---	+1,400	+1,400	1,400	1,400	+1,400	---
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER CHARLESTON							
CONTROLLED HUMIDITY WAREHOUSE	6,000	---	---	6,000	6,000	---	---
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	1,770	---	---	1,770	1,770	---	---
FUEL PIPELINE	400	---	---	400	400	---	---
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION CHARLESTON							
MISSILE MAGAZINE	1,670	---	---	1,670	1,670	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
AIR FORCE							
CHARLESTON AFB							
ADD-TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,100	*	*	1,100	1,100	---	---
SHAW AFB							
AG MULTI MAG STORAGE FACILITY	580	---	---	580	580	---	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,400	---	---	4,400	4,400	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT NSC CHARLESTON							
FUEL TANKAGE	5,530	*	*	5,530	5,530	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
MCENTIRE							
APACHE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	---	---	+6,300	---	6,300	+6,300	+6,300
ARMY RESERVE							
MCRC CHARLESTON							
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	350	---	---	350	350	---	---
MMCRC GREENVILLE SC							
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	4,700	---	---	4,700	4,700	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
CHARLESTON AFB							
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	37,300	+1,400	+7,700	38,200	45,000	+7,700	+6,800

BLANK

SOUTH DAKOTA							
AIR FORCE							
ELLSWORTH AFB							
STC ALTER CONSOLIDATED AIRCRAFT MAINT FAC	2,150	-2,150	-2,150	---	---	-2,150	---
STC CREW TRAINING QUARTERS	3,150	---	---	3,150	3,150	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	8,400	---	---	8,400	8,400	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BELLE FOUCHE/STURGISS							
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	352	---	---	352	352	---	---
CUSTER							
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	147	---	---	147	147	---	---
MITCHELL							
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	370	---	---	370	370	---	---
PIERRE							
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	185	---	---	185	185	---	---
SPEARFISH							
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	241	---	---	241	241	---	---
VERMILLION							
60 PERSON ARMORY	816	---	---	816	816	---	---
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	15,811	-2,150	-2,150	13,661	13,661	-2,150	---
TENNESSEE							
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR STATION MEMPHIS							
BARRACKS	9,350	---	---	9,350	9,350	---	---
CARRIER FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER FACILITY	1,870	---	---	1,870	1,870	---	---
AIR FORCE							
ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEV CENTER							
ENGINEERING ANALYSIS FACILITY	17,500	---	---	17,500	17,500	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
DEFENSE DEPOT MEMPHIS							
GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	11,361	-11,361	---	11,361	11,361	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BOLIVAR							
100 PERSON ARMORY	859	---	---	859	859	---	---
CATOOSA							
RANGE, TANK CREW COMBAT	204	---	---	204	204	---	---
DRESDEN							
200 PERSON ARMORY	1,166	---	---	1,166	1,166	---	---
SMYRNA							
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	202	---	---	202	202	---	---
RANGE, M16/M60 MACHINEGUN	242	---	---	242	242	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
MCGHEE-TYSON AIRPORT							
PROFESSIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION CENTER	5,200	-5,200	---	---	5,200	---	+5,200
ARMY RESERVE							
KNOXVILLE							
LAND ACQUISITION	605	---	---	605	605	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NAS MEMPHIS							
AICRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	4,800	---	---	4,800	4,800	---	---

TOTAL, TENNESSEE	53,359	-16,561	---	48,159	53,359	---	+5,200
TEXAS							
ARMY							
CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT							
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP ALTERATIONS	2,950	---	---	2,950	2,950	---	---
FORT BLISS							
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	790	---	---	790	790	---	---
COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	450	---	---	450	450	---	---
MODERNIZE BARRACKS	---	+5,500	---	5,500	---	---	-5,500
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	6,300	---	-6,300	6,300	---	-6,300	-6,300
FORT HOOD							
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,300	---	---	3,300	3,300	---	---
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,600	---	---	1,600	1,600	---	---
HARDSTAND/TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOPS	6,600	---	---	6,600	6,600	---	---
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	---	+20,000	---	20,000	---	---	-20,000
FORT SAM HOUSTON							
CIDC FIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING	1,050	---	---	1,050	1,050	---	---
UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	2,250	---	---	2,250	2,250	---	---
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT							
SECURITY UPGRADE	1,450	---	---	1,450	1,450	---	---
SITEWORK, PHASE I	---	+6,500	+6,500	6,500	6,500	+6,500	---
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR STATION CORPUS CHRISTI							
BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	1,180	---	---	---	1,180	---	+1,180
NAVAL AIR STATION KINGSVILLE							
AIRCRAFT OPERATIONAL FACILITIES	9,150	---	-9,150	9,150	---	-9,150	-9,150
POWER CHECK PADS	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
NAVAL STATION GALVESTON							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND MESS HALL	4,110	-4,110	---	4,110	4,110	---	---
BERTHING WHARF	11,100	---	---	11,100	11,100	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
HEADQUARTERS SUPPORT	2,080	-2,080	---	---	2,080	---	+2,080
PORT OPERATIONS	290	---	---	290	290	---	---
SHORE INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY	6,620	-6,620	---	---	6,620	---	+6,620
SITE DEVELOPMENT AND UTILITIES	3,900	---	---	3,900	3,900	---	---
WAREHOUSE	900	---	---	900	900	---	---
NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE							
BERTHING PIER AND WHARF	37,570	---	---	37,570	37,570	---	---
SITE DEVELOPMENT AND UTILITIES	1,230	---	---	1,230	1,230	---	---
NAVAL TECHNICAL TRNG CTR DET LACKLAND AFB							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10,800	---	---	10,800	---	-10,800	-10,800
SECURITY TRAINING CENTER	5,500	-5,500	---	5,500	---	-5,500	-5,500
AIR FORCE							
BERGSTROM AFB							
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,800	---	---	5,800	5,800	---	---
NCO PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION CENTER	3,350	-3,350	-3,350	---	---	-3,350	---
TACTICAL AIR CONTROL CENTER FACILITY	3,390	---	---	3,390	3,390	---	---
BROOKS AFB							
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	4,100	---	-4,100	4,100	---	-4,100	-4,100
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,750	-2,750	---	2,750	---	-2,750	-2,750
CARSWELL AFB							
ADD TO AND ALT UNACC ENL PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,300	---	---	4,300	4,300	---	---
KC135-CPT SUPPORT FACILITY	710	---	---	710	710	---	---

DYESS AFB							
B1B-ADD TO AND ALTER COMBAT CREW TNG FAC	2,300	-2,300	---	---	2,300	---	+2,300
B1B-MUNITIONS LOAD CREW TRNG FACILITY	1,300	---	---	1,300	1,300	---	---
GOODFELLOW AFB							
SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFO FACILITY	5,500	---	---	5,500	5,500	---	---
KELLY AFB							
ADD-ALTER CRYPTOLOGIC SUPPORT CTR COMPLEX	17,000	---	---	---	17,000	---	+17,000
FUEL SYSTEMS ACCESSORIES TEST FACILITY	10,900	-10,900	---	10,900	---	-10,900	-10,900
JET FUEL STORAGE	2,750	---	---	2,750	2,750	---	---
LACKLAND AFB							
ACADEMIC FACILITY-SECURITY POLICE	5,600	-5,600	---	---	5,600	---	+5,600
REGIONAL WASTE WATER CONNECTION	1,900	---	---	1,900	1,900	---	---
STUDENT OFFICER HOUSING	12,000	---	-12,000	12,000	---	-12,000	-12,000
LAUGHLIN AFB							
ADD TO VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/POL COMPLEX	2,200	-2,200	---	2,200	---	-2,200	-2,200
BASE CONTRACTING FACILITY	820	---	---	820	820	---	---
SUPPORT TRAINING WAREHOUSE	222	---	---	222	222	---	---
SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	830	---	---	830	830	---	---
RANDOLPH AFB							
ADMIN FACILITY (AFMEA)	2,300	---	-2,300	2,300	---	-2,300	-2,300
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,800	---	---	5,800	5,800	---	---
REESE AFB							
ADD-ALTER SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FAC	610	---	---	610	610	---	---
SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,050	---	-1,050	1,050	---	-1,050	-1,050
SHEPPARD AFB							
MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	13,700	---	---	13,700	13,700	---	---
STUDENT OFFICER HOUSING	4,700	-4,700	-4,700	---	---	-4,700	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
FORT SAM HOUSTON							
ACCESS ROAD (BAMC)	---	+8,600	---	---	---	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE							
MEDICAL WRM STORAGE FACILITY	1,350	---	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
ANGLETON							
100 PERSON ARMORY	1,100	---	---	1,100	1,100	---	---
AUSTIN							
DIVISION CLASS IX WAREHOUSE	273	---	---	273	273	---	---
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	363	---	---	363	363	---	---
CAMP SWIFT							
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	122	---	---	122	122	---	---
MINERAL WELLS							
RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	124	---	---	124	124	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
KELLY AFB							
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	200	---	---	200	200	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
BEAUMONT							
ARMY RESERVE CENTER W/MAINT FAC	4,029	---	---	4,029	4,029	---	---
NAVY RESERVE							
NAVAL AIR STATION DALLAS							
AIMD BUILDING ADDITION	3,000	---	---	3,000	3,000	---	---
NMCRC AMARILLO							
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	3,200	---	---	3,200	3,200	---	---

AIR FORCE RESERVE							
CARSWELL AFB							
INSTALL HOOK CABLE SUPPORT SYSTEM BAK-14	200	---	---	200	200	---	---
TOTAL, TEXAS	251,863	-9,510	-36,450	241,033	183,263	-68,600	-57,770
UTAH							
ARMY							
DUGWAY PROVING GROUND							
BATTERY SHOP	1,050	---	---	---	1,050	---	+1,050
CHILD DEVELOPMENT/COMMUNITY CENTER	3,950	-3,950	---	3,950	3,950	---	---
TEST SUPPORT FACILITY	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER HOUSING MOD	3,350	---	---	3,350	3,350	---	---
TOOELE ARMY DEPOT							
INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	4,850	---	---	4,850	4,850	---	---
SECURITY UPGRADE	1,850	---	---	1,850	1,850	---	---
AIR FORCE							
HILL AFB							
ADD TO AVIONICS SUPPORT FACILITY	12,500	-12,500	---	---	12,500	---	+12,500
GAPFILLER RADAR FACILITY	1,500	---	-1,500	1,500	---	-1,500	-1,500
MISSILE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,200	---	-2,200	2,200	---	-2,200	-2,200
PEACEKEEPER ADD/ALTER STATIC TEST STAND	3,800	-3,800	---	---	3,800	---	+3,800
PEACEKEEPER-STAGE I/ II/ III STORAGE	5,600	---	---	5,600	5,600	---	---
STRUCTURAL REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE FAC	27,000	---	---	27,000	27,000	---	---
TACTICAL CONTROL SQUADRON SPEC OPS FAC	3,750	-3,750	-3,750	---	---	-3,750	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
DEFENSE DEPOT OGDEN							
ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY	10,000	-10,000	-10,000	---	---	-10,000	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
DRAPER							
ARMORY ALTERATION	886	---	---	886	886	---	---
COMBINED SUPT MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT	1,004	---	---	1,004	1,004	---	---
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ALTERATION	140	---	---	140	140	---	---
RATION BREAKDOWN WAREHOUSE ALTERATION	183	---	---	183	183	---	---
USPFO OFFICE/WAREHOUSE ALTERATION	552	---	---	552	552	---	---
TOOELE							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	484	---	---	484	484	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
OGDEN							
KITCHEN ADDITION	125	---	---	125	125	---	---
PLEASANT GROVE							
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CENTER	225	---	---	225	225	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
HILL AFB							
COMPOSITE TRAINING FACILITY	1,160	---	---	1,160	1,160	---	---
TOTAL, UTAH	87,009	-34,000	-17,450	55,909	69,559	-17,450	+13,650
VIRGINIA							
ARMY							
FORT BELVOIR							

CHILD DEVELOPMENT/RELIGIOUS ED FACILITY	6,300	---	---	6,300	6,300	---	---
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM MOD	5,500	-5,500	---	---	5,500	---	+5,500
ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,350	---	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
FLIGHT SIMULATOR MODERNIZATION	210	---	---	210	210	---	---
LABORATORY VENTILATION	2,250	---	---	2,250	2,250	---	---
CAMERON STATION							
INSULATION UPGRADE (ECIP)	400	---	---	400	400	---	---
FORT LEE							
DINING FACILITY	2,750	---	---	2,750	2,750	---	---
TROOP SUPPORT AGENCY HEADQUARTERS	11,600	---	---	11,600	11,600	---	---
FORT PICKETT							
SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITY	390	---	---	390	390	---	---
NAVY							
ATLANTIC CMD ELECTRONIC INTELL CTR NORFOLK							
FLEET ELECTRONIC ANALYSIS CENTER ADDITION	5,070	---	---	5,070	5,070	---	---
FLEET COMBAT TRAIN CTR ATLANTIC DAM NECK							
SECURITY BUILDING	450	---	---	450	450	---	---
FLEET OCEAN SURVEILLANCE INFO CTR NORFOLK							
INTELLIGENCE CENTER ADDITION	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
MARINE CORPS DEV & EDUC COMMAND QUANTICO							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	2,950	---	---	2,950	2,950	---	---
MESS HALL MODERNIZATION	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
NAVAL AIR STATION NORFOLK							
MINE COUNTERMEASURE HELICOPTER TRNG BLDG	3,200	---	---	3,200	3,200	---	---
ORDNANCE HANDLING AREA	1,770	---	---	---	---	-1,770	---
NAVAL AIR STATION OCEANA							
AIR TRAINING FACILITY	---	---	+5,000	---	---	---	---
JET ENGINE TEST CELL	4,540	---	---	4,540	4,540	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE LITTLE CREEK							
BEACH GROUP OPERATIONS FACILITIES	6,500	---	---	6,500	6,500	---	---
DIVING AND SALVAGE SUPPORT FACILITY	720	---	---	720	720	---	---
SEAL TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITIES	9,500	---	---	9,500	9,500	---	---
SEALIFT SUPPORT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	6,600	-6,600	---	6,600	6,600	---	---
NAVAL COMM AREA MASTER STA ATLANT NORFOLK							
COMMUNICATIONS BUILDINGS ADDITIONS	8,400	---	---	---	8,400	---	+8,400
NAVAL EASTERN OCEANOGRAPHY CENTER NORFOLK							
OCEANOGRAPHIC BUILDING ADDITION	600	---	---	---	600	---	+600
NAVAL GUIDED MISSILES SCHOOL DAM NECK							
DIESEL ENGINE TRAINER FACILITY	550	---	---	550	550	---	---
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACT NW CHESAPEAKE							
RADAR FACILITY	4,530	---	---	4,530	4,530	---	---
NAVAL STATION NORFOLK							
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER NORFOLK							
FUEL PIPELINE	510	---	---	510	510	---	---
WAREHOUSES RENOVATION AND ADDITION	5,900	-5,900	---	---	5,900	---	+5,900
WATERFRONT TRANSIT SHED	800	---	---	800	800	---	---
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER DAHLGREN							
AEGIS APPLIED RESEARCH LABORATORY	14,700	---	---	14,700	14,700	---	---
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	4,720	---	---	4,720	4,720	---	---
COMPARTMENTED PROGRAMS LABORATORY	11,200	---	---	11,200	11,200	---	---

NAVAL WEAPONS STATION YORKTOWN							
MISSILE MAGAZINE	1,820	---	---	1,820	1,820	---	---
MISSILE MAGAZINES	7,970	-7,970	---	---	7,970	---	+7,970
MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,740	---	---	9,740	9,740	---	---
PIER MODERNIZATION	6,500	---	---	---	6,500	---	+6,500
TORPEDO INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	4,820	---	---	4,820	4,820	---	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER NORFOLK							
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMNTS	6,100	---	---	6,100	6,100	---	---
STEAM LINE IMPROVEMENTS	1,480	---	---	1,480	1,480	---	---
AIR FORCE							
LANGLEY AFB							
ADD TO/ALTER DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	3,550	*	*	3,550	3,550	---	---
ADD-ALTER RECON PHOTO LAB	2,700	---	---	2,700	2,700	---	---
ASAT-ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING	520	-520	---	---	---	-520	---
ASAT-CONTROL CENTER	430	-430	---	---	---	-430	---
ASAT-HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE	310	-310	---	---	---	-310	---
ASAT-INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,500	-9,500	---	---	---	-9,500	---
ASAT-MISSILE AND MOTOR STORAGE FACILITY	1,950	-1,950	---	---	---	-1,950	---
ASAT-SECURITY CONTROL	290	-290	---	---	---	-290	---
ASAT-UTILITIES/PAVEMENTS AND SITE WORK	3,000	-3,000	---	---	---	-3,000	---
DINING HALL AND TROOP ISSUE FACILITY	4,450	---	---	4,450	4,450	---	---
TACTICAL CONTROL FLIGHT FACILITY	2,000	---	-2,000	2,000	---	-2,000	-2,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
ARLINGTON SERVICE CENTER							
DCA HQS NEW OFFICE BUILDING	13,565	---	-13,565	---	---	-13,565	---
DEFENSE GENERAL SUPPLY CENTER, RICHMOND							
ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE	2,000	---	---	---	2,000	---	+2,000
CONNECTOR WAREHOUSE	18,500	-18,500	---	---	18,500	---	+18,500
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE	1,800	---	---	1,800	1,800	---	---
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE							
LIFE SAFETY UPGRADE	1,500	---	-1,500	1,500	---	-1,500	-1,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
FORT A.P. HILL							
60 PERSON ARMORY	1,675	---	---	1,675	1,675	---	---
ARLINGTON HALL STATION							
NATIONAL GUARD CENTER	27,983	-27,983	---	---	27,983	---	+27,983
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
LANGLEY AFB							
CIVIL ENGINEER TRAINING FACILITY	800	---	---	800	800	---	---
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	264,063	-88,453	-12,065	149,375	229,228	-34,835	+79,853
WASHINGTON							
ARMY							
FORT LEWIS							
SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FAC	960	---	---	960	960	---	---
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR STATION WHIDBEY ISLAND							
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	8,270	---	---	8,270	8,270	---	---
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	4,380	---	---	4,380	4,380	---	---
NAVAL STATION EVERETT							
CARRIER SUPPORT COMPLEX (INCREMENT III)	64,800	-38,400	-34,000	30,800	30,800	-34,000	---
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	10,000	---	---	10,000	10,000	---	---
LAND ACQUISITION	11,100	---	---	11,100	11,100	---	---

NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE BANGOR							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5,070	-5,070	---	5,070	---	-5,070	-5,070
NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE ENGR STA KEYPORT							
EXPLOSIVES OPERATING FACILITY	2,170	---	---	2,170	2,170	---	---
MISSILE MAGAZINES	6,000	---	---	6,000	6,000	---	---
UNDERSEA WARFARE ENGINEERING CENTER	10,000	-10,000	---	10,000	---	-10,000	-10,000
TRIDENT REFIT FACILITY BANGOR							
ELECTRICAL DISTR SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1,080	---	-1,080	1,080	---	-1,080	-1,080
AIR FORCE							
FAIRCHILD AFB							
ASBESTOS REMOVAL/STEAMPLANT	---	+2,050	---	2,050	---	---	-2,050
MISSION OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,950	---	---	3,950	3,950	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT MUKILTEO							
FUEL PIER	7,290	---	---	7,290	---	-7,290	-7,290
FT LEWIS							
MADIGAN ARMY MEDICAL CENTER PHASE IV	86,000	---	---	86,000	86,000	---	---
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION							
HOSPITAL CLINIC ADDITION	16,500	---	-16,500	16,500	---	-16,500	-16,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
FOUR LAKES							
MOBILITY STORAGE FACILITY	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
SEATTLE AGS							
MOBILITY STORAGE FACILITY	225	---	---	225	225	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
CAMP BONNEVILLE							
LOCAL TRAINING AREA	2,183	---	---	2,183	2,183	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
MCCHORD AFB							
ADDITION HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	390	---	---	390	390	---	---
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	240,668	-51,420	-51,580	208,718	166,728	-73,940	-41,990
WEST VIRGINIA							
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
BUCKHANNON							
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT	436	---	---	436	436	---	---
CAMP DAWSON							
TRNG SITE, TRAINING FACILITIES PH I	796	---	---	796	796	---	---
POINT PLEASANT							
ARMORY ADDITION/ALTERATION	1,020	---	---	1,020	1,020	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
YEAGER AIRPORT							
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	1,450	---	---	1,450	1,450	---	---
VEHICLE MAINT/REFUELER SHOP	1,100	---	---	1,100	1,100	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
NEW MARTINSVILLE							
ADD/ALTER USARC W/MAINT FAC	1,345	---	---	1,345	1,345	---	---
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	6,147	---	---	6,147	6,147	---	---

WISCONSIN							
ARMY							
FORT MCCOY							
INSULATE BUILDINGS	720	---	---	720	720	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
ABBOTSFORD							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	+775	+1,100	775	1,100	+1,100	+325
BLACK RIVER FALLS							
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	193	---	---	193	193	---	---
EAU CLAIRE							
MOTOR VEHICLE STORAGE BUILDING	284	---	---	284	284	---	---
FOND DU LAC							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	+625	---	625	---	---	-625
MADISON							
ADD/ALTER ARMORY	---	+563	---	563	---	---	-563
100 PERSON ARMORY	---	---	---	---	2,709	+2,709	+2,709
WAUPACA							
60 PERSON ARMORY	---	+695	---	695	---	---	-695
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
MITCHELL FIELD							
ALERT AIRCRAFT PARKING COMPLEX	---	+5,000	---	5,000	---	---	-5,000
SUPPORT FACILITIES ADDITION/ALTERATION	---	---	+4,500	---	4,500	+4,500	+4,500
ARMY RESERVE							
EAU CLAIRE							
LAND ACQUISITION	300	---	---	300	300	---	---
MILWAUKEE							
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FAC/INDOOR RANGE	11,207	---	---	11,207	11,207	---	---
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	12,704	+7,658	+5,600	20,362	21,013	+8,309	+651

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
WYOMING							
AIR FORCE							
FE WARREN AFB							
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,100	-2,100	---	2,100	---	-2,100	-2,100
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	308	---	---	308	308	---	---
DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	1,800	---	-1,800	1,800	---	-1,800	-1,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
CAMP GUERNSEY							
TRNG SITE, FIRE STATION/AIR OPERATIONS	493	---	---	493	493	---	---
WASH PLATFORMS	148	---	---	148	148	---	---
TOTAL, WYOMING	4,849	-2,100	-1,800	4,849	949	-3,900	-3,900
CONUS CLASSIFIED							
AIR FORCE							
CLASSIFIED LOCATION							
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	1,500	---	---	1,500	---	-1,500	-1,500
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
CLASSIFIED LOCATION							
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	43,148	---	---	43,148	43,148	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	25,386	---	---	25,386	25,386	---	---
TOTAL, CONUS CLASSIFIED	70,034	---	---	70,034	68,534	-1,500	-1,500

CONUS VARIOUS							
ARMY							
VARIOUS CONUS LOCATIONS							
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,000	---	---	4,000	4,000	---	---
HISTORIC FACILITIES	6,000	-6,000	-6,000	---	---	-6,000	---
AIR FORCE							
AIR FORCE UNSPECIFIED COMMAND							
HISTORIC FACILITIES SUPPORT	2,800	-2,800	-2,800	---	---	-2,800	---
SPECIAL TACTICAL DETACHMENT	19,073	---	---	19,073	19,073	---	---
CONUS VARIOUS							
CENT CONUS OTH-B REAL ESTATE ACQUISITION	6,000	-6,000	---	---	6,000	---	+6,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
CONUS VARIOUS							
HISTORIC FACILITIES	1,500	-1,500	-1,500	---	---	-1,500	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
CONUS VARIOUS							
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM	350	---	---	350	350	---	---
FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
POWER CHECK PAD	4,220	-4,220	---	---	4,220	---	+4,220
TOTAL, CONUS VARIOUS	44,893	-20,520	-10,300	24,373	34,593	-10,300	+10,220
ANTIGUA							
NAVY							
NAVAL SUPPORT FACILITY							
NAVAL SUPPORT FACILITY UPGRADE	3,250	-3,250	---	---	3,250	---	+3,250
TOTAL, ANTIGUA	3,250	-3,250	---	---	3,250	---	+3,250

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
BELGIUM							
AIR FORCE							
FLORENNES							
ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	410	-410	---	---	---	-410	---
CW PROTECT-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL	1,700	-1,700	---	---	---	-1,700	---
CW PROTECT-WING COMMAND POST	1,700	-1,700	---	---	---	-1,700	---
GLCM-CHEM WARFARE PROT-HF TELECOMM FAC	1,100	-1,100	---	---	---	-1,100	---
GLCM-COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY	510	-510	---	---	---	-510	---
GLCM-FIRE STATION	330	-330	---	---	---	-330	---
GLCM-SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	800	-800	---	---	---	-800	---
GLCM-TRP SUBSISTENCE WHSE/BS COLD STORAGE	1,100	-1,100	---	---	---	-1,100	---
GLCM-VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	1,500	-1,500	---	---	---	-1,500	---
GLCM-YOUTH CENTER	800	-800	---	---	---	-800	---
TOTAL, BELGIUM	9,950	-9,950	---	---	---	-9,950	---
BERMUDA							
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR STATION BERMUDA							
BACHELOR OFFICER QTRS NEW & MODERNIZATION	3,150	-3,150	---	---	---	-3,150	---
TOTAL, BERMUDA	3,150	-3,150	---	---	---	-3,150	---

CANADA							
NAVY							
NAVAL FACILITY ARGENTIA NEWFOUNDLAND							
HEATING PLANT	400	-400	---	---	---	-400	---
TOTAL, CANADA	400	-400	---	---	---	-400	---
DIEGO GARCIA							
NAVY							
NAVY SUPPORT FACILITY							
DAIRY PLANT	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
AIR FORCE							
DIEGO GARCIA							
AIR FORCE CANTONMENT AREA	14,500	---	---	14,500	14,500	---	---
GPS INTERNET FACILITIES	4,100	---	---	4,100	4,100	---	---
TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA	19,600	---	---	19,600	19,600	---	---
GERMANY							
ARMY							
BAD KREUZNACH							
FACILITY MODERNIZATION	10,200	---	---	10,200	---	-10,200	-10,200
BAUMHOLDER							
FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE IV	10,800	---	---	10,800	---	-10,800	-10,800
EINSIEDLERHOF							
TRAINING EXERCISE FACILITY	5,900	---	---	5,900	---	-5,900	-5,900
GIESSEN							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,250	---	---	1,250	1,250	---	---
MARKSTAND/FACILITY UPGRADE	13,600	-13,600	-7,500	---	6,100	-7,500	+6,100
GRAFENWOEHR TNG AREA							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,800	---	---	1,800	1,800	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
UPGRADE TANK GUNNERY RANGE	3,900	---	---	3,900	---	-3,900	-3,900
HANAU							
HARDSTAND	1,300	---	---	1,300	---	-1,300	-1,300
HOHENFELS TNG AREA							
UTILITIES SUPPORT	15,500	---	---	15,500	15,500	---	---
UTILITIES SUPPORT	3,750	---	-3,750	3,750	---	-3,750	-3,750
MAINZ							
ORGANIZATIONAL VEHICLE PARKING	1,100	---	---	1,100	---	-1,100	-1,100
MANNHEIM							
HARDSTAND/FACILITY UPGRADE	14,400	-14,400	---	---	5,000	-9,400	+5,000
RHEINBERG							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,050	---	---	1,050	1,050	---	---
FACILITIES ENGINEER SHOP MODERNIZATION	6,400	-6,400	---	---	6,400	---	+6,400
LOGISTICAL SUPPLY FACILITY	8,300	-8,300	---	8,300	8,300	---	---
UTILITIES AND OPERATIONS UPGRADE	7,600	---	---	7,600	7,600	---	---
SCHWEINFURT							
HARDSTAND/FACILITY UPGRADE	9,500	-9,500	---	---	6,700	-2,800	+6,700
STUTTGART							
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,800	---	-1,800	1,800	---	-1,800	-1,800
OPERATIONS BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	5,400	---	---	5,400	---	-5,400	-5,400
POL STORAGE FACILITY UPGRADE	7,000	---	---	7,000	---	-7,000	-7,000
VARIOUS SITES							
RANGE MODERNIZATION	30,000	-15,000	-15,000	15,000	15,000	-15,000	---

WARTIME HOST NATION SUPPORT	4,500	---	---	2,000	4,500	---	+2,500
VILSECK							
ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	1,150	---	---	1,150	1,150	---	---
AMMUNITION STORAGE	2,700	---	---	2,700	2,700	---	---
BARRACKS	7,800	---	---	7,800	7,800	---	---
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	1,450	---	---	1,450	1,450	---	---
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS ADDITION	800	---	---	800	800	---	---
COMMUNITY CENTER	5,400	---	---	5,400	5,400	---	---
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	750	---	---	750	750	---	---
DINING FACILITY	3,600	---	---	3,600	3,600	---	---
FACILITIES ENGINEER COMPLEX	13,400	-13,400	---	13,400	---	-13,400	-13,400
GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	1,950	-1,950	---	---	---	-1,950	---
HARDSTAND/TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,700	---	---	5,700	5,700	---	---
HARDSTAND/TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	15,000	-15,000	---	15,000	---	-15,000	-15,000
HARDSTAND/TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	17,000	---	---	17,000	17,000	---	---
LIBRARY AND EDUCATION CENTER	1,150	---	---	1,150	1,150	---	---
OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,050	---	---	---	4,050	---	+4,050
POL STORAGE FACILITY	5,100	---	---	---	5,100	---	+5,100
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-9,000	---	-9,000	-9,000	-9,000
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	3,750	---	---	---	3,750	---	+3,750
WIESBADEN							
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	30,000	---	-30,000	---	30,000	---	+30,000
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	8,500	-8,500	---	---	---	-8,500	---
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,250	---	---	2,250	2,250	---	---
HARDSTAND	2,550	-2,550	---	2,550	---	-2,550	-2,550
HARDSTAND/TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,500	-2,500	---	2,500	---	-2,500	-2,500
HARDSTAND/TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	4,400	---	---	4,400	---	-4,400	-4,400
HARDSTAND/TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,250	---	---	2,250	---	-2,250	-2,250
WILDFLECKEN							
HARDSTAND	11,400	---	---	11,400	---	-11,400	-11,400
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	4,700	---	---	4,700	---	-4,700	-4,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
ZWEIBRUECKEN							
CHAPEL AND RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	1,900	---	---	1,900	---	-1,900	-1,900
VARIOUS							
AIR FORCE							
BITBURG AB							
ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION	440	---	---	440	440	---	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,850	---	---	2,850	2,350	---	---
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,400	---	---	1,400	---	-1,400	-1,400
BUCHEL							
ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	2,000	-2,000	---	---	2,000	---	+2,000
HAHN AB							
ADD TO VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,900	---	-1,900	1,900	---	-1,900	-1,900
COMBAT AMMUNITIONS CONTROL CENTER	770	---	---	770	770	---	---
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	590	---	---	590	590	---	---
POST OFFICE	960	---	---	960	960	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,450	---	---	3,450	3,450	---	---
MEMMINGEN							
ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	2,000	-2,000	---	---	2,000	---	+2,000
PRUEM AS							
CHAPEL	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
COMPOSITE RECREATION CENTER	1,150	---	---	1,150	1,150	---	---
RAMSTEIN AB							
ADD-ALTER NCO ACADEMY (KAPUN)	1,750	-1,750	-1,750	---	---	-1,750	---

ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	9,900	-9,900	---	---	9,900	---	-9,900
ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HSG	2,900	---	---	2,900	2,900	---	---
COMBAT AMMUNITIONS CONTROL CENTER	1,250	-1,250	-1,250	---	---	-1,250	---
FIRE STATION	560	---	---	560	560	---	---
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	7,200	-7,200	-7,200	---	---	-7,200	---
WAREHOUSE	2,150	-2,150	-2,150	---	---	-2,150	---
RHEIN-MAIN AB							
ADD-ALTER AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL	9,900	---	---	9,900	---	-9,900	-9,900
FLIGHTLINE SECURITY LIGHTING	500	---	---	500	500	---	---
RRR KIT STORAGE FACILITY	1,050	---	---	1,050	1,050	---	---
SEMBACH AB							
SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,100	---	---	1,100	1,100	---	---
UPG WATER STORAGE AND DISTRIB SYSTEM	2,250	-2,250	-2,250	---	---	-2,250	---
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,050	*	*	1,050	1,050	---	---
SPANGDAHLEM AB							
F16-ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	1,850	---	---	1,850	1,850	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,200	---	---	3,200	3,200	---	---
WENIGERATH							
MUNITIONS SECURITY LIGHTING	1,750	---	---	1,750	1,750	---	---
WUESCHHEIM							
ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	450	-450	---	---	---	-450	---
GLCM-COMM SQUADRON FACILITY	800	-800	---	---	---	-800	---
GLCM-CW PROTECT HF TELECOMM FACILITY	990	-990	---	---	---	-990	---
GLCM-CW PROTECT-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL	1,650	-1,650	---	---	---	-1,650	---
GLCM-CW PROTECT-WING COMMAND POST	1,750	-1,750	---	---	---	-1,750	---
ZWEIBRUECKEN AB							
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,850	---	-1,850	1,850	---	-1,850	-1,850
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,650	---	---	2,650	2,650	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
BITBURG							
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITIONS	2,413	---	---	2,413	2,413	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
RHEINBERG							
DISPENSARY & DENTAL CLINIC	2,250	---	---	2,250	2,250	---	---
SCHWAEBISCH-GMUEND							
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,950	---	---	1,950	1,950	---	---
SCHWEINFURT							
JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL	5,320	---	---	5,320	5,320	---	---
SEMBACH							
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,930	---	---	2,930	2,930	---	---
SPANGDALEN AIR BASE							
HIGH SCHOOL	7,300	---	-7,300	7,300	---	-7,300	-7,300
STUTTGART							
PATCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,530	---	---	1,530	1,530	---	---
PATCH HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,500	---	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
STUTTGART-PATCH BARRACKS							
DCA/EUROPE BUILDING EXPANSION	1,030	---	---	1,030	1,030	---	---
WUERTZBURG							
MIDDLE SCHOOL	10,913	---	---	10,913	10,913	---	---
TOTAL, GERMANY	440,246	-145,240	-92,700	291,356	234,256	-205,990	-57,100
GREECE							
AIR FORCE							
ARAXOS							

ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES.....	1,800	-1,800	---	---	1,800	---	+1,800
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
IRAKLION AIR STATION							
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE.....	340	---	---	---	340	---	+340
TOTAL, GREECE.....	2,140	-1,800	---	---	2,140	---	+2,140
GREENLAND							
AIR FORCE							
THULE AB							
ALTER UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERS HSG.....	3,000	---	---	3,000	3,000	---	---
TOTAL, GREENLAND.....	3,000	---	---	3,000	3,000	---	---
GUAM							
NAVY							
MOBILE CONSTR BATTALION CAMP COVINGTON							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND MESS HALL.....	14,700	---	---	14,700	14,700	---	---
NAVAL FACILITY							
PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY.....	650	---	---	---	650	---	+650
NAVAL MAGAZINE							
ORDNANCE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	10,800	---	---	10,800	10,800	---	---
NAVAL SHIP REPAIR FACILITY							
EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	8,460	---	---	8,460	8,460	---	---
REPAIR WHARVES IMPROVEMENT.....	5,100	---	---	5,100	5,100	---	---
NAVAL SUPPLY DEPOT							
SUPPLY MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	5,700	-5,700	---	---	5,700	---	+5,700
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER GUAM							
BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS.....	2,360	---	---	2,360	2,360	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
AIR FORCE							
ANDERSEN AFB							
ADD-ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	3,600	---	-3,600	3,600	---	-3,600	-3,600
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,100	-5,100	---	5,100	5,100	---	---
TOTAL, GUAM	56,470	-10,800	-3,600	50,120	52,870	-3,600	+2,750
GUANTANAMO BAY, CU							
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR STATION GUANTANAMO BAY							
BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	1,770	---	---	1,770	1,770	---	---
NAVAL STATION GUANTANAMO BAY							
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	917	---	---	917	917	---	---
TOTAL, GUANTANAMO BAY, CU	2,687	---	---	2,687	2,687	---	---
HONDURAS							
ARMY							
HONDURAS							
TROOP SUPPORT FACILITY UPGRADE	4,150	---	---	4,150	---	-4,150	-4,150
TOTAL, HONDURAS	4,150	---	---	4,150	---	-4,150	-4,150

ICELAND							
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR STATION KEFLAVIK							
AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	1,820	---	---	1,820	1,820	---	---
COMBINED OPERATIONS CENTER SUPPORT FAC	3,050	-3,050	---	---	3,050	---	+3,050
FUEL FACILITIES	12,300	---	---	---	---	-12,300	---
NAVAL FACILITY KEFLAVIK							
STANDBY GENERATOR PLANT	700	---	---	700	700	---	---
TOTAL, ICELAND	17,870	-3,050	---	2,520	5,570	-12,300	+3,050
ITALY							
NAVY							
NAV COM AREA MASTER STATION MED NAPLES							
SIGONELLA TRANSMITTER FACILITIES	5,300	---	---	5,300	5,300	---	---
NAVAL AIR STATION SIGONELLA							
PHYSICAL SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,460	---	---	2,460	2,460	---	---
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES							
CMD CTRL COMMS & INTELLIGENCE CPX (PH I)	24,900	---	-24,900	---	24,900	---	+24,900
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	750	---	---	750	750	---	---
NAVY SUPPORT OFFICE LA MADDALENA							
COLD-IRON UTILITIES SUPPORT	7,480	---	-7,480	7,480	---	-7,480	-7,480
AIR FORCE							
AVIANO AB							
CW PROTECT-SQUADRON OPS FACILITY	1,450	---	---	1,450	1,450	---	---
COMISO AB							
GLCM-ADD-ALTER BASE COLD STORAGE	760	-760	---	---	---	-760	---
GLCM-COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY	480	-480	---	---	---	-480	---
GLCM-CW PROTECT HF TELECOMM FACILITY	1,100	-1,100	---	---	---	-1,100	---
GLCM-LIBRARY	230	-230	---	---	---	-230	---
GLCM-MWR CENTRAL STORAGE FACILITY	380	-380	---	---	---	-380	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
GLCM-SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	610	-610	---	---	---	-610	---
GLCM-VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	2,250	-2,250	---	---	---	-2,250	---
SAN VITO AS							
EDUCATION CENTER	390	---	---	390	390	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
NAPLES							
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT	30,000	---	-30,000	---	---	-30,000	---
SAN VITO AIR STATION							
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE	670	---	---	670	670	---	---
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION							
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT	20,000	-20,000	---	20,000	20,000	---	---
TOTAL, ITALY	99,210	-25,810	-62,380	38,500	55,920	-43,290	+17,420
JAPAN							
ARMY							
JAPAN VARIOUS							
AMMUNITION STORAGE COMPLEXES	20,000	-10,000	-12,000	10,000	8,000	-12,000	-2,000
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	1,500	---	---	1,500	---	-1,500	-1,500
RELOCATE FIELD OFFICES	1,750	---	---	1,750	---	-1,750	-1,750
NAVY							
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION FUTENMA OKINAWA							
CRASH FIRE STATION ADDITION	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---

FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS.....	3,790	---	-3,790	3,790	---	-3,790	-3,790
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION IWAKUNI							
FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS.....	1,080	---	---	1,080	1,080	---	---
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP BUTLER OKINAWA							
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS IMPROVEMENTS.....	11,300	-11,300	-5,000	---	6,300	-5,000	+6,300
AIR FORCE							
KADENA AB							
ALTER UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING.....	2,850	-2,850	-2,850	---	---	-2,850	---
F16-ADD/ALTER AVIONICS SHOP.....	5,000	---	---	5,000	5,000	---	---
FIRE PROTECTION-VARIOUS FACILITIES.....	1,850	---	-1,850	1,850	---	-1,850	-1,850
JET FUEL STORAGE PHASE V.....	5,500	---	-5,500	---	---	-5,500	---
YOKOTA AB							
ADD-ALTER CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY.....	3,150	-3,150	-3,150	---	---	-3,150	---
ALTER COMMAND CENTER.....	2,600	---	-2,600	---	---	-2,600	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
CAMP LESTER OKINAWA							
HOSPITAL LIFE SAFETY UPGRADE.....	1,400	---	---	1,400	1,400	---	---
MISAWA AFB							
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY.....	4,700	---	---	4,700	4,700	---	---
TOTAL, JAPAN.....	67,470	-27,300	-36,740	32,070	27,480	-39,990	-4,590
JOHNSTON ISLAND							
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
DNA HDQTRS FIELD COMMAND							
FITNESS CENTER.....	2,000	---	---	2,000	2,000	---	---
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT.....	2,100	---	---	2,100	2,100	---	---
TOTAL, JOHNSTON ISLAND.....	4,100	---	---	4,100	4,100	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
KOREA							
ARMY							
CAMP CASEY							
BARRACKS	28,000	---	---	28,000	28,000	---	---
CAMP CASTLE							
BARRACKS	3,200	---	---	3,200	---	-3,200	-3,200
CAMP HENRY							
BARRACKS	11,800	-11,800	-11,800	---	---	-11,800	---
CAMP HOVEY							
BARRACKS	11,800	---	---	11,800	11,800	---	---
CAMP HOWZE							
BARRACKS	4,150	---	---	4,150	4,150	---	---
CAMP HUMPHREYS							
BARRACKS	6,700	-6,700	-6,700	---	---	-6,700	---
CAMP JACKSON							
BARRACKS	4,350	---	---	4,350	4,350	---	---
CAMP KYLE							
BARRACKS	2,750	---	---	2,750	---	-2,750	-2,750
CAMP MARKET							
BARRACKS	2,200	-2,200	---	2,200	---	-2,200	-2,200
CAMP MERCER							
BARRACKS	720	---	---	720	720	---	---

CAMP NIMBLE							
BARRACKS	2,200	---	---	---	2,200	---	+2,200
CAMP PAGE							
BARRACKS	5,900	---	---	5,900	5,900	---	---
CAMP R-D CLOUD							
BARRACKS	8,500	---	---	8,500	8,500	---	---
KOREA VARIOUS							
AMMUNITION STORAGE	4,850	---	---	4,850	4,850	---	---
YONGSAN							
SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FAC	3,750	-3,750	---	3,750	3,750	---	---
AIR FORCE							
CAMP HUMPHREYS							
OPERATION MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FAC	5,550	---	---	---	---	-5,550	---
KUNSAN AB							
ALTER AIRCRAFT SHELTER	7,000	---	-3,000	7,000	4,000	-3,000	-3,000
RRR EQUIPMENT STORAGE FACILITY	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
UPG ELECTRICAL DISTRIB SYSTEM PHASE-III	2,250	---	-2,250	2,250	---	-2,250	-2,250
VEHICLE OPERATION/MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5,000	-5,000	---	---	---	-5,000	---
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WHSE	800	---	---	800	800	---	---
KWANG-JU AB							
WRM VEHICLE PARKING	900	-900	---	---	---	-900	---
OSAN AB							
ADD TO NUMBERED AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS	1,750	-1,750	-1,750	---	---	-1,750	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,000	-3,000	---	3,000	---	-3,000	-3,000
AIRCRAFT SHELTERS	1,500	---	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
AIRCRAFT SHELTERS	2,800	---	---	2,800	2,800	---	---
CONTROL TOWER CAB	400	---	---	400	400	---	---
MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITY	1,600	---	---	1,600	1,600	---	---
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,200	---	-2,200	---	---	-2,200	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,500	---	---	4,500	4,500	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
UPG PRIMARY ELECTRIC DISTR SYS PH-II	7,000	---	---	7,000	7,000	---	---
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WHSE	940	---	---	940	940	---	---
SUWON							
SEMIHARDENED COMMAND POST	3,650	---	---	---	---	-3,650	---
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WHSE	650	*	*	650	650	---	---
TOTAL, KOREA	153,310	-35,100	-27,700	113,560	99,360	-53,950	-14,200
KWAJALEIN							
ARMY							
KWAJALEIN							
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,950	---	---	3,950	3,950	---	---
MULTI-STATIC MEASUREMENT SYSTEM FACILITY	890	---	---	890	890	---	---
POWER PLANT REPLACEMENT	21,000	---	---	21,000	21,000	---	---
RADAR CONTROL FACILITY	720	---	---	720	720	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
MISSILE RANGE							
BERTHING & TERMINAL FACILITIES	2,100	---	---	2,100	2,100	---	---
ERIS MISSILE LAUNCH	7,900	---	---	7,900	7,900	---	---
HEDI MISSILE LAUNCH	6,565	---	---	6,565	6,565	---	---
TOTAL, KWAJALEIN	43,125	---	---	43,125	43,125	---	---

LUXEMBOURG							
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
ECHTERNACH							
MEDICAL CONTINGENCY COMPLEX	15,500	-15,500	---	---	---	-15,500	---
TOTAL, LUXEMBOURG	15,500	-15,500	---	---	---	-15,500	---
NETHERLANDS							
AIR FORCE							
CAMP NEW AMSTERDAM							
ADD TO BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	2,600	---	---	2,600	---	-2,600	-2,600
MUENSDRECHT AB							
ALTER MUNITIONS STORAGE FACILITIES	400	-400	---	---	---	-400	---
GLCM-ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FACILITY	2,750	-2,750	---	---	---	-2,750	---
GLCM-ATHLETIC FIELDS AND COURTS	550	-550	---	---	---	-550	---
GLCM-BASE THEATER	900	-900	---	---	---	-900	---
GLCM-CHAPEL CENTER	1,650	-1,650	---	---	---	-1,650	---
GLCM-CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	600	-600	---	---	---	-600	---
GLCM-COMMUNITY RECREATION CENTER	1,600	-1,600	---	---	---	-1,600	---
GLCM-CONSOLIDATED HOBBY SHOP	830	-830	---	---	---	-830	---
GLCM-DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	1,350	-1,350	---	---	---	-1,350	---
GLCM-FORMS AND PUBLICATIONS WAREHOUSE	280	-280	---	---	---	-280	---
GLCM-GROUP HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	4,950	-4,950	---	---	---	-4,950	---
GLCM-PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	2,500	-2,500	---	---	---	-2,500	---
GLCM-RECREATION LIBRARY	520	-520	---	---	---	-520	---
GLCM-SERVICES SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	700	-700	---	---	---	-700	---
GLCM-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FACILITY	1,450	-1,450	---	---	---	-1,450	---
GLCM-VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	1,600	-1,600	---	---	---	-1,600	---
GLCM-VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS	1,350	-1,350	---	---	---	-1,350	---
GLCM-VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS	1,400	-1,400	---	---	---	-1,400	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
GLCM-YOUTH CENTER	500	-500	---	---	---	-500	---
GLCM FACILITIES - DISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-3,600	---	---	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
WOENSDRECHT							
CLINIC/DENTAL CLINIC	6,800	-6,800	---	---	---	-6,800	---
MEDICAL WAREHOUSE	360	---	---	360	---	-360	-360
TOTAL, NETHERLANDS	35,640	-32,680	-3,600	2,960	---	-35,640	-2,960
OMAN							
AIR FORCE							
MASIRAH AB							
CARETAKER CONTRACTOR SUPPORT FACS-MAINT	1,400	---	---	1,400	1,400	---	---
RELOCATABLE WAREHOUSE	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---
VORTAC SITE SUPPORT	725	---	---	725	725	---	---
SEEB AB							
CARETAKER CONTRACTOR SPT FACILITY	4,900	---	---	2,500	4,900	---	+2,400
COMM MAINTENANCE AND MGMT FACILITY	810	---	---	810	810	---	---
RELOCATABLE WAREHOUSE	2,550	---	---	2,550	2,550	---	---
THUMRAIT AB							
CARETAKER CONTRACTOR SUPPORT FACS-MAINT	1,400	---	---	1,400	1,400	---	---
COMM MAINT AND MGMT FACILITY	810	---	---	810	810	---	---
INSTRU LAND SYSTEM SITE SUPPORT	725	---	---	725	725	---	---

RELOCATABLE WAREHOUSE	1,350	---	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
VORTAC SITE SUPPORT	725	---	---	725	725	---	---
TOTAL, OMAN	16,595	---	---	14,195	16,595	---	+2,400
PANAMA							
ARMY							
COROZAL							
IMAGERY FACILITY	6,000	-6,000	-6,000	---	---	-6,000	---
FORT KOBBE							
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON EXTENSION	6,700	-6,700	---	---	6,700	---	+6,700
NAVY							
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY GALETA ISLAND							
OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION	---	---	+1,000	---	1,000	+1,000	+1,000
AIR FORCE							
HOWARD AFB							
ADD-ALTER RECONNAISSANCE PHOTO LAB	940	-940	---	---	940	---	+940
FLIGHTLINE SECURITY LIGHTING	310	-310	---	310	310	---	---
MUNITIONS FACILITIES	2,450	-2,450	-2,450	---	---	-2,450	---
STOL BEDDOWN SUPPORT FACILITIES	7,000	-7,000	---	---	7,000	---	+7,000
UPGRADE AIRFIELD FACILITIES	10,690	-10,690	---	---	10,690	---	+10,690
TOTAL, PANAMA	34,090	-34,090	-7,450	310	26,640	-7,450	+26,330
PHILIPPINES							
NAVY							
NAVAL AIR STATION CUBI POINT							
FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,490	---	---	1,490	1,490	---	---
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	12,800	-12,800	---	---	---	-12,800	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SUBIC BAY							
WATER TREATMENT PLANT IMPROVEMENTS	7,680	---	---	7,680	7,680	---	---
AIR FORCE							
CLARK AFB							
ADD-ALTER FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE DOCK	1,650	-1,650	---	---	---	-1,650	---
AEROMED EVAC AIRLIFT SQUADRON FACILITY	1,300	-1,300	-1,300	---	---	-1,300	---
ALTER INTELLIGENCE FACILITY PHASE-I	440	---	---	440	440	---	---
COPE THUNDER OPERATIONS RAMP	2,100	---	---	2,100	2,100	---	---
EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	800	-800	---	800	---	-800	-800
FIRE PROTECTION -VARIOUS FACILITIES	2,150	-2,150	---	---	2,150	---	+2,150
FLOW THROUGH SHELTERS PHASE-I	8,600	---	-8,600	8,600	---	-8,600	-8,600
MC-130H AVIONICS SHOP	1,350	---	---	1,350	1,350	---	---
MC-130H SQUADRON OPS FAC	2,650	---	---	2,650	2,650	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,400	-6,400	---	6,400	---	-6,400	-6,400
WATER SUPPLY/STORAGE/DISTRIB SYSTEM	3,000	-3,000	---	3,000	---	-3,000	-3,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
CLARK AFB							
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY ADD/ALTER	40,000	-40,000	---	40,000	---	-40,000	-40,000
SAN MIGUEL							
OH PERRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	2,960	---	---	2,960	2,960	---	---
SUBIC BAY							
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT PHASE I	3,500	---	---	3,500	---	-3,500	-3,500

TOTAL, PHILIPPINES	98,870	-68,100	-9,900	80,970	20,820	-78,050	-60,150
PORTUGAL							
NAVY							
NAVAL SECURITY GRP ACT TERCERIA IS AZORES							
PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY	700	---	---	700	700	---	---
AIR FORCE							
LAJES FIELD							
RAMP LIGHTING	4,600	---	---	---	2,300	-2,300	+2,300
TOTAL, PORTUGAL	5,300	---	---	700	3,000	-2,300	+2,300
PUERTO RICO							
NAVY							
LAND FLT WPNS TRAINING FAC ROOSEVELT ROADS							
TELEMETRY BUILDING	2,020	---	---	2,020	2,020	---	---
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY SABANA SECA							
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	400	---	---	400	400	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
FORT BUCHANAN							
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AIR CONDITIONING	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
FORT BUCHANAN							
U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICER WAREHOUSE	1,755	---	---	1,755	1,755	---	---
CAMP SANTIAGO SALINAS							
ISLA GRANDE (SAN JUAN)							
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	4,347	---	---	4,347	4,347	---	---
ARMY RESERVE							
ROOSEVELT ROADS							
ARMY RESERVE CENTER W/MAINT FAC	4,751	---	---	4,751	4,751	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
SALINAS							
STORAGE/ADMIN SPACE	375	---	---	375	375	---	---
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	14,848	---	---	14,848	14,848	---	---
SCOTLAND							
NAVY							
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY EDZELL							
OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION	---	---	+4,200	---	4,200	+4,200	+4,200
SECURITY GATEHOUSE	770	---	---	770	770	---	---
TOTAL, SCOTLAND	770	---	+4,200	770	4,970	+4,200	+4,200
TURKEY							
AIR FORCE							
ANKARA							
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,250	-2,250	---	2,250	2,250	---	---
CHAPEL	950	-950	---	950	950	---	---
INCIRLIK AB							
BASE SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	1,000	*	*	1,000	1,000	---	---
RAPID RUNWAY REPAIR EQUIPMENT STORAGE FACILITY	780	-780	---	---	780	---	+780
VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS	3,750	-3,750	---	3,750	3,750	---	---
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	3,000	-3,000	---	3,000	3,000	---	---

DEFENSE AGENCIES							
INCIRLIK							
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION.....	7,746	-7,746	---	7,746	7,746	---	---
INCIRLIK AIR BASE							
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT.....	14,400	-14,400	---	14,400	14,400	---	---
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE.....	860	-860	---	---	860	---	+860
TOTAL, TURKEY.....	34,736	-33,736	---	33,096	34,736	---	+1,640
UNITED KINGDOM							
NAVY							
NAVAL ACTIVITIES LONDON							
AUTOMOTIVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	600	---	---	600	---	-600	-600
NAVAL FACILITY BRAWDY WALES							
STAND-BY GENERATOR PLANT UPGRADE.....	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
AIR FORCE							
MOLESWORTH							
GLCM-COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY.....	450	-450	---	---	---	-450	---
RAF ALCONBURY							
ADD TO WATER STORAGE.....	890	-890	---	---	890	---	+890
CW PROTECT-SQUADRON OPS FAC.....	1,600	---	---	1,600	1,600	---	---
INSTALL FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM.....	550	---	---	550	550	---	---
RAF BENTWATERS							
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING.....	3,700	---	---	3,700	3,700	---	---
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER.....	6,200	---	---	6,200	6,200	---	---
CW PROTECT-SQUADRON OPS FAC.....	1,600	-1,600	---	---	1,600	---	+1,600
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	1,310	---	-1,310	1,310	---	-1,310	-1,310
RAF CHICKSANDS							
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY.....	1,250	---	---	1,250	1,250	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
RAF CROUGHTON							
ADD-ALTER WATER STORAGE AND DISTRIB SYST	900	---	---	900	900	---	---
RAF FAIRFORD							
ADD-ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CTR	1,700	---	---	---	---	-1,700	---
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,250	---	---	---	---	-1,250	---
JET FUEL STORAGE/HYDR REFUEL SYST	7,800	-7,800	---	---	7,800	---	+7,800
T-9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	800	-800	---	---	800	---	+800
RAF GREENHAM COMMON							
GLCM-ARTS AND CRAFTS SHOP	550	-550	---	---	---	-550	---
GLCM-AUTO HOBBY SHOP	600	-600	---	---	---	-600	---
GLCM-YOUTH CENTER	990	-990	---	---	---	-990	---
RAF LAKENHEATH							
BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY	620	---	---	620	620	---	---
BASE SUPPLY STORAGE SHED	550	*	*	550	550	---	---
COMBAT ARMS RANGE COMPLEX	950	---	---	950	950	---	---
CONTROL TOWER (NATO/US)	850	---	---	850	850	---	---
POST OFFICE	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---
RAF UPPER HEYFORD							
ADD TO/ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,400	---	-2,400	2,400	---	-2,400	-2,400
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER	5,600	-5,600	---	5,600	---	-5,600	-5,600
RAF WELFORD							
AIR BASE GRND DEF MUNITIONS IGLOOS	1,200	---	---	1,200	1,200	---	---

RAF WETHERSFIELD							
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,300	---	---	1,300	1,300	---	---
RAF WOODBRIDGE							
SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	1,650	---	-1,650	1,650	---	-1,650	-1,650
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
BICESTER							
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	5,650	---	-5,650	5,650	---	-5,650	-5,650
RAF BENTWATERS							
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE	1,300	---	---	1,300	1,300	---	---
RAF CROUGHTON							
DCA/EUR ERS UPGRADE	500	---	---	500	500	---	---
RAF WETHERSFIELD							
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE	740	---	---	740	740	---	---
ROYAL AIR FORCE FAIRFORD							
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	7,300	---	---	---	7,300	---	47,300
UPWOOD							
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	3,900	---	-3,900	3,900	---	-3,900	-3,900
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	69,300	-19,280	-14,910	45,370	42,650	-26,650	-2,720
VIRGIN ISLANDS							
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
ST CROIX							
400 PERSON ARMORY	4,703	---	---	4,703	4,703	---	---
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	383	---	---	383	383	---	---
ST THOMAS							
200 PERSON ARMORY	2,798	---	---	2,798	2,798	---	---
TOTAL, VIRGIN ISLANDS	7,884	---	---	7,884	7,884	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
CLASSIFIED							
AIR FORCE							
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED							
CLASSIFIED PROJECT (FOREST GREEN)	---	---	---	2,630	2,630	+2,630	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
CONUS CLASSIFIED							
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	15,000	---	---	15,000	15,000	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	12,000	-12,000	---	12,000	---	-12,000	-12,000
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED							
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	6,400	---	---	6,400	6,400	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	7,000	---	---	7,000	7,000	---	---
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED	40,400	-12,000	---	43,030	31,030	-9,370	-12,000
NATO							
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	396,000	---	-10,000	376,000	386,000	-10,000	+10,000
RESCISSION	---	---	---	-8,000	-8,000	-8,000	---
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED							
ARMY							
PLANNING AND DESIGN	133,120	---	-5,000	133,120	120,120	-13,000	-13,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15,600	---	---	15,600	18,600	+3,000	+3,000

DEFICIENCY ALLOWANCE (DOLLAR DEVALUATION).....	---	---	---	---	70,000	+70,000	+70,000
GENERAL REDUCTION.....	---	---	---	-49,000	---	---	+49,000
RESCISSION.....	---	---	---	-34,800	-34,800	-34,800	---
NAVY							
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	148,655	---	-5,000	148,655	130,000	-18,655	-18,655
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	16,500	---	---	16,500	17,500	+1,000	+1,000
GENERAL REDUCTION.....	---	---	---	-49,068	---	---	+49,068
RESCISSION.....	---	---	---	-26,200	-26,200	-26,200	---
AIR FORCE							
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	124,536	---	-4,200	121,036	115,000	-9,536	-6,036
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	16,000	---	---	16,000	18,000	+2,000	+2,000
DEFICIENCY ALLOWANCE (DOLLAR DEVALUATION).....	---	---	---	---	35,000	+35,000	+35,000
GENERAL REDUCTION.....	---	---	---	-48,950	---	---	+48,950
RESCISSION.....	---	---	---	-24,800	-24,800	-24,800	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION.....	10,000	---	---	10,000	10,000	---	---
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	62,800	---	-5,000	62,800	55,000	-7,800	-7,800
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	10,000	-4,000	---	6,000	10,000	---	+4,000
DEFICIENCY ALLOWANCE (DOLLAR DEVALUATION).....	---	---	---	---	10,000	+10,000	+10,000
RESCISSION.....	---	---	---	-7,200	-7,200	-7,200	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD							
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	13,861	---	---	13,861	13,861	---	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	5,400	---	---	5,400	5,400	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION.....	---	---	-27,186	---	---	---	---
RESCISSION.....	---	---	---	-2,500	-2,500	-2,500	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD							
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	13,783	---	---	13,783	13,783	---	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	2,202	---	---	2,202	2,202	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION.....	---	---	-15,900	---	---	---	---
RESCISSION.....	---	---	---	-3,500	-3,500	-3,500	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
ARMY RESERVE							
PLANNING AND DESIGN	9,300	---	---	9,300	9,300	---	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,600	---	---	1,600	1,600	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-7,000	---	---	---	---
RESCISSION	---	---	---	-1,800	-1,800	-1,800	---
NAVY RESERVE							
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,770	---	---	4,770	4,770	---	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,500	---	---	1,500	1,500	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-5,000	---	---	---	---
RESCISSION	---	---	---	-1,200	-1,200	-1,200	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE							
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,130	---	---	6,130	6,130	---	---
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,688	---	---	2,688	2,688	---	---
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-5,000	---	---	---	---
RESCISSION	---	---	---	-2,000	-2,000	-2,000	---
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	598,445	-4,000	-79,286	339,927	566,454	-31,991	+226,527
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS							
NAVY							
LAND ACQUISITION							
LAND ACQUISITION	8,091	---	---	8,091	8,091	---	---

VARLOCS-HISTORIC							
HISTORIC PROPERTIES	6,800	-6,800	---	---	---	-6,800	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES							
VARIOUS LOCATIONS							
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	11,400	-11,400	---	---	11,400	---	+11,400
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE VARIOUS	26,291	-18,200	---	8,091	19,491	-6,800	+11,400
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY							
ALABAMA							
FORT RUCKER	110	---	---	110	110	---	---
ALASKA							
FORT WAINWRIGHT	29,000	-29,000	---	---	29,000	---	+29,000
CALIFORNIA							
FORT IRWIN	54,000	-24,000	-30,000	30,000	24,000	-30,000	-6,000
FORT ORD	19,000	---	---	19,000	19,000	---	---
HAWAII							
HELEMANO	21,000	---	---	21,000	21,000	---	---
PEARL CITY AREA	6,700	---	---	6,700	6,700	---	---
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	11,200	---	---	11,200	11,200	---	---
ILLINOIS							
ST. LOUIS SUPPORT CENTER	---	+9,700	---	9,700	---	---	-9,700
LOUISIANA							
FORT POLK	27,000	---	---	27,000	27,000	---	---
W YORK							
FORT DRUM	10,000	---	-10,000	10,000	---	-10,000	-10,000
TEXAS							
FORT HOOD	9,400	---	---	---	---	-9,400	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Budget request (2)	HASC auth. compared to budget (3)	SASC auth. compared to budget (4)	House allowance (5)	Committee recommendation (6)	Senate compared to budget (7)	Senate compared to House (8)
VIRGINIA							
FORT AP HILL	---	+2,200	---	2,200	---	---	-2,200
FORT EUSTIS	480	---	---	480	480	---	---
GERMANY							
BAMBERG	11,200	---	---	11,200	11,200	---	---
BAUMHOLDER	12,600	---	---	12,600	12,600	---	---
VILSECK	17,000	---	---	17,000	17,000	---	---
KWAJALEIN							
KWAJALEIN	41,000	-41,000	---	---	34,000	-7,000	+34,000
PANAMA							
FORT CLAYTON	21,000	-21,000	---	---	---	-21,000	---
VARIOUS							
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	144,886	-44,886	-3,000	116,000	120,000	-24,886	+4,000
PLANNING	21,900	---	---	21,900	21,900	---	---
OPERATING EXPENSES							
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	144,671	---	---	144,671	144,671	---	---
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	84,965	---	---	84,965	84,965	---	---
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,539	---	---	1,539	1,539	---	---
SERVICES ACCOUNT	53,423	---	---	53,423	53,423	---	---
UNSPECIFIED REDUCTION	---	---	-16,000	-11,000	-18,000	-18,000	-7,000

UTILITIES ACCOUNT	273,487	---	---	273,487	273,487	---	---
SUBTOTAL	558,085	---	-16,000	547,085	540,085	-18,000	-7,000
LEASING	180,130	---	---	180,130	180,130	---	---
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	540,062	---	-10,000	540,062	528,062	-12,000	-12,000
INTEREST PAYMENTS	120	---	---	120	120	---	---
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	40	---	---	40	40	---	---
SUBTOTAL, NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	1,278,437	---	-26,000	1,267,437	1,248,437	-30,000	-19,000
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	2,746	---	---	2,746	2,746	---	---
RESCISSION							
RESCISSION, 1985	---	---	---	-900	-900	-900	---
RESCISSION, 1986	---	---	---	-19,400	-19,400	-19,400	---
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,738,659	-147,986	-69,000	1,565,973	1,586,073	-152,586	+20,100
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY							
CALIFORNIA							
MARCOR AIR-GRND COMB CTR TWENTYNINE PALMS	11,530	---	---	11,530	11,530	---	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION EL TORO	8,660	---	---	8,660	8,660	---	---
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP PENDLETON	25,760	---	-8,000	25,760	17,760	-8,000	-8,000
PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN DIEGO	52,840	---	-25,000	52,840	27,840	-25,000	-25,000
PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN FRANCISCO	38,380	---	---	38,380	38,380	---	---
MISSOURI							
MARCOR FINANCE CENTER KANSAS CITY	120	---	---	120	120	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NEW YORK							
NAVAL STATION NEW YORK	25,490	---	-12,000	25,490	13,490	-12,000	-12,000
NUCLEAR POWER TRNG UNIT BALLSTON SPA	15,810	---	-7,000	15,810	8,810	-7,000	-7,000
SOUTH CAROLINA							
MARCOR AIR STATION BEAUFORT	540	---	---	540	540	---	---
MARCOR RECRUIT DEPOT PARRIS ISLAND	370	---	---	370	370	---	---
VIRGINIA							
MARCOR DEV EDUC COM QUANTICO	166	---	---	166	166	---	---
ICELAND							
NAVAL STATION KEFLAVIK	20,367	-367	---	20,000	20,367	---	+367
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	48,943	-20,000	-1,000	39,000	40,000	-8,943	+1,000
PLANNING	6,248	---	---	6,248	6,248	---	---
OPERATING EXPENSES							
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	14,875	---	---	14,875	14,875	---	---
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	43,485	---	---	43,485	43,485	---	---
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	389	---	---	389	389	---	---
SERVICES ACCOUNT	32,184	---	---	32,184	32,184	---	---
UNSPECIFIED REDUCTION	---	---	-6,000	-5,000	-7,000	-7,000	-2,000
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	176,073	---	---	176,073	176,073	---	---

SUBTOTAL	267,006	---	-6,000	262,006	260,006	-7,000	-2,000
LEASING	36,567	---	---	34,000	36,567	---	+2,567
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	238,217	---	-7,000	238,217	230,217	-8,000	-8,000
INTEREST PAYMENTS	42	---	---	42	42	---	---
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	179	---	---	179	179	---	---
SUBTOTAL, NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	542,011	---	-13,000	534,444	527,011	-15,000	-7,433
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	1,801	---	---	1,801	1,801	---	---
RESCISSION							
RESCISSION, 1985	---	---	---	-400	-400	-400	---
RESCISSION, 1986	---	---	---	-8,800	-8,800	-8,800	---
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	799,036	-20,367	-66,000	771,959	713,893	-85,143	-58,066
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE							
ARIZONA							
HOLBROOK	2,530	---	---	2,530	2,530	---	---
NETHERLANDS							
WOENSDRECHT AB	35,500	-35,500	---	---	---	-35,500	---
PHILIPPINES							
CLARK AFB	23,260	---	---	23,260	---	-23,260	-23,260
UNITED KINGDOM							
RAF BENTWATERS	330	---	---	330	330	---	---
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	154,520	-44,520	-3,000	133,000	130,000	-24,520	-3,000
PLANNING	7,000	---	---	7,000	7,000	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
OPERATING EXPENSES							
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	52,832	---	---	52,832	52,832	---	---
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	29,481	---	---	29,481	29,481	---	---
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	5,780	---	---	5,780	5,780	---	---
SERVICES ACCOUNT	22,334	---	---	22,334	22,334	---	---
UNSPECIFIED REDUCTION	---	---	-6,000	-7,000	-6,000	-6,000	+1,000
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	242,130	---	---	242,130	242,130	---	---
SUBTOTAL	352,557	---	-6,000	345,557	346,557	-6,000	+1,000
LEASING	76,842	---	---	76,842	76,842	---	---
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	272,410	---	-5,000	272,410	265,410	-7,000	-7,000
INTEREST PAYMENTS	31	---	---	31	31	---	---
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	120	---	---	120	120	---	---
SUBTOTAL, NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	701,960	---	-11,000	694,960	688,960	-13,000	-6,000
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	1,433	---	---	1,433	1,433	---	---
RESCISSION							
RESCISSION, 1985	---	---	---	-2,400	-2,400	-2,400	---
RESCISSION, 1986	---	---	---	-12,300	-12,300	-12,300	---

TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	926,533	-80,020	-14,000	847,813	815,553	-110,980	-32,260
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES							
CONUS VARIOUS							
HOUSING PILOT PROGRAM	---	+1,000	---	1,000	---	---	-1,000
NSA CLASSIFIED PROJECT	1,000	---	---	1,000	1,000	---	---
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	186	---	---	186	186	---	---
OPERATING EXPENSES							
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	1,882	---	---	1,882	1,882	---	---
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	600	---	---	600	600	---	---
SERVICES ACCOUNT	11	---	---	11	11	---	---
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	125	---	---	125	125	---	---
LEASING	15,188	---	---	15,188	15,188	---	---
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	708	---	---	708	708	---	---
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES	19,700	+1,000	---	20,700	19,700	---	-1,000
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND							
OPERATING EXPENSES	2,800	---	---	2,800	2,800	---	---
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE							
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS	---	---	---	125,000	---	---	-125,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	HASC auth. compared to budget	SASC auth. compared to budget	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to budget	Senate compared to House
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
RECAPITULATION							
ARMY	1,191,700	-265,240	-175,920	873,360	939,830	-251,870	+66,470
NAVY	1,844,072	-469,401	-144,620	1,354,655	1,478,872	-365,200	+124,217
AIR FORCE	1,500,900	-342,550	-197,300	1,091,150	1,154,214	-346,686	+63,064
DEFENSE AGENCIES	859,200	-340,738	-94,915	557,686	590,665	-268,535	+32,979
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	396,000	---	-10,000	368,000	378,000	-18,000	+10,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	170,400	-6,064	-5,370	155,552	192,425	+22,025	+36,873
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	160,800	-34,325	-10,984	122,975	162,216	+1,416	+39,241
ARMY RESERVE	95,100	---	-7,000	93,300	93,300	-1,800	---
NAVAL RESERVE	73,737	-6,100	-5,000	66,437	72,537	-1,200	+6,100
AIR FORCE RESERVE	79,300	-11,930	-5,000	67,620	77,300	-2,000	+9,680

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,738,659	-147,986	-69,000	1,565,973	1,586,073	-152,586	+20,100
PORTION APPLIED TO DEBT REDUCTION	-2,746	---	---	-2,746	-2,746	---	---
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	799,036	-20,367	-66,000	771,959	713,893	-85,143	-58,066
PORTION APPLIED TO DEBT REDUCTION	-1,801	---	---	-1,801	-1,801	---	---
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	926,533	-80,020	-14,000	847,813	815,553	-110,980	-32,260
PORTION APPLIED TO DEBT REDUCTION	-1,433	---	---	-1,433	-1,433	---	---
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES	19,700	+1,000	---	20,700	19,700	---	-1,000
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND	2,800	- -	---	2,800	2,800	---	---
FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS, CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE	---	---	---	125,000	---	---	-125,000
GRAND TOTAL	9,851,957	-1,723,721	-805,109	8,079,000	8,271,398	-1,580,559	+192,398

* INDICATES PREVIOUSLY OR PERMANENTLY AUTHORIZED.